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| Abbreviations |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ACSM | Advocacy, Communication and Social Mobilization |
| AD | Assistant Director |
| AFB | Acid-Fast Bacilli |
| AIDS | Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome |
| ARTI | Annual Risk of Tuberculosis Infection |
| BCG | Bacille Calmette Guerin |
| BHS | Basic Health Staff |
| CDR | Case Detection Rate |
| CNR | Case Notification Rate |
| DD | Deputy Director |
| DOH | Department of Health |
| DOT | Directly Observed Treatment |
| DOTS | Directly Observed Treatment, Short Course |
| DRS | Drug Resistant Survey |
| DST | Drug Sensitivity Testing |
| ELISA | Enzyme-Linked Immuno-solvent Assay |
| EPI | Expanded Programme of Immunization |
| ETB | Ethambutol |
| EQA | External Quality Assessment |
| FDC | Fixed-dose combination |
| FLD | First Line Anti -TB Drug |
| FHI360 | Family Health International 360 |
| GDF | Global Drug Facility |


| GF | Global Fund |
| :---: | :---: |
| GLC | Green Light Committee |
| GPs | General Practitioners |
| HIV | Human Immunodeficiency Virus |
| HA | Health Assistant |
| HFN | High False Negative |
| HFP | High False Positive |
| IEC | Information, Education, Communication |
| IHC | Integrated HIV Care |
| INH | Isoniazid |
| IOM | International Organization for Migration |
| IPT | Isoniazid Preventive Therapy |
| IUALTD | International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases |
| JATA | Japan Anti-Tuberculosis Association |
| JICA | Japan International Cooperation Agency |
| KAP | Knowledge, Attitude and Practice |
| LHV | Lady Health Visitor |
| LQAS | Lot Quality Assurance Sampling |
| LFN | Low False Negative |
| LFP | Low False Positive |
| MDM | Medecins du Monde |
| MDR-TB | Multi-Drug Resistant Tuberculosis |
| MDGs | Millennium Development Goals |
| MGIT | Mycobacterium Growth Indicator Tube |


| MMA | Myanmar Medical Association |
| :---: | :---: |
| MMCWA | Myanmar Maternal and Child Welfare Association |
| MO | Medical Officer |
| MOH | Ministry of Health |
| MWAF | Myanmar Women's Affairs Federation |
| MRCS | Myanmar Red Cross Society |
| MRTV | Myanmar Radio and Television |
| MS | Medical Superintendent |
| MSF | Medecins Sans Frontieres |
| MWs | Midwives |
| NAP | National AIDS Programme |
| NGOs | Non- Governmental Organization |
| NHL | National Health Laboratory |
| NTM | Non-Tuberculous Mycobacterium |
| NTP | National Tuberculosis Programme |
| NTRL | National Tuberculosis Reference Laboratory |
| Ol | Opportunistic infection |
| PHS II | Public Health Supervisor II |
| PSI | Population Services International |
| QC | Quality Control |
| RHC | Rural Health Centre |
| RIT | Research Institute of Tuberculosis |
| RMP | Rifampicin |
| SCC | Short Course Chemotherapy |


| SOP | Standard Operational Procedure |
| :--- | :--- |
| STI | Sexually Transmitted Infection |
| STLS | Senior Tuberculosis Laboratory Supervisor |
| TB | Tuberculosis |
| TL | Team leader |
| TOT | Training of Trainers |
| TSG | Technical Strategic Group |
| TSR | Treatment Success Rate |
| TMOs | Township Medical Officers |
| TV | Television |
| UTI | Union Tuberculosis Institute |
| VCCT | Voluntary Confidential Counseling and HIV Testing |
| WHO | World Health Organization |
| XDR-TB | Extensively Drug Resistant Tuberculosis |
| 3MDG | Three Millennium Development Goal Fund |

## NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME ANNUAL REPORT (2013)

## 1. Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the diseases of National Concern and still a major public health problem in Myanmar. Myanmar is one of the 22 TB high burden countries, also 27 MDR-TB high burden and 44 TB/HIV high burden countries. A nationwide TB prevalence survey conducted in Myanmar during 2009-2010 revealed that smear positive TB prevalence as 172 (132-225) per 100, 000 population and bacteriologically confirmed TB prevalence as 437 ( $358-533$ ) per 100, 000 population.

Based on that, World Health Organization (WHO) estimated in Global TB report 2014 that TB incidence in Myanmar was 373 per 100,000 population and TB prevalence was 473 per 100,000 population in 2013. The mortality was described as 49/100,000 population.

Myanmar National Tuberculosis Programme (NTP) has implemented WHO recommended Stop TB Strategy since 2007. NTP is now running with 14 Regional and State TB centres and 101 TB teams at district and township levels. In 2011, NTP expanded TB control activities to additional 5 townships in Naypyitaw Council Area, covering all 330 townships.

TB patients have been treated with WHO recommended regimens using Fixed Dose Combination of first line anti-TB drugs (FDC) since 2004. NTP started using of patient kits in April, 2010 and treatment units in all townships are now using patient kits under close supervision of Basic Health Staff (BHS). The External Quality Assurance System (EQAS) has been introduced since 2006 and currently, 486 public and private laboratories are under EQAS for laboratory performance.

For Drug Resistant Tuberculosis, National Drug-Resistant TB Committee was established in September 2006. DOTS-Plus pilot project was launched in 10 selected townships in Yangon and Mandalay Regions in July, 2009 with approval of Green Light Committee (GLC) and in close collaboration with WHO and Medecins Sans FrontieresHolland (MSF-H). Total 309 patients were enrolled in this pilot project with the support of UNITAID. Then, MDR-TB management was expanded up to 22 townships in Yangon and Mandalay Regions in 2011 with Global Fund (GF) support. Total number of townships for MDR-TB diagnosis, treatment and care services were scaled up to 38 townships in 2012 and 53 townships in 2013 not only in Yangon and Mandalay regions, but also in Sagaing and Magway Regions as well as Shan (Lashio) and Shan (Taunggyi) States.

Regarding TB/HIV collaborative activity, National TB/HIV coordinating body was organized in 2005 and it was reformed in 2012. TB/HIV collaborative activities were initiated in 7 townships in 2005 and expanded gradually up to 28 townships in 2013.

NTP received Global Fund Round 9 Grant phase I (2011-2012), covering 289 out of 330 townships and New Funding Model started since July 2013, covering 319 out of 330 townships. Global Fund (GF) mainly supported procurement of drugs, laboratory supplies and reagents as well as health equipment and non-health products.

NTP secures first line and second line anti-TB drugs up to 2016 with the support of Government, Global Fund (GF), 3 MDG, UNITAID through GDF and MSF-H. Anti-TB drugs for children were supported by UNITAID through GDF in 2013.

TB control activities were carried out in line with 5-year National TB Strategic Plan and 'Stop TB Strategy' in order to achieve the global targets and Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). In 2013, NTP achieved Case Detection Rate (CDR) of 78.7\% and Treatment Success Rate (TSR) of $85.4 \%$.

## 2. Objectives of NTP

## General objectives

- To reduce the mortality, morbidity and transmission of TB, until it is no longer a public health problem
- To prevent the development of drug resistant TB
- To have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse incidence of TB


## Specific Objectives

The objectives are set towards achieving the MDGs, 2015.

- To reach the interim targets of halving TB deaths and prevalence by 2015 from the 1990 situation. (MDGs, Goal 6, Target 6.c, Indicator 6.9)
- To reach and thereafter sustain the targets - achieving at least 70\% case detection and successfully treat at least $85 \%$ of detected TB cases under DOTS (MDGs, Goal 6, Target 6.c, Indicator 6.10)


## 3. Human Resources of National TB Programme in Myanmar

NTP is composed of 14 Region/State TB centres with 101 vertical TB teams, 47 District TB teams ( 40 led by Team Leader medical doctors and 7 led by Health Assistants) and 54 Township TB teams (led by Health Assistants). In 2013, one Senior Consultant Microbiologist, 2 State TB Officers \{Kayah and Shan (Kengtong) states\}, 10 TB team leaders (medical officers), 5 TB team leaders (Health Assistants), and 58 Grade II Lab. technicians were vacant.

Figure: 1 Organization set up of NTP


## 4. Progress of the Stop TB Strategy

In order to achieve Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) by 2015, NTP has adopted Stop TB Strategy since 2007. National Strategic Plan (2011-2015) was reviewed and revised in line with the National Health Plan and the Stop TB Strategy and it was approved in 2011.

NTP applied the 5 -year Strategic Plan (2011-2015) with the support of the government as well as the funding from WHO, Global Drug Facility (GDF), International facility for the purchase of drugs and laboratory commodities for HIV/AIDS, Malaria and Tuberculosis (UNITAID), Global Fund (GF), Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA),

United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and the UNION. This report includes the evaluation of the activities under Stop TB Strategy.

There are 6 components in the Stop TB strategy:

1. Pursue high quality DOTS expansion and enhancement
2. Address TB/HIV, MDR-TB and the needs of poor and vulnerable populations
3. Contribute to health system strengthening based on primary health care
4. Engage all care providers
5. Empower people with TB and communities through partnership
6. Enable and promote research

### 4.1 Pursue high quality DOTS expansion and enhancement

### 4.1.1 Political commitment with increased and sustained financing

There was high level of political commitment for TB Control Programme at all levels. Myanmar government is increasing the budget for TB control gradually, especially for antiTB drugs procurement of both first line and second line anti-TB drugs.

### 4.1.2 Early case detection through quality-assured bacteriology

According to the Nationwide TB Prevalence Survey (2009-2010), TB burden was higher than WHO estimate, indicating to improve case finding with innovative ways.

NTP primarily carried out diagnosis of pulmonary TB by sputum smear microscopy. Previously, 3 sputum specimens including one early morning specimen were examined for diagnosis, however, in 2013, only two sputum specimens including one early morning specimen were examined. Binocular microscopes using Ziehl-Neelsen stain were used by NTP in most of TB laboratories countrywide, however, starting from 2012, Fluorescence Microscopes using Auramine stain were used in some high workload areas. For the quality assurance of sputum smear microscopy, NTP has covered External Quality Assurance System (EQAS) in almost all TB laboratories over the country.

Sputum culture is available at National TB Reference Laboratory (NTRL) in Yangon and Upper Myanmar TB Laboratory in Mandalay. Drug Susceptibility Testing (DST) has been available at NTRL since 2001. Upper Myanmar TB Laboratory, Mandalay was upgraded to do culture and DST in 2008- 2009. After that, rapid TB, MDR TB diagnostic methods of line probe assay and liquid culture, DST using MGIT machine were introduced to Myanmar at both laboratories in 2010. In addition, Solid culture laboratory was established in Taunggyi, Shan State (South) in 2013.

NTRL, Yangon and Upper Myanmar TB Laboratory, Mandalay are now performing rapid tests for the diagnosis of MDR-TB cases. But these were confirmed by using liquid
culture, DST and molecular testing. GeneXpert system for rapid diagnostic testing of MDRTB was started introduced in 2011 at Upper Myanmar TB Laboratory, Mandalay. Then, GeneXpert machines were installed in the remaining Region/State TB centres and District TB centres.

## Laboratory performance including maintenance of quality for sputum AFB microscopy

Routinely two sputum specimens are collected and examined for both diagnosis and follow-up at all laboratories performing sputum AFB microscopy using Binocular Microscopes as well as Fluorescence Microscopes.

Township laboratory performances are closely monitored by Township Medical Officer (TMO) and TB Team Leader. In each Region or State, 1 Senior TB Laboratory Supervisor (STLS) is assigned for supervision, monitoring and quality control of Township TB laboratories and private TB laboratories within the respective Region/State. The microbiologists are mainly responsible for supervision and monitoring of Region/State TB laboratories and also for some township laboratories and private laboratories with major error. Panel testing is conducted for STLS by National Health Laboratory (NHL) twice a year.

In 1999, NTP developed the framework for the implementation of External Quality Assessment activities using conventional method in which all positive slides and $10 \%$ of the negative slides examined were checked. This method increased the workload of NTRL and Regional and State TB Laboratories.

The National Guidelines on EQA-LQAS for AFB Microcopy were developed in October 2007 and Orientation training was given in February, 2008 to Regional/State TB Officers, Pathologists/Laboratory Officers from Regional and State Hospitals and STLSs. The training focused on random selection of slides per month to be sent to Regional and State TB centres for blinded re-checking, timely feedback to peripheral laboratories and supervisory visits for corrective actions were also important components of this new EQA system.

In 2007, Regional and State TB Laboratories became stand-alone quality control centres. Feed-back together with comments was sent back from Regional /State level to township level. Quarterly reports of EQA from all Regional and State TB centres were submitted to central NTP and copied to Consultant Microbiologist of National EQA Management Unit, National TB Reference Laboratory. The INGOs (PSI, MSF-Holland, MDM, Malteser, AHRN, MSF-CH and IOM) and NGO (MMA) laboratories performing AFB Microscopy also sent Quality Control slides to either Lower or Upper Myanmar TB Laboratories.

Training for newly recruited STLS (5 days) and refresher training for existing STLSs (3 days) were provided. For quality performance of sputum AFB microscopy, 5 days trainings were given to laboratory technicians when they started their job, and for sputum AFB microscopy, 3 days refresher trainings were given to technicians once in 3 year service. TB laboratory annual evaluation meeting was also conducted once a year.

EQA system was successfully established with technical and financial support from JICA (MIDCP). EQA-LQAS was introduced in 2007 at 53 townships, 2 hospitals, 1 diagnostic and referral centre of Yangon and at TB laboratories of Mandalay, Magway, Bago Region (Bago), Ayeyarwaddy, Shan State (Taunggyi) and Mon/Kayin State. EQA methodology coverage was expanded to 325 townships in 2010 after orientation training, using the National Guidelines on EQA-LQAS for AFB Microscopy. Technicians from Regional and State TB centres or Medical Technologists or Laboratory Officers from the Regional and State General Hospital laboratories were responsible for quality control (QC).

For convenience, Pyapon, Kyaiklatt, Daydaye, Nyaungdone and Bokalay townships of Ayeyarwaddy Region sent QC slides directly to NTRL, Yangon. Thandaung township of Kayin state sent QC slides to EQA centre of Bago region, Paletwa township of Chin state to EQA center of Rakhine state, and Mindat, Kanpetlet and Matupi townships to EQA centre of Magway region. Besides, Township laboratories that utilized FM staining method from regions and states of lower Myanmar sent QC slides to National EQA unit, Yangon and those from Upper Myanmar sent to Upper Myanmar TB Laboratory, Mandalay. The first EQA Annual report (2012) could be developed in 2013.

Figure: 2 Flow Chart of EQA System in Myanmar


## Table 1. Laboratories under EQA (2008-2013)

| Year | Tsp. | Township <br> Lab. | Decentralized <br> Lab. | Private <br> Lab. | Total | Remark |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2008 | 325 | 294 |  | 51 | $60^{*}$ | 405 |
| 2009 | 325 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Private labs:

* 43 (PSI), 5 (IOM), 12 (MSF-H) for the whole country in 2009 (60 labs in total)
\# 37 (PSI), 4 (IOM), 10 (MSF-H), 3 (MDM) 4 (MMA) and 1 Private Lab (Myodaw) for the whole country in 2010 (59 labs in total)
\$ 49 (PSI), 4 (IOM), 13 (MSF-H), 1(MSF-CH), 4 (MDM), 1 (Malteser), and 6 (MMA) for the whole country in 2011 (78 labs in total)
${ }^{\circ} 44$ (PSI), 6 (IOM), 13 (MSF-H), 4 (MDM), , 9 (MMA), 1 (AHRN) and 1(Parami private Lab)for the whole country in 2012 (78 labs in total)
@ 47 (PSI), 5 (IOM), 14 (MSF-H), 4 (MDM), 13 (MMA), 2 (AHRN) and 1(Parami private Lab) and 1 (MSF-CH ) for the whole country in 2013 (87 labs in total)


## Decentralized Labs:

\# 41 station hospitals, 16 PPM hospitals, 3 Diagnostic Centers for the whole country in 2010 (60 labs in total)
\$ 57 station hospitals, 16 PPM hospitals, 4 Diagnostic Centers for the whole country in 2011 (77 labs in total)
${ }^{\circ} 62$ station hospitals, 19 PPM hospitals, 4 Diagnostic Centers for the whole country in 2012 (85 labs in total)
@74 station hospitals, 19 PPM hospitals, 4 Diagnostic Centers for the whole country in 2013 (97 labs in total)

## Table 2. EQA Finding in 2013

|  | Public Labs | Private Labs | Total Labs |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| EQA Labs | 399 | 87 | 486 |
| Actively participated EQA Labs | 386 | 86 | 472 |

Total laboratories put under EQA were 486 in 2013, increasing from 405 in 2008 (Township labs: 300, Decentralized Labs: 86, Private laboratories: 78). Actively participated laboratories were 472/486 (97.1\%).

Table 3. Major and Minor errors of Public and Private Laboratories in 2013

| Sr | Region/State | MCs within | Annual slides |  |  |  | or Er |  | FP | FN | Conco rdance Rate\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | HFP | HFN | LFP | LFN | QE |  |  |  |
| 1 | Yangon | 66 | 6484 | 3 | 27 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 5 | 43 | 99.26 |
| 2 | Mandalay | 61 | 5796 | 8 | 31 | 5 | 26 | 13 | 13 | 57 | 98.79 |
| 3 | Bago | 35 | 3054 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 19 | 99.38 |
| 4 | Ayeyarwaddy | 41 | 3020 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 38 | 98.74 |
| 5 | Rakhine | 23 | 2016 | 8 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 18 | 98.66 |
| 6 | Mon | 22 | 2057 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 7 | Kayin | 11 | 861 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 13 | 2 | 98.26 |
| 8 | Tanintharyi | 13 | 1160 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 21 | 98.02 |
| 9 | Kachin | 26 | 2534 | 1 | 37 | 16 | 54 | 24 | 17 | 91 | 95.74 |
| 10 | Sagaing | 67 | 6828 | 40 | 58 | 32 | 43 | 59 | 72 | 101 | 97.47 |
| 11 | Chin | 10 | 1130 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 99.56 |
| 12 | Shan | 58 | 5247 | 5 | 31 | 7 | 16 | 19 | 12 | 47 | 98.88 |
| 13 | Magway | 32 | 3466 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 21 | 99.16 |
| 14 | Kayah | 7 | 714 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 99.44 |
| Total |  | 472 | 44367 | 73 | 259 | 79 | 207 | 180 | 152 | 466 | 98.61 |

FP= False Positive (HFP= High False Positive or LFP= Low False Positive)
FN= False Negative (HFN= High False Negative or LFN= Low False Negative)
QE= Quantification Error
The concordance of quality control result of the whole country was 98.6\% in 2013. Among 618 errors (False Positive (FP) and False Negative (FN)) of all laboratories, false positive 152 (24.6\%) was less common than false negative 466 (75.4\%) in 2013. Discordance rate went down to $1.39 \%$ (2013) from 1.70\% (2012). Mon State achieved 100\%
concordance rate without major error (ME). However, the highest numbers of ME were found in Sagaing Region (29.5\%), Mandalay Region (11.7\%), and Kachin State (11.4\%).

Table 4. Quality control results for Public and Private Laboratories (2010-2013)

| Year | Annual slides for <br> EQA | FP (HFP+LFP) | FN (HFN+LFN) | Discordance rate |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | 32,515 | 229 | 457 | $2.10 \%$ |
| 2011 | 35,418 | 113 | 485 | $1.70 \%$ |
| 2012 | 36,707 | 131 | 494 | $1.70 \%$ |
| 2013 | $\mathbf{4 4 , 3 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 9 \%}$ |

Table 5. Major errors and Minor errors of Public Laboratories in 2013

|  |  |  |  | Major | Error | Mino | Error |  |  |  | Concorda |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Category | MCs | for <br> EQA | HFP | HFN | LFP | LFN | QE | FP | FN | nce <br> Rate \% |
| 1. | Township Labs | 299 | 31214 | 45 | 199 | 60 | 148 | 131 | 105 | 347 | 98.6 |
| 2. | Station Hospital Labs | 64 | 4382 | 14 | 21 | 15 | 38 | 30 | 29 | 59 | 97.6 |
| 3. | PPM Hospital Labs | 19 | 1881 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 99.5 |
| 4. | TB <br> Diagnosti <br> c Centres | 4 | 324 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Total |  | 386 | 37801 | 59 | 225 | 75 | 191 | 164 | 134 | 416 | 98.5 |

Total laboratories under public sector were 399 in 2013 ( 302 township laboratories, 74 station hospital laboratories, 19 PPM hospital laboratories and 4 TB Diagnostic Centres). Central Jail Hospital, Mandalay and Central Jail Hospital, Yangon were also PPM hospitals participating EQA. Laboratories participated in EQA activity were 386 (96.7\%). Slides received from public laboratories were 37801 and their concordance rate was $98.5 \%$.

Table 6. Major errors and Minor errors of Private Laboratories in 2013

| No | Category | MCs | Annual slides for EQA | Major Error |  | Minor Error |  |  | FP | FN | Concordan ce Rate \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | HFP | HFN | LFP | LFN | QE |  |  |  |
| 1. | PSI | 47 | 3023 | 11 | 26 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 11 | 36 | 98.45 |
| 2. | MDM | 3 | 432 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 97.69 |
| 3. | Parami | 1 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.00 |
| 4. | MSF- <br> Holland | 14 | 1586 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 99.75 |
| 5. | MMA | 13 | 885 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 99.44 |
| 6. | IOM | 5 | 364 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.00 |
| 7. | AHRN | 2 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 100.00 |
| 8. | MSF-CH | 1 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 97.92 |
| Total |  | 86 | 6566 | 14 | 34 | 4 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 50 | 98.6 |

NTP received the slides for EQA from 86 out of 87 private laboratories in 2013. Their slide concordance rate is $98.6 \%$. Among 68 errors of private laboratories, false positive was 18 (26.5\%) and false negative was 50 ( $73.5 \%$ ). There was no ME in private laboratories of Parami, IOM, AHRN, and MSF-CH. Highest number of ME was found in PSI 11\% (37/332), followed by MSF-H and MMA (1.2\%) each.

Figure: 3 Major and minor errors of Fluorescent and Ziehl-Neelsen Micorscopy


There were 62 Fluorescent microscopy sites sent for EQA. A total of 6,534 slides were examined in 2013 and, of which, only 32 slides ( $0.5 \%$ ) had major error. From 410 Z-N microscopy sites, 37,827 slides were sent. Among them, 301(0.8\%) were found to have major error. The major error with Z-N microscopy was a little bit more than that with Fluorescent microscopy.

Figure: 4 False Positives, False Negatives and Quantification Errors of Fluorescent Microscopy and Ziehl-Neelsen Microscopy


Compared to major errors (false positive and false negative) by Fluorescent microscopy and Z-N microscopy, it was noted that the proportions for false positives were more or less the same ( $0.4 \%$ and $0.3 \%$ respectively). However, the proportion for false negatives was 2 times higher in Z-N microscopy (1.1\%) compared to Fluorescent microscopy ( $0.5 \%$ ). Therefore, it was found that Z-N microscopy can miss TB cases when the laboratory technicians cannot examine all fields of the slide very carefully.

Whatever, NTP achieves the improvement of microscopy performance at the moment by covering almost all TB laboratories by EQAS. It might also be due to improved skills of TB laboratory technicians by receiving regular trainings, close monitoring and regular supervision by TMOs, TB team leaders, Region/State TB officers, STLSs and microbiologists together with international experts from JICA. Besides these, EQA feedback from STLSs and microbiologists as well as their follow-up supervisory visits and immediate
actions taken on the findings and recommendations of the laboratory supervisors from NTP also improve the laboratory performances. The performances of private MCs were also improved by joint corrective measure of National EQA centre, Yangon and UMTBL.

In 2013, new recruit training for sputum smear microscopy with Ziehl-Neelsen statining method (5 days course) was conducted 1 time each in Yangon region and Mandalay region. Fluorescent microscopy trainings for newly recruited lab technicians (5 days) were also conducted 3 times in Yangon and 1 time in Mandalay. One time training on STLSs for sputum AFB microscopy was also done in Yangon in 2013.

## Manpower situation of TB Laboratories, 2013

Manpower situation of TB Laboratories can be seen as shown in the following table. The vacant posts will be filled accordingly by priority.

Table 7. Manpower situation of TB laboratories, 2013

| Posts | Sanction | Appointed | Vacant | Remark |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Sr.Consultant <br> Microbiologist | 1 | 0 | 1 | NTRL, Yangon |
| Jr. Consultant <br> Microbiologist | 2 | 2 | 0 | One at NTRL <br> One at National EQA <br> centre |
| MO Microbiologists | 0 | 2 | 0 | Attached from other posts <br> One at NTRL <br> One at UMTBC |
| Medical technologists | 1 | 1 | 0 | NTRL |

## Bio-safety level 3 (BSL 3) laboratories and Rapid TB diagnostic tests

The NTRL Yangon and UMTBL Mandalay were upgraded and strengthened to BioSafety Level 3 (BSL-3) laboratories with negative air pressure system to introduce newer and faster diagnostic tests for the detection of multidrug resistant TB (MDR-TB) in July, 2010, with the support of UNITAID. Solid culture TB Laboratory established in Shan State (Taunggyi) in 2013 is planned to upgrade BSL- 3 Laboratory in 2014. Expand TB Project was initiated in a joint collaborative effort between UNITAID, Global Laboratory Initiative (GLI), Global Drug Facility (GDF) and Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND).

Routine solid culture and DST takes about 10-12 weeks to have diagnosis of MDRTB. However, Liquid culture takes about 3 weeks and molecular testing such as Line Probe Assay (LPA) about 3 days only. Therefore, Liquid culture and LPA techniques have been used in NTRL and UMTBL to detect MDR-TB early. However, solid culture is the gold standard. Thus, in some cases which need confirmation, solid culture is still used. The early detection of MDR-TB cases can provide treatment early and can reduce the spread of disease.

## Liquid culture and Drug susceptibility testing (Mycobacterium Growth Indicator Tube-MGIT system) MGIT-960

This system uses liquid medium which has better recovery and faster growth of mycobacteria. Growth supplement and combination of anti-microbial agents PANTA has to be added to suppress the growth of contaminants. The MGIT tube contains an oxygenquenched flourochrome embedded in silicone at the bottom of the tube. During bacterial growth, the free oxygen in the media was used up for the fluorescence of the flouchrome. The positive tubes are shown by flashing of red indicator lamp on the screen of the machine drawer. Tubes flagged positive were removed after 24 hours and further test for contamination of M . tuberculosis. The fluorescence can also be visualized manually under ultra violet light or can be read with MGIT Tube Reader. Liquid Culture is done for both AFB smear positive and negative specimens. Growth can be detected as early as 4 to 12 days. Negative tubes are discarded on the 42nd day.

Identification of M. tuberculosis
The growth from either solid or liquid media is tested for confirmation of M . tuberculosis with the lateral flow assay test strip or device in safety hood. The assay is based on the detection of the presence of the M. tuberculosis Complex-specific protein MPT64 in culture isolates. The products used are either Capilla TB rapid diagnostic test (Tauns Laboratories Inc., South Korea) or TB Antigen MPT64 test (SD Bioline, South Korea). The results are available within 2 hours.

Drug susceptibility testing (MGIT DST)
The drug susceptibility testing is performed in the same MGIT machine. The drugs tested are isoniazid, streptomycin, rifampicin and ethambutol. Results can be available within 3 weeks form the start of culture.

## Molecular Testing

Genotype MTBDR plus Test (Hain Life sciences) is used. This test determined Mycobacterium tuberculosis positivity and rifampicin/isoniazid resistance by Molecular Genetic Assay for identification of resistance to Rifampicin and or isoniazid of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis Complex. The Genotype MTBDR plus assay is based on LPA technology involving polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification and binding of amplicons to specific oligonucleotide probes immobilized on a membrane strip. Testing may be performed on DNA isolated from cultures as well as smear positive direct patient material.

## GeneXpert

GeneXpert system is intended for rapid detection of TB and rifampicin resistance in sputum samples. It can be used on both smear positive and smear negative samples. Instrument is available in 1, 2, 4 or 16 module configuration and is a semi-quantitative nested real - time PCR all within one catridge. It integrates and automates sample processing, nucleic acid amplification, detection of target sequences using real - time and reverse transcriptase PCR. Primers amplify portion of the rop B gene containing the 81 base pair core region. Probes are able to differentiate sequences associated with Rifampicin resistance.

Two GeneXpert machines were installed at UMTBL (Mandalay) and MGH (Mandalay General Hospital) in late 2011 with the support of PICT project (UNION). In 2012, altogether 8 GeneXpert machines were received: 6 by GF and 2 by Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA). Two GeneXpert machines (CIDA) were set up at Latha TB Diagnostic Centre and NTRL (Aung San) which was later moved to Mingalardon Specialist Hospital. Six GeneXpert machines (GF) were set up at Latha, Union Tuberculosis Institute (UTI) Aung San, Bago, Mawlamyaing, Pathein and Monywa TB centres in 2013.

Altogether additional 13 GeneXpert machines were received in 2013 from USAID, GF and UNITAID. One GeneXpert machine supported by USAID was set up in UTI (Aung San), 4 machines from UNITAID were installed at North Okkalapa District TB centre, Shan State (Taunggyi), Shan State (Lashio) and Magway Regional TB centre in 2013. The remaining 8 machines provided by GF is planned to set up in Thanlyin, Myingyan, Myaungmya, Hinthada, Shwebo, Kalay, Pakokku and Myeik in 2014. One GeneXpert machine was set up in Dawei by MSF-CH, two machines of MSF-H were established in Kachine State and Yangon Region. Therefore, a total of 27 GeneXpert machines could be installed in Myanmar (24 by NTP, 2 by MSF-H and 1 by MSF-CH) in 2013.

Figure: 5 GeneXpert machines for rapid diagnosis of MTB and Rif-resistant


Table 8. Performance of liquid culture, liquid DST \& LPA (2010-2013)

| Tests | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No. of liquid culture | 482 | 1,048 | 1,920 | 3,974 |
| No. of liquid DST | 146 | 370 | 519 | 443 |
| No. of LPA | 155 | 812 | 1,103 | 2,633 |
| MDR cases detected | 90 | 482 | 778 | 881 |
| MDR TB treated cases | 128 | 162 | 442 | 667 |

Figure: 6 Performance of liquid culture, liquid DST \& LPA (2010-2013)


2009- MDR diagnosed (from PMDT sites) by conventional culture

The figure shows Culture and DST tests which are carried out increasingly years by years. In 2013, 3,974 liquid culture tests, 443 liquid DST and 2,633 LPA tests were done in NTRL (Yangon) and UMTBL (Mandalay). From these tests, total 881 MDR cases could be notified in 2013, and, of which 667 MDR-TB cases received second-line anti-TB treatment during 2013.

Table 9. Results of liquid culture (MGIT) in 2013

| Quarter | No. of Culture(+) | No. of Culture(-) | No. of <br> Contaminated | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1st Q | 137 | 533 | 121 | 791 |
| 2nd Q | 240 | 708 | 127 | 1,075 |
| 3rd Q | 240 | 697 | 121 | 1,058 |
| 4th Q | 204 | 761 | 85 | 1,050 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 7 4}$ |

Table 10. Among Liquid Culture (+)ve; Results of Liquid DST, 2013

| Quarter | AlI <br> sensitive | Mono- <br> resistant | Poly-resistant <br> but not MDR-TB | MDR- <br> TB | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1st Q | 24 | 8 | 11 | 60 | 103 |
| 2nd Q | 22 | 7 | 10 | 51 | 90 |
| 3rd Q | 59 | 18 | 11 | 77 | 165 |
| 4th Q | 22 | 10 | 7 | 46 | 85 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 3}$ |

Table 11. Line Probe Assay, 2013

| Quarter | All <br> sensitive |  |  |  | Resistant |  |  | NTM (TUB(-)ve) | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IR | I |  | 30 | 518 |  |  |  |
| 1st Q | 97 | 341 | 33 | 17 | 23 | 666 |  |  |  |
| 2nd Q | 120 | 417 | 65 | 41 | 39 | 804 |  |  |  |
| 3rd Q | 185 | 451 | 69 | 60 | 30 | 645 |  |  |  |
| 4th Q | 100 | 390 | 62 | 63 | $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 3 3}$ |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 12. Conventional Culture and DST Results, 2013

| Quarter | All <br> sensitive | Mono- <br> resistant | Poly-resistant <br> but not MDR- <br> TB | MDR-TB | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1^{\text {st } Q}$ | 143 | 11 | 9 | 121 | 284 |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{Q}$ | 32 | 4 | 5 | 124 | 165 |
| $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{Q}$ | 29 | 11 | 4 | 74 | 118 |
| $4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{Q}$ | 6 | 1 | 3 | 75 | 85 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 2}$ |

## GeneXPert MTB/RIF Testing Result (2013)

Age \& Sex Distribution of tested patients

| Male |  | Female |  | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<\mathbf{1 5}$ years | $>\mathbf{1 5}$ years | $<15$ years | $>15$ years |  |
| 329 | 8,948 | 264 | 4,705 | 14,246 |

Test Results with previous history of TB

|  |  | New | Retreatment | Unknown | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sputum <br> Microscopy | AFB (+) | 649 | 2,262 | 32 | 2,943 |
|  | AFB (-) | 4,854 | 6,260 | 136 | 11,250 |
|  | Not done | 24 | 29 | 0 | 53 |
| XPert <br> MTB/RIF | Negative | 3,923 | 4,863 | 109 | 8,895 |
|  | TB with NO Rif- <br> resistance | 1,330 | 2,067 | 38 | 3,435 |
|  | TB with Rif- <br> resistance | 196 | 1,473 | 20 | 1,689 |
|  | TB with Rif- <br> Indeterminate | 78 | 148 | 1 | 227 |

Test results with HIV status

|  |  | HIV (+) | HIV (-) | Unknown | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sputum <br> Microscopy | AFB (+) | 300 | 497 | 2,146 | 2,943 |
|  | AFB (-) | 2,356 | 1,320 | 7,574 | 11,250 |
|  | Not done | 6 | 7 | 40 | 53 |
| XPert <br> MTB/RIF | Negative | 1,910 | 948 | 6,037 | 8,895 |
|  | TB with NO Rif- <br> resistance | 595 | 566 | 2,274 | 3,435 |
|  | TB with Rif- <br> resistance | 107 | 283 | 1,299 | 1,689 |
|  | TB with Rif- <br> Indeterminate | 50 | 27 | 150 | 227 |

GeneXPert versus Sputum Microscopy

|  | GeneXPert (+) | GeneXPert (-) | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Microscopy AFB (+) | 2,838 | 105 | 2,943 |
| Microscopy AFB (-) | 2,483 | 8,767 | 11,250 |
| Microscopy AFB (Not done) | 30 | 23 | 53 |

Figure: 7 GeneXPert MTB/RIF Testing Result (2013)


Total 14,246 presumptive TB cases were tested with GeneXpert in 2013. Of them, $38 \%(5,351 / 14,246)$ were MTB detected cases and $24 \%(3,435 / 14,246)$ were MTB with No Rifampicin Resistance and 12\% (1689/14,246) were Rifampicin Resistance cases. Among MTB detected cases (5351), 32\% (1689/5351) were MTB with Rif-resistant cases.

## Case detection

NTP routinely conducts passive case finding at all townships but introduces several accelerated case finding activities these years to improve TB case detection. And, new TB definitions of World Health Organization were adopted in Myanmar in late 2013. The word "TB suspect" was replaced with "presumptive TB". WHO describes presumptive TB as ": a patient who presents with symptoms or signs suggestive of TB".

Most of the TB laboratories (public and private) used Z-N microscopy; however, Fluorescent microscopy was used in Region/State/District/high workload township TB laboratories. TB case detection was done not only by sputum microscopy but also by Chest X-ray (CXR). CXR facility is available in all Region/State TB centres except Chin and Kayah States. Portable Digital Xray machines were available at Yangon, Mandalay and Sagaing Regions as well as Rakhine and Shan (Taunggyi) States for mobile team activities.

TB case finding was promoted by Accelerated Case Finding (ACF) activities such as mobile teams, sputum collection points in hard to reach areas and contact tracing. The mobile teams were led by region/state TB officers or District TB team leaders and the team also included X-ray technicians, Laboratory technicians and respective Basic Health Staffs
(BHS) led by Township Medical Officer (TMO). The local authorities, community members as well as local NGOs such as MMCWA and MWAF members also aided in these mobile team activities. Each mobile team visit lasts for 3-5 days. Since 2011, these activities were mainly supported by the GF. In Yangon and Mandalay regions, JICA (MIDCP) also supported for such activities.

At each mobile team visit, the people were firstly screened clinically, then by Chest X-ray (CXR) and sputum microscopy. The people with TB symptoms mainly cough more than 2 weeks and those who had TB contact history were primarily examined. However, other illnesses were also examined, provided treatment and referred to secondary or tertiary hospitals if necessary.

The following table shows mobile team activities conducted over the whole country during 2013. Fourty-five missions in 33 townships and 22 missions in 20 prisons were carried out with GF and 1 mission each in Yangon and Mandalay region by JICA (MIDCP). Altogether 1,568 TB cases (all forms) including 428 smear positive TB cases could be detected and provided anti-TB treatment. The contribution of mobile team activities to nationwide case finding was $1.1 \%(1,568 / 142,162)$.

Table 13. Mobiles Team Activities in 2013

| No. of <br> Townships/ <br> prisons | No. of mobile <br> team missions | No. of smear <br> positive cases | No. of TB <br> cases <br> (All forms) | Funding source |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 33 townships | 45 | 358 | 1,162 | GF |
| 20 prisons | 22 | 61 | 369 | GF |
| 2 townships | 2 | 9 | 37 | JICA |
| Total | 69 | 428 | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 8}$ |  |

To improve TB case finding, not only the mobile team activities, but also contact tracing, Sputum Collection Centre (SCC) activities and Community Based TB Care (CBTC) activities could also be carried out in 2013 with the support of GF.

The sputum collection centres were kept through rotatory system among existing rural health cetres (RHCs) in each selected township. In 2013, 60 townships were selected for this activity. The BHSs from each RHC conducted sputum collection from potential TB patients, sending specimens to township TB laboratory through messenger, providing antiTB treatment prescribed by TMO to respective patient if TB is detected. They are also responsible for recording and reporting. This activity lasts for 2-3 weeks in each RHC, then, moved to another RHC. The GF supported advocacy and training for this activity as well as
transportation cost for sputum specimens and recording/reporting forms. In 2013, 277 TB patients could be detected from these sputum collection centres.

Contact tracing activity was also conducted by BHSs in each township. This activity was done in 319 townships and GF provided transportation cost for BHS and recording/reporting forms. The contacts/family members of smear positive TB patients and childhood TB cases are traced primarily. Approximately 850 TB patients including 309 smear positive TB cases could be detected and treated through contact tracing activity in 2013.

Community Based TB Care activity (CBTC) was carried out by both INGOs and local NGOs. Local NGOs conducting this activity were MMCWA, MWAF, MRCS and MHAA. (MMCWA- Myanmar Maternal and Child Welfare Association, MWAF- Myanmar Women Affairs Federation, MRCS- Myanmar Red Cross Society and MHAA- Myanmar Health Assistant Association). The advocacy and training for this CBTC activity; recording/reporting forms; monitoring and supervision as well as evaluation activities were supported by GF. Through the efforts of volunteers from these 4 local NGOs, about 1600 TB cases could be detected in 2013. The detail results of CBTC activity by INGOs and above local NGOs will be described under the topic of "Empower people with TB and communities through partnership".

By using these innovative methods, approximately 45,000 people were screened for TB. Among them, nearly 4,300 TB cases (all forms) including 899 sputum smear positive TB cases could be detected early and provided anti-TB treatment all over the country. Contribution of TB case detection by these methods was 3\% (4298/142162) in 2013.

Figure: 8 Accelerated case finding activities in 2013


Figure: 9 Proportion of TB case detection (Accelerated Case Finding and Passive Case Finding) (2013)


### 4.1.3 Provide standardized treatment with supervision, and patient support

NTP has utilized FDC to improve compliance of TB patients in Myanmar since 2004 and patient kits to promote drug management since 2010. Guideline for Management of Childhood TB was revised and updated as Rapid Advice from WHO and altogether 17 refresher trainings on childhood TB management were conducted at State and Regional levels.

Directly Observed Treatment (DOT) was provided in a patient-friendly manner by a variety of treatment providers suitable to local conditions. Decentralization of Anti-TB drugs was also strengthened. Township Medical Officers (TMO) and TB coordinators of DOTS townships took all the responsibilities of TB control activities. For each and every patient, there was a DOT provider. DOT providers were selected either from local BHS or trained Voluntary Health Workers or members of Non-Governmental Organization (NGOs), especially MMCWA, MWAF, MRCS or family members of TB patients.

NGOs also supported NTP with provision of appropriate patient education, including information regarding the regimen, duration and treatment adherence as well as patient support in some townships.

### 4.1.4 Effective drug supply and management

Provision of quality assured uninterrupted supply of Anti-TB drugs to every registered TB patients is essential to achieve treatment success rate targets of at least $85 \%$. Delay supply for both first-line and second-line Anti-TB drugs would cause unfavorable outcome such as spread of MDR-TB, XDR-TB and resulting in increased death due to TB. However, it can be prevented by effective drug supply and management.

Drugs, laboratory supplies and equipment for National Tuberculosis Programme are mainly supplied by Government, GDF, GF, UNITAID and WHO. Government's contribution to anti-TB drug budget is increasing and it was 40\% in 2013.

NTP supplies drugs and laboratory supplies and equipment in quarterly manner. Central medical store in Yangon distributes Anti-TB drugs to Upper and Lower Myanmar stores based on consumption of drugs and requirement for buffer stocks. Upper Myanmar Store distributes to nine Regions and States TB Centres (Mandalay, Magway, Shan(S), Shan(N), Shan(E), Kayah, Chin, Kachin and Sagaing). Lower Myanmar Store distributes to seven Region and State TB centres (Yangon, Ayeyarwaddy, Bago, Mon, Kayin, Rakhine and Taninthayi). Then, Regional and States TB Centres distribute drugs to townships level quarterly according to their case load of previous quarter and buffer stock. At township level, TMO distribute monthly to RHC level. Drugs transportation cost was provided by GF in 2013. Laboratory supplies, reagents and equipment were also distributed from Regional and State TB Centres to DOTS townships in this way.

NTP also supplies drugs to partners on quarterly by receiving their quarterly reports. PSI collects drugs from Lower Myanmar Store and distributes to their PPM clinics. MSFHolland collects drugs either from Regional and State level or township level where they are implementing.

SOP for drug and supplies management was already distributed up to township level and refresher trainings were also given once a year.

The drugs received from all sources are kept at the central TB store to be distributed to Regions and States through lower and upper Myanmar TB store.

### 4.1.5 Monitoring, Supervision and Evaluation

Monitoring and Evaluation system was strengthened as it is important to measure both progress with programme implementation and impact of intervention, to reach the MDGs goal.

## Recording and Reporting

NTP used standardized recording and reporting format at all levels. In late 2013, NTP developed new TB definitions according to WHO guideline and revised existing recording and reporting forms of NTP. Trainings were given at all Regions and States for revised recording and reporting framework to utilize new recording and reporting forms in 2014.

The reports from basic DOTS units were sent to Townships, then to Region/State level. At Region/State level, these reports were checked, verified and finally put on excel worksheet, compiled and sent to central NTP. All the implementing partners also provided required reports to NTP central and respective region/state TB centres.

At central level, all the reports received were verified, computerized, and after evaluation of these data, appropriate clarification and feedbacks were given to respective region or state. The performance and impact were also assessed at central using long term trends on case finding by notified age and sex distribution of patients.

The capacity and skill for proper data management and information management system was improved by providing trainings at all level every year. The NTP provided adequate standardized recording and reporting forms to ensure timely reporting of all care providers delivering TB care according to the Stop TB Strategy.

### 4.1.5.1 Supervision

Supervision and monitoring was carried out regularly at all level of the Programme. All regions and states were supervised at least once a year by national level staff. Regional and State level TB officers as well as team leaders and National Technical Officers did supervisory visits to district/township level health facilities.

Laboratory consultants supervised Region and State TB laboratories at least once a year. Senior TB Laboratory Supervisors (STLS) also went to township laboratories for supervision once a year, but if indication such as major error at the township, supervision was done again to these townships.

Supervisory visits by NTP staff to townships implementing TB/HIV collaborative activities were done once a year and to MDR TB townships were done every quarter. NTP also went supervision visits townships implementing PPM activities as well as to PPM hospitals. The detail supervision visits at all levels are as shown in table.

Table 14. Supervisory visits down to grass root level in 2013

| Level of supervision |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Central to | No. of townships visited |  |
|  | 33 |  |
|  | TB/HIV townships |  |
|  | Border townships | 6 |
|  | PPM hospitals | 3 |
| Microbiologists supervision | 17 |  |
| NTOs supervision | 235 |  |
| STLS supervision | 6 |  |
| CBTBC supervision | 235 |  |

### 4.1.5.2 Evaluation

Annual evaluation meetings with stakeholders are carried out at national level, followed by regular planning and budgeting meetings. Inter-departmental coordination and collaboration meeting for programme management was conducted every year.

Biannual evaluation meetings at regional and state levels and quarterly evaluation meetings at township level with all implementing partners provide information and support for programme management. Quarterly cohort review meetings are also held at low performance townships to assess the TB control activities, to find out the problems and to give possible solutions.

## National Annual TB Evaluation Meeting, 2013

National annual TB evaluation meeting was held at Mingalar Thiri Hotel, Naypyitaw on $8^{\text {th }}$ to $9^{\text {th }}$ May 2014. This meeting was funded by Ministry of Health, Myanmar and Global Fund to fight against AIDS, TB and Malaria.

The objectives of conducting annual evaluation meeting were
i) To evaluate fulfillment of recommendations of previous annual evaluation (2012)
ii) To evaluate strength and weakness of TB control activities in Region/State and townships during 2013
iii) To know the situation of TB control activities by implementing partner (NGOs, INGOs)
iv) To set future plan for TB control


Figure:10 The Deputy Director-General (Public Health) delivering the opening speech in National Annual TB Evaluation Meeting (2013)

The opening speech was delivered by Dr. Win Htay Aung, Deputy Director-General, (Public Health). He mentioned about the history of NTP and achievement/trend in Myanmar. He pointed out that TB incidence and mortality rate were reduced in Myanmar with the effort of NTP and implementing partners. To achieve the MDG targets, active case finding activities would be needed to find out missing cases about 100,000. And collaborative and cooperative activities of all implementing partners are to be continued for the success of TB control program. He also mentioned the increasing budget allocation by the government for health as well as for NTP. Finally, all participants were welcomed to discuss, to suggest and to provide opinions to be able to strengthen the programme performance.

Dr. Si Thu Aung, Deputy Director, National Tuberculosis Programme, presented the accomplishment of recommendations of 2012 annual evaluation meeting, objectives of NTP, targets, strategies and activities, human resource situation, NTP's achievement including partners' contribution in 2013, challenges and future plan of NTP.

Firstly, he presented accomplishment of recommendations of 2012 annual evaluation meeting. Regarding the integrated township health plan, 3MDG supported Coordinated Township Health Plan (CTHP) meeting on 5-6 May 2014, to include TB/Disease control activities in CTHP. For establishment of the electronic database \& monitoring system on PMDT linking with laboratory data, 2 Data Assistants were assigned by WHO in Yangon and Mandalay starting computerized case based recording for MDR TB. However, there was human resource limitation especially for monitoring and evaluation of TB control activities.

To improve the Data Quality Assurance of the reports on CBTC, the supervisory visits and evaluation meetings were added in the GF (NFM) activities to improve CBTC activities. Much attention is needed to be provided by Region and State supervisors to get the CBTC reports in time. Regarding childhood TB management recommendation, the workshop on childhood TB management was conducted with the technical assistance of WHO on $19^{\text {th }}-20^{\text {th }}$ August, 2013. Professors of Chest Medicine, Professors of Pediatrics

Medicine and Sr. Consultant Pediatricians attended the workshop and shared their experiences, contributed their opinions and suggestions for childhood TB management in Myanmar.

Relating to infection control measures, proposals for renovation and new infrastructures construction are needed to be put up to Department of Health (DOH) through NTP by Region/State Health Directors and TB Officers. However, NTP did not receive any proposal from regional or state health departments. To fill up the vacant posts and to upgrade the TB teams in border areas such as (Tachileik, Muse, Kawthaung, Myawaddy, Tamu \& Maungdaw), NTP has already put up the proposal to DOH for 2 times. Therefore, DOH appointed, Dr. Kan Oo Aung for Tachileaik TB Team Leader post, replaced HA Team Leader for Kawthaung, and transferred back TB coordinator Doctor to Myawaddy. NTP also requested to assign one TB specialist in Kalay especially for PMDT project.

Regarding patient support and BHS support for MDR-TB management, it will be increased in 2014 for both patient support and BHS support, and also nutrition support may be provided by World Food Programme in 2014. The revision of TB/HIV guideline was done in December 2013 together with NAP, NTP and WHO. However, it is needed to finalize because of some changes occurred in TB case definitions due to introduction of GeneXpert. The last recommendation from 2012 annual evaluation was to develop laboratory strengthening plan and mobilize necessary resources which was accomplished with the 5\% France Initiative support of France Government. Five year Laboratory expansion plan could also be developed and presented to TSG.

The Program Manager also stressed the important vacancies in NTP and achievement in 2013. Then, he presented about TB/HIV collaborative activities, TB/HIV sentinel surveillance result, and MDR-TB management and 2011 MDR-TB cohort report. After that he described about EQA report, Budget, Global Fund activities in regions and states. Finally he presented challenges of NTP.

After that, region/state TB officers and representatives from implementing partners presented their TB control activities, achievements, challenges and future plan. Participants were invited for discussion after the presentations.
Based on the presentation facts and discussion points, the recommendations for the coming year were established as follows:

1. Fill up vacant posts at all levels and particularly:

- Kayah and Shan (Kengtong) State TB Officers
- 3 microbiologists
- 10 Medical Officer team leaders
- Full team led by Medical Officer at 5 border townships (Muse, Kalay, Kawthaung, Tachileik and Myawady )
- 5 Health Assistant team leaders
- 58 grade II laboratory technicians

2. Conduct a comprehensive TB epidemiological analysis prior to the joint review to take place in December 2014
3. Ensure regular stakeholder meetings at State/Regional and district/township levels
4. Ensure implementation of the new TB recording and reporting system by all partners and nationwide ( $M$ \& E subcommittee -NTP focal persons + R/S TB officers + WHO + partners)
5. Promote analysis of reports and action taking at local level before sending to higher level
6. Ensure reports are sent timely by all implementing partners (including active casefinding activities and TB/HIV activities)
7. Strengthen TB control activities in special populations including urban poor, migrants, displaced people, mine workers, prisoners, diabetics and in border areas
8. Promote special attention to TB control in Chin State and Northern Sagaing Region, Special Regions, Kayah State and other areas with low TB case detection
9. Ensure comprehensive diagnosis of childhood TB through bacteriological, clinical, pathological and radiological examination to reduce over-diagnosis
10. Increase to number of private GPs involved in PPM (MMA and PSI)
11. Promote operational research:

- Develop a prioritized operational research agenda
- Document experiences in active case-finding, MDR-TB and community-based TB care activities and expand the most successful models

12. Maximize the use of Xpert MTB/RIF and ensure wide dissemination of new diagnostic algorithms
13. Ensure maximum enrolment of MDR-TB patients and initiate second-line drug procurement timely
14. Expand community involvement in MDR-TB care
15. Promote universal PICT of TB patients and among presumptive TB individuals that belong to high HIV risk groups and ensure CPT and ART accessibility for TB/HIV patients
16. Strengthen integration between TB and other programmes in particular HIV, malaria, MCH, HSS, targeting cross cutting interventions (training, supplies, human resources etc.)

## Regional and State TB evaluation meetings

Annual Regional and State level TB evaluation meetings were carried out at all Regions and States. The activities were conducted with the support of Global Fund, and biannual Regional TB evaluation meetings in Yangon and Mandalay Regions as well as township quarterly evaluation meetings at 10 low performance townships of Yangon and Mandalay Regions were carried out with the support of JICA (MIDCP). Some townships conducted township quarterly TB evaluation meetings unfunded.

Cohort review meetings were also conducted at 30 low performance townships with the support of Global Fund. Conducting quarterly evaluation meetings at the township level was also a kind of productive activity. Health Assistants had to present about their RHCs concerning TB control achievement in that quarter and TMOs reset up the guidelines according to their needs. After one year when improvement was observed, NTP changed giving the resources to other low performance townships. However, previous townships would continue the meeting unfunded.

Table 15. TB Annual Evaluation meetings at Regional/State level (2013)

| Regional/State level | Date | No. of participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Kachin State | 31.10 .2013 | 29 |
| Kayah State | 26.12 .2013 | 21 |
| Shan State (Taunggyi) | 20.12 .2013 | 40 |
| Shan State (Kengtong) | 30.6 .2013 | 23 |
| Shan State (Lashio) | 11.12 .2013 | 36 |
| Mon State | 24.4 .2013 | 24 |
| Kayin State | 28.4 .2013 | 17 |
| Chin State | 10.12 .2013 | 24 |
| Rakhine State | 12.5 .2014 | 44 |
| Mandalay Region | $27-28.12 .2013$ | 60 |
| Yangon Region | $3-4.7 .2013$ | 150 |
|  | 18.11 .2013 | 150 |
| Sagaing Region | 27.12 .2013 | 38 |
| Magway Region | 23.12 .2013 | 34 |
| Bago Region | 27.12 .2013 | 60 |
| Ayeyarwaddy Region | 28.4 .2014 | 53 |
| Taninthayi Region |  | 28 |

### 4.2 Addressing TB/HIV, MDR-TB and other challenges

### 4.2.1 TB/HIV collaborative activities

National TB-HIV coordinating body was built up in 2005 and reformed in 2012. Current activities were planned for 2011-2015. TB/HIV collaborative activities were initiated in 7 townships since 2005. The project gradually expanded every year and there were 28 townships in 2013. Therefore, all 330 townships are planned to implement TB/HIV collaborative activities in 2016.

In 2013, including data from implementing partners, out of 39,016 registered TB patients, $43 \%(16,882 / 39,016)$ had their HIV status recorded. Of which $32 \%(5,413 / 16,882)$ were HIV positive. Total $13.6 \%(2,296 / 5,413)$ of HIV positive TB cases received cotrimoxazole prophylaxis therapy and $23.6 \%(3,987 / 5,413)$ received ART in 2013.

Table 16. TB/HIV collaborative activities (2013)

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sr} \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Region/State | Township | Registered TB patients |  | HIV test recorded |  | HIV Positive |  | CPT received |  | ART received |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & <15 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 15 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <15 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 15 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <15 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 15 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <15 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 15 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <15 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 15 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | Mandalay | Aungmyaetharzan | 133 | 474 | 0 | 440 | 2 | 81 | 2 | 74 | 0 | 15 |
| 2 | Mandalay | Chanayetharzan | 83 | 293 | 1 | 265 | 2 | 44 | 2 | 44 | 1 | 9 |
| 3 | Mandalay | Maharaungmyae | 95 | 443 | 2 | 420 | 2 | 78 | 2 | 78 | 1 | 16 |
| 4 | Mandalay | Chanmyatharzi | 110 | 470 | 1 | 469 | 1 | 86 | 1 | 86 | 0 | 5 |
| 5 | Mandalay | Pyigyitagon | 112 | 344 | 0 | 326 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 9 |
| 6 | Mandalay | Patheingyi | 114 | 280 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 14 |
| 7 | Mandalay | Amarapura | 27 | 293 | 6 | 288 | 1 | 56 | 1 | 56 | 1 | 16 |
| 8 | Kachin | Myitkyina | 659 | 1566 | 51 | 1259 | 35 | 531 | 30 | 464 | 29 | 355 |
| 9 | Shan (S) | Taunggyi | 164 | 523 | 6 | 493 | 6 | 81 | 5 | 77 | 5 | 69 |
| 10 | Shan (N) | Lashio | 388 | 1288 | 29 | 1100 | 22 | 427 | 20 | 406 | 13 | 288 |
| 11 | Magway | Pakokku | 177 | 652 | 4 | 612 | 3 | 67 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 12 |
| 12 | Sagaing | Monywa | 66 | 406 | 3 | 346 | 2 | 34 | 2 | 31 | 2 | 25 |
| 13 | Ayeyawady | whole region | 3505 | 9766 | 139 | 1416 | 19 | 299 | 7 | 147 | 4 | 23 |
| 14 | Magway | Magway | 133 | 729 | 7 | 567 | 5 | 57 | 5 | 57 | 1 | 11 |
| 15 | Shan (E) | Tachileik | 124 | 278 | 7 | 199 | 7 | 24 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 13 |
| 16 | Mon | Mawlamyine | 252 | 898 | 7 | 675 | 7 | 93 | 7 | 93 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Bago (W) | Pyay | 460 | 593 | 37 | 499 | 6 | 110 | 6 | 97 | 0 | 6 |
| 18 | Tanintharyi | Dawei | 259 | 350 | 0 | 245 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 |



## HIV Sentinel Surveillance (HSS)

Routine HIV Sentinel Surveillance was conducted by NAP. With the collaboration with NAP, it started to include new TB patients in 2005 at 5 sentinel sites, and expanded to 10 sites each in 2006, 2007 \& 2008, then to 15 sites in 2009, 20 sites in 2010 \& 2011, 25 sites in 2012 and 28 sites in 2013. However, one expanded township, Kawthaung, has reported with wrong format, so this site was not included in analysis of this year.

According to the results from 2013 survey, overall HIV prevalence among new TB patients decreased to $9.2 \%$ in 2013 from $9.7 \%$ in 2012.

Figure 11. Trend of HIV prevalence among new TB patients (2005-2013)


Figure 12 HIV prevalence among TB patients by sites (2005-2013)





Table 17. HIV prevalence among new TB patients, sentinel surveillance (2005-2013)

| No. | Sentinel <br> sites | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Yangon | $11.30 \%$ | $8.70 \%$ | $8.70 \%$ | $4.67 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| 2 | Pyay | $16.70 \%$ | $10.70 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ | $16.67 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| 3 | Bago |  | $11 \%$ | $10.70 \%$ | $9.33 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| 4 | Hpa-an | $3.30 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ | $6.70 \%$ | $8.67 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| 5 | Nyaung U | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7.30 \%$ | $6.67 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| 6 | Magway |  | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $8.67 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| 7 | Monywa |  | $23 \%$ | $16.10 \%$ | $28.77 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| 8 | Myeik |  |  | $15.30 \%$ | $7.33 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| 9 | Pathein |  | $6 \%$ | $9.30 \%$ | $7.33 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| 10 | Mawlamyine |  | $15 \%$ | $14.70 \%$ | $13.33 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ |
| 11 | Tachileik |  |  |  |  | $14.7 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| 12 | Sittway |  |  |  |  | $3.3 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| 13 | Loikaw |  |  |  |  | $2 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ |
| 14 | Hinthada |  |  |  |  | $6.8 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| 15 | Pyinmana |  |  |  |  | $13.4 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 16 | Dawei |  |  |  |  |  | $5.2 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |


| 17 | Myingyan |  |  |  |  |  | $11.0 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 18 | Taungoo |  |  |  |  |  | $14.2 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| 19 | Meikhtila |  |  |  |  |  | $20.7 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| 20 | Bahmo |  |  |  |  |  | $24.1 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ |
| 21 | Myaungmya |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $7.3 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| 22 | Shwebo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $8.7 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ |
| 23 | Pyinoolwin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10.4 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ |
| 24 | Kyaingtong |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10.6 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ |
| 25 | Maubin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $11.3 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ |
| 26 | Myawaddy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10.4 \%$ |
| 27 | Kalay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 8 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2 \%}$ |  |

## Isoniazid Preventive Therapy (IPT)

Isoniazid Preventive Therapy (IPT) project was started in June, 2009 at 9 townships. Then, it was expanded to 15 townships in 2012 and 28 townships in 2013. The "Workshop on implement IPT in Myanmar" was conducted at Yangon in 2013. Recommendations from this workshop were as follow:

1. IPT workshop strongly recommends to adopt IPT in PLHIV as a national policy in reducing the burden of TB in PLHIV
2. IPT should be implemented by NAP, by incorporation into NAP guidelines for opportunistic infection care and prevention
3. Scale-up of IPT should occur at all townships with NAP team and international NGOs with HIV clinical services incorporating into TB/HIV collaborative township activities
4. To provide logistic drugs, commodities, forms, registers \& reports to STD Teams \& partners through TB Teams
5. Programmatic M \& E should be done by collaborating NAP and NTP together
6. To conduct Operational Research for effectiveness of IPT and outcome of breakthrough TB by NAP/NTP
7. To conduct TB/HIV coordinating meeting at central, state/regional \& township level
8. To adapt 6 months IPT to reach nation-wide coverage by 2016 \& make detailed scale up plan

### 4.2.2 Prevention and care of MDR TB

Programmatic Management of Drug Resistant TB (PMDT) is one of the integral parts of Five Year National Strategic Plan (2011-2015). National Drug Resistant TB committee
was formed in 2006. National guideline for Programmatic Management of MDR-TB was published in 2013. DOTS-Plus Pilot Project was started in 2009, and concluded in 2011. MDR-TB pilot project could cover 10 townships (5 townships each from Yangon \& Mandalay Regions). A total of 309 MDR-TB cases were enrolled.

Total 492 patients could be put on treatment in 2011-2012 from 22 townships (11 townships each from Yangon \& Mandalay Regions). PMDT project is applying community based model for uncomplicated cases. In 2013, 53 townships were expanded for treating MDR-TB patients and total 1,468 MDR-TB cases were already enrolled for second line anti TB treatment at the end of 2013. The PMDT project will be scaled up to 108 townships in 2015 including all townships in Yangon region.

Government commitment for Second-line anti-TB drugs were increasing and total 1800 cases will be supported by government budget from 2014-2016. With the scaling up of MDR-TB, MDR-TB treatment will be available to 10,155 MDR-TB patients in 2014-2016.

The first cohort report from PMDT was shown in the following figure. It showed satisfactory performance with cure rate of $74 \%(37 / 50)$.

Figure 13. MDR-TB Treatment Outcomes (Cohort of $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter 2011)


### 4.2.3 Address prisoners, refugees and other high-risk groups and special situations

Looking inside prisons, TB is a major cause of sickness and death along with HIV, malnutrition, mental illness etc. Thus, NTP initiated TB control activities among prisoners in collaboration with Ministry of Home Affairs (MoHA). Coordinating mechanism for TB in prisons was developed in 2012 between Ministry of Health and Ministry of Home Affairs
(MoHA). As an output, referral/transfer mechanism for continuation of treatment after release and policies and operational guidelines for TB screening among prisoners were developed. Then, NTP started implementation at 3 prisons in 2012. In 2013, the number of prisons increased up to 20 prisons including Kawthaung, Sittwe, Kyaukphyu, Pathein, Myanungmya, Pyay, Taungoo, Tharyawaddy, Myingyan, Mandalay Central Jail, Insein, Myitkyina, Thayet, Mawlamyaing, Taungyi, Lashio, Monywa, Shwebo and Kalay from 7 regions and 6 states with GF support.

In 6 border townships (Tachileik, Myawaddy, Kawthaung, Maungdaw, Tamu and Muse), NTP strengthened community based DOTS under Global Fund. Meeting for proposal development of cross border health activities was held in Bangkok, Thailand. Most of the work on this issue was related to the equity to access TB treatment and care for all migrating people. This activity was also intended to overcome geographical, social and cultural barriers to health care. Special interventions were done in hard to reach areas where there were low case detection rates.

## Childhood TB

National Guideline for the management of TB in children was developed in 2007, published and disseminated. However, it was revised in 2012 according to rapid advice of WHO. Not only the development of guideline, but also the trainings for region/state TB officers as well as district TB team leaders and TMOs were provided.

In 2013, $25 \%$ ( $35,813 / 142,162$ ) were childhood TB cases including new smear positive, primary complex, hilar lymph adenopathy and TB meningitis. Childhood TB cases decreased in 2013 compared to 2012 (28.5\%).
"Workshop on Childhood TB Management" was conducted in August 2013. Professor Steven Graham (Consultant Paediatrician) and Dr. Dan Engleman (Paediatrician) from WHO attended this workshop and discussed the Childhood TB Management with Myanmar Consultant Paediatricians and NTP persons. The following recommendations were set up for Childhood TB Management in Myanmar.

1. To review \& revise the existing Childhood TB Management Guideline with suggestions from this workshop in coordination with the pediatricians
2. To disseminate the revised guidelines to health care providers giving anti-TB treatment including the pediatric society
3. To advocate the radiologists regarding the CXR opinions to diagnose the childhood TB
4. To conduct the trainings of pediatric CXR on TB for health care providers treating the children with TB
5. To start IPT at accessible area according to the guideline
6. To monitor the adverse effects on high dose regimen
7. To strengthen the contact tracing of child TB cases

Figure 14. Childhood TB cases detected by Regions \& States (2013)


The figure showed Childhood TB cases detected by regions and states in 2013. The highest number of childhood TB cases was found in Bago region, followed by Mon State, Yangon, Ayeyarwaddy, Tanintharyi and Mandalay regions.

## Strengthen infection control in health services, other congregate settings and households

Infection control measures were installed at health centres where MDR-TB and TB/HIV patients were taking treatment. N95 respirators, gowns and caps were provided for health staff with the support of GF in 2013.

With the support of GF, NTP could strengthen infection control measures by installation of stand fans, exhaust fans, running water and wash basins, renovation of TB laboratories, patient wards in TB hospitals, outpatient departments and staff rooms.

### 4.3 Contribute to health system strengthening

Myanmar Country Coordinating Mechanism (M-CCM) was established in October 2008 to oversee the national response related to the three diseases of HIV, TB and Malaria
as well as related health issues such as maternal, newborn and child health and other health-related Millennium Development Goals. This Governance Manual sets out the guidelines for the M-CCM members to oversee the implementation of national responses for AIDS, TB and Malaria and related health issues including the implementation of the Global Fund grants in Myanmar. It was changed to Myanmar Health Sector Coordinating Committee (MHSCC) in 2013.

The Technical and Strategy Group (TSG) TB coordinates with all implementing partners in monitoring and evaluation of programme implementation every quarter. The National TB programme coordinated with MHSCC, is contributing to health system development in a number of ways.

## Capacity Building

Human resource development is essential in achievement of NTP. Trainings and workshops were held within country for all levels of staffs and also sent to international workshops and training according to their area. In 2013, NTP organized several trainings in relating with human resource development.

Table 18. Training activities in 2013

| Training Topic | No. of trainings |
| :--- | :---: |
| Installation and TOT training of Gene Xpert | 5 |
| Training on 'Management of TB at District level | 1 |
| Training for BHS on 'Management of TB for health facility staff' | 20 |
| Training on Cohort review meeting | 30 |
| Training on TB Counselling | 20 |
| Training on sputum microscopy for lab. Technicians | 2 |
| Training on FLM for lab. Technicians | 3 |
| Orientation training on TB control updates for NTP staff | 3 |
| Training of NTP/NAP staff from TB/HIV expanded townships | 1 |
| TOT training for MDR-TB management | 5 |
| Training for MDR-TB management at township level | 1 |
| PPM |  |
| Advocacy and Training on PPM DOTS for new expanded hospitals | 2 |
| Community based TB Care |  |
| Training for new project area of MRCS volunteer | 4 |
| Training for MRCS volunteers in existing implementing townships | 4 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ |

Table 19. Training activities of NTP in 2013 with GF Funding
Training Activities of National Tuberculosis Programme (2013)

| No. | Region/State | Township | Training Period |  | No. of Attendees |  |  | Funding <br> Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | From | To | Male | Female | Total |  |
| Training for BHS on "Management of TB for health facility staff" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Shan(S) | Moenai | 22.1.13 | 24.1.13 | 5 | 24 | 29 | GF |
| 2 | Rakhine | Buthitaung | 4.3.13 | 6.3.13 | 10 | 30 | 40 | GF |
| 3 | Rakhine | Rathedaung | 23.4.13 | 25.4.13 |  |  | 56 | GF |
| 4 | Mandalay | Tharzi | 11.3.13 | 13.3.13 | 10 | 45 | 55 | GF |
| 5 | Mandalay | Kyaukpadaung | 20.3.13 | 23.3.13 | 8 | 32 | 40 | GF |
| 6 | Shan(N) | Namatu | 5.3.13 | 7.3.13 | 8 | 28 | 36 | GF |
| 7 | Shan(S) | Linkhay | 14.5.13 | 16.5.13 | 5 | 25 | 30 | GF |
| 8 | Yangon | Hmawbi | 29.5.13 | 31.5.13 | 9 | 51 | 60 | GF |
| 9 | Ayeyarwaddy | Pathein | 23.6.13 | 25.6.13 | 8 | 32 | 40 | GF |
| 10 | Mon | Thanbyuzayat | 15.5.13 | 17.5.13 | 4 | 36 | 40 | GF |
| 11 | Shan(East) | Kyaington | 14.8.13 | 16.8.13 | 2 | 32 | 34 | GF |
| 12 | Sagaing | Kyunhla | 21.8.13 | 23.8.13 | 3 | 28 | 31 | GF |
| 13 | Sagaing | Banmauk | 28.10.13 | 30.10 .13 | 7 | 29 | 36 | GF |
| 14 | Kayin | Kawkareik | 28.10.13 | 30.10.13 | 4 | 36 | 40 | GF |
| 15 | Magway | Salin | 30.4.13 | 2.3.13 | 9 | 22 | 31 | GF |
| 16 | Kachin | Shwegu | 2.12.13 | 5.12.13 | 4 | 34 | 38 | GF |
| 17 | Yangon | Thanlyin | 20.11 .13 | 22.11 .13 | 10 | 46 | 56 | GF |
| 18 | Bago | Nyaunglaybin | 17.12.13 | 19.12 .13 |  | 40 | 40 | GF |
| 19 | Bago | Padaung | 28.12 .13 | 30.12.13 | 7 | 33 | 40 | GF |
| 20 | Magway | Saydoktaya | 3.3.13 | 5.5.13 | 4 | 27 | 31 | GF |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training on Cohort review meeting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Yangon | Shwe pyi thar | 24.1.13 |  | 5 | 36 | 41 | GF |
| 2 | Ayeyarwaddy | Einme | 24.1.13 |  | 9 | 41 | 50 | GF |
| 3 | Rakhine | Pauktaw | 9.2.13 |  | 11 | 40 | 51 | GF |
| 4 | Yangon | N Okkalapa | 23.1.13 |  | 3 | 32 | 35 | GF |
| 5 | Ayeyarwaddy | Pyarpon | 29.1.13 |  | 9 | 41 | 50 | GF |
| 6 | Shan(S) | Taunggyi | 18.2.13 |  | 7 | 53 | 60 | GF |
| 7 | Shan(S) | Linkhay | 4.2.13 |  | 4 | 35 | 39 | GF |
| 8 | Magway | Pwintphyu | 5.3.13 |  | 6 | 44 | 50 | GF |
| 9 | Tanintharyi | Myeik | 18.2.13 |  | 9 | 49 | 58 | GF |
| 10 | Ayeyarwaddy | Bogalay | 30.1.13 |  | 4 | 46 | 50 | GF |
| 11 | Shan(N) | Tanyan | 8.2.13 |  |  |  | 27 | GF |
| 12 | Mon | Mudon | 28.1.13 |  | 10 | 48 | 58 | GF |
| 13 | Mandalay | Saintgaing | 5.2.13 |  | 8 | 42 | 50 | GF |
| 14 | Mandalay | Sintgu | 30.1.13 |  | 14 | 35 | 49 | GF |
| 15 | Mandalay | Mahlaing | 25.1.13 |  | 9 | 51 | 60 | GF |
| 16 | Sagaing | Wattlat | 14.2.13 |  | 8 | 42 | 50 | GF |
| 17 | Sagaing | Palae | 15.2.13 |  | 14 | 36 | 50 | GF |
| 18 | Shan (Taunggyi) | Meiyai | 7.2.13 |  |  |  | 28 | GF |


| 19 | Yangon | Dala | 18.2.13 |  |  |  | 60 | GF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | Bago | Oaktwin | 7.2.13 |  | 6 | 22 | 28 | GF |
| 21 | Bago | Thegone | 19.2.13 |  | 8 | 32 | 40 | GF |
| 22 | Ayeyarwaddy | Laymyetnar | 21.1.13 |  | 5 | 45 | 50 | GF |
| 23 | Kayin | Hlaingbwe | 31.1.13 |  |  |  | 30 | GF |
| 24 | Chin | Mindat | 28.3.13 |  | 2 | 28 | 30 | GF |
| 25 | Kachin | Mogaung | 27.2.13 |  | 13 | 38 | 51 | GF |
| 26 | Kachin | Mohnyin | 25.2.13 |  | 11 | 39 | 50 | GF |
| 27 | Magway | Seikphyu | 11.2.13 |  | 9 | 35 | 44 | GF |
| 28 | Yangon | Kyeemyindaing | 19.2.13 |  |  |  | 50 | GF |
| 29 | Tanintharyi | Thayetchaung |  |  |  |  | 35 |  |
| 30 | Shan (Kengtong) | Mongping | 6.2.13 |  |  |  | 26 | GF |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1322 |  |
| Training on "Management of TB at District Level" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Naypyitaw | Naypyitaw | 24.6.2013 | 28.6.2013 | 10 | 19 | 29 | GF |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOT Training for MDR TB Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Yangon | Aungsan | 6.8.13 | 8.8.13 |  |  | 20 | GF |
| 2 | Mandalay | Patheingyi | 9.9.13 | 11.9.13 | 1 | 20 | 21 | GF |
| 3 | Shan (South) | Taunggyi | 9.9.13 | 11.9.13 | 5 | 15 | 20 | GF |
| 4 | Shan (South) | Taunggyi | 12.9.13 | 14.9.13 | 9 | 11 | 20 | GF |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81 |  |
| Training on TB Counseling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Ayeyarwaddy | Dedaeyae | 20.2.13 | 22.2.13 |  |  | 45 | GF |
| 2 | Rakhine | Pauktaw | 6.2 .13 | 8.2.13 | 6 | 27 | 33 | GF |
| 3 | Shan(S) | Namsan | 5.3.13 | 7.3.13 | 6 | 24 | 30 | GF |
| 4 | Mandalay | Windwin | 18.3.13 | 20.3.13 | 4 | 26 | 30 | GF |
| 5 | Kachin | Waimaw | 14.3.13 | 16.3.13 | 2 | 28 | 30 | GF |
| 6 | Yangon | Latha | 9.4.13 | 11.4.13 |  | 30 | 30 | GF |
| 7 | Shan(N) | Meiyai | 9.3.13 | 11.3.13 |  |  | 30 | GF |
| 8 | Shan(S) | Hopone | 30.5.13 | 1.6.13 | 4 | 36 | 40 | GF |
| 9 | Rakhine | Minbyar | 28.5.13 | 30.5.13 |  |  | 46 | GF |
| 10 | Bago | Phyu | 14.5.13 | 16.5.13 | 4 | 26 | 30 | GF |
| 11 | Mon | Kyeikmayaw | 28.5.13 | 30.5.13 | 5 | 35 | 40 | GF |
| 12 | Bago | Oakpho | 28.8.13 | 30.8.13 | 10 | 25 | 35 | GF |
| 13 | Sagaing | Shwebo | 24.6.13 | 26.6.13 | 7 | 23 | 30 | GF |
| 14 | Mandalay | Yamaethin | 9.10 .13 | 10.10.13 | 9 | 45 | 54 | GF |
| 15 | Magway | Chauk | 24.4.13 | 25.4.13 | 10 | 21 | 31 | GF |
| 16 | Magway | Seikphyu | 27.4.13 | 29.4.13 | 6 | 25 | 31 | GF |
| 17 | Shan(East) | Techileike | 18.9.13 |  | 8 | 34 | 42 | GF |
| 18 | Yangon | North Okkalapa | 20.8.13 | 22.8.13 | 4 | 26 | 30 | GF |
| 19 | Kayin | Hlaingbwe | 9.12.13 | 12.12.13 | 7 | 33 | 40 |  |
| 20 | Sagaing | Tamu | 6.12 .12 | 8.12.13 | 5 | 25 | 30 |  |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Orientation training on TB control update for NTP staff

| 1 | Naypyitaw |  | 27.9.13 | 28.9.13 |  |  | 58 | GF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Shan(East) | Kyaington | 16.10.13 | 17.10.13 | 1 | 8 | 9 |  |
| 3 | Tanintharyi | Dawei | 8.6.13 | 9.6.13 |  |  | 9 |  |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training on FLM for Lab. Technicians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Yangon | Insein | 25.2.13 | 1.3.13 | 8 | 9 | 17 | GF |
| 2 | Yangon | Insein | 24.6.13 | 28.6.13 | 8 | 12 | 20 |  |
| 3 | Mandalay | Patheingyi | 24.6.13 | 28.6.13 | 10 | 16 | 26 |  |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training on sputum microscopy for Lab. Technicians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mandalay | Patheingyi | 10.6.13 | 14.6.13 | 8 | 15 | 23 | GF |
| 2 | Yangon | Insein | 10.6.13 | 14.6.13 | 3 | 13 | 16 | GF |


| Sub Total |
| :--- | :--- |
| Training of NTP/NAP staff on TB/HIV from currently implementing townships |


| 1 | Mandalay | Mandalay | 1.9.2013 | 3.9.2013 | 6 | 30 | 36 | GF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |  |
| Training of NTP/NAP staff on TB/HIV from newly expanded townships |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Yangon | Latha | 18.9.2013 | 20.9.2013 | 6 | 16 | 22 | GF |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |
| Training for new project area of MRCS volunteer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Magway | Yesagyo | 4.2.2013 | 6.2.2013 | 10 | 13 | 20 | GF |
| 2 | Sagaing | Yinmarbin | 3.3.2013 | 5.3.2013 | 8 | 12 | 20 | GF |
| 3 | Sagaing | YeU | 10.3.2013 | 12.3.2013 | 2 | 18 | 20 | GF |
| 4 | Sagaing | Shwebo | 20.3.2013 | 22.3.2013 | 13 | 7 | 20 | GF |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 |  |
| Training for MRCS volunteers in existing implementing townships |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Sagaing | Depayin | 19.8.2013 |  | 17 | 3 | 20 | GF |
| 2 | Naypyitaw | Lewei | 28.8.2013 |  | 5 | 13 | 18 | GF |
| 3 | Mandalay | Pyawbwe | 6.9.2013 |  | 10 | 10 | 20 | GF |
| 4 | Mandalay | Saintgaing | 17.9.2013 |  | 3 | 15 | 18 | GF |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 |  |
| Advocacy and Training on PPM DOTS for newly expanded hospitals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Yangon | Yangon Children Hospital | 5.2.2013 | 7.2.2013 |  |  | 20 | GF |
| 2 | Yangon | Yankin Children Hospital | 26.2.2013 | 28.2.2013 |  |  | 20 | GF |
| 3 | Mandalay | 550 bedded Children Hospital | 6.2.2013 | 8.2.2013 | 1 | 19 | 20 | GF |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |  |

Table 20. Training activities with other funding sources (2013)

| No. | Region/State | Township | Training Period |  | No. of Attendees |  |  | Funding Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | From | To | Male | Female | Total |  |
| Refresher Training course on TB Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Naypyitaw | Naypyitaw | 12.2.2013 | 15.2.2013 | 18 | 13 | 31 | WHO |
| 2 | Naypyitaw | Naypyitaw | 18.2.2013 | 20.2.2013 | 18 | 10 | 28 | WHO |
| 3 | Naypyitaw | Naypyitaw | 1.3.2013 | 3.3.2013 | 14 | 22 | 36 | WHO |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training on Interviewer Skill for collection data of TB Mortality Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Yangon | Latha | 11.3.2013 | 13.3.2013 | 4 | 20 | 24 | WHO |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training on TB control for phamacists |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mandalay | Chanmyatharzi | 16.5.2013 | 16.5.2013 |  |  | 34 | JICA |
| 2 | Mandalay | Nahtogyi | 14.5.2013 | 14.5.2013 |  |  | 66 | JICA |
| 3 | Mandalay | Chanaytharzan | 26.6.2013 |  | 15 | 43 | 58 | JICA |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158 |  |
| Training on TB control for community health volunteers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mandalay | Ngazun | 13.5.2013 | 13.5.2013 |  |  | 45 | JICA |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |
| Training for STLS on EQA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Yangon | Latha | 20.6.2013 | 21.6.2013 | 13 | 15 | 28 | JICA |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |
| Training on MDR TB for new expansion townships |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mandalay | Patheingyi | 16.10.13 | 18.10.13 | 17 | 23 | 40 | 3 MDG |
| 2 | Yangon | Latha | 22.10 .13 | 24.10.13 | 10 | 19 | 29 | 3 MDG |
| 3 | Yangon | Latha | 28.10.13 | 30.10.13 | 11 | 17 | 28 | 3 MDG |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |
| MDR TB training for Physicians, TMOs and team leaders |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Yangon | Latha | 18.12.13 | 20.12.13 |  |  | 51 | 3 MDG |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |  |
| Training for BHS on MDR TB management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Bago | Bago | 2.12.13 | 3.12.13 | 1 | 34 | 35 | 3 MDG |
| 2 | Bago | Bago | 4.12.13 | 5.12 .13 |  |  | 35 | 3 MDG |
| 3 | Bago | Bago | 6.12.13 | 7.12.13 | 12 | 23 | 35 | 3 MDG |
| 4 | Bago | Pyay | 9.12.13 | 10.12.13 | 7 | 28 | 35 | 3 MDG |
| 5 | Bago | Pyay | 11.12.13 | 12.12 .13 | 10 | 25 | 35 | 3 MDG |
| 6 | Bago | Pyay | 13.12.13 | 14.12.13 | 6 | 29 | 35 | 3 MDG |
| 7 | Yangon | Hlegu | 23.12 .13 | 24.12.13 | 5 | 25 | 30 | 3 MDG |
| 8 | Yangon | Hlegu | 26.12 .13 | 27.12.13 | 5 | 30 | 35 | 3 MDG |
| 9 | Yangon | Hlegu | 30.12.13 | 20.12.13 | 5 | 32 | 37 | 3 MDG |
| 10 | Yangon | Latha | 26.12.13 | 27.12.13 | 2 | 27 | 29 | 3 MDG |
| 11 | Yangon | Latha | 29.12.13 | 30.12.13 | 4 | 32 | 36 | 3 MDG |
| 12 | Tanintharyi | Dawei | 9.12.13 | 10.12.13 |  | 32 | 33 | 3 MDG |
| 13 | Tanintharyi | Dawei | 11.12.13 | 12.12.13 | 5 | 23 | 28 | 3 MDG |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 438 |  |

Table 21. International Trainings, Meetings \& Workshops attended by NTP staff

| No. | Name and Designation | Duration | Country | Attended training/ workshop/ meeting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dr. Cho Cho San <br> (AD, NTP Central) <br> Dr. Win Naing <br> (RO, Mon \& Kayin States) <br> Dr. Lwin Lwin Mon <br> (Team leader, Myeik) | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 .13 \text { to } \\ \text { 6.6.13 } \end{gathered}$ | Thailand | Forum on International Migration and Health |
| 2 | Dr. Tin Maung Swe (RO, Magway) <br> Dr. Aye Aye Thwe (MO, NTP Central) | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 .13 \text { to } \\ \text { 6.6.13 } \end{gathered}$ | Moroco | Meeting on Strategic and Technical for Tuberculosis Control |
| 3 | Dr. Thandar Lwin (DD, NTP Central) | $\begin{gathered} 22.3 .13 \text { to } \\ 29.3 .13 \end{gathered}$ | Korea | Study Tour on Capacity Building at Department of Medical Research (Lower Myanmar) for Advanced New TB/MDR-TB Diagnosis |
| 4 | Dr. Thandar Lwin (DD, Disease control) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 17.4 .13 \text { to } \\ 18.4 .13 \end{gathered}$ | Indonesia | Workshop for prevention and control of 3 Diseases |
| 5 | Dr. Thandar Lwin (DD, Disease control) | $\begin{gathered} 23.9 .13 \text { to } \\ 27.9 .13 \end{gathered}$ | Thailand | Regional Meeting of National TB Control Programme Managers and Partners |
| 6 | Dr. Thandar Lwin (DD, Disease control) Dr. Si Thu Aung (AD, NTP Central) Dr. Mar Mar Htay (RO, Ayeyarwaddy) | $\begin{gathered} 30.10 .13 \\ \text { to } 3.11 .13 \end{gathered}$ | France | $44^{\text {th }}$ Union World Conference on Lung Health |
| 7 | Dr. Ko Ko Htwe (MO, Mandalay NTP) | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 .13 \text { to } \\ 3.8 .13 \end{gathered}$ | Japan | Training on Strengthening of Tuberculosis (TB) control towards MDGs and elimination |
| 8 | Dr. Khin Zaw Latt (Consultant Microbiologist, NTRL) <br> Dr. Tin Tin Mar (Consultant Microbiologist, EQA Centre) | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 .13 \text { to } \\ 13.4 .13 \end{gathered}$ | Vietnam | $4^{\text {th }}$ Asia Pacific Region Conference of the International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases |
| 9 | Dr. Thin Lei Swe (Microbiologist, UMTB Laboratory, Mandalay) Dr. Win Win Nyunt (Microbiologist, NTRL, Yangon) | $\begin{gathered} 15.4 .13 \text { to } \\ 18.4 .13 \end{gathered}$ | France | $5^{\text {th }}$ Global Laboratory Initiative (GLI) partners Meeting for Advance in TB Diagnostic Services |


| 10 | Dr. Htay Lwin <br> (RO, Tanintharyi Region) | 29.4 .13 to <br> 11.5 .13 | Italy | Training on Stop TB Strategy for <br> Managers and Consultants |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | Dr. Cho Cho San <br> (AD, Central NTP) <br> Dr. Aye Aye Thwe <br> (MO, Central NTP) | 8.10 .13 to <br> 10.10 .13 | Vietnam | Multi-Country Workshop for <br> analysis of data from Anti-TB <br> DRS |
|  | Dr. Si Thu Aung (AD, <br> Central NTP) | 24.6 .13 to | Thailand | Regional meeting on MDR-TB |
|  | Rr. Aye Thein <br> (RO, Sagaing Region) | 27.6 .13 |  |  |

### 4.4 Engaging all care providers

### 4.4.1 Public-Public and Public-Private Mix (PPM) approaches

There are many non-TB providers who could serve a large number of TB suspects and patients from both public and private sector. Public-Public and Public-Private Mix (PPM) approach strengthen TB prevention and control services in Myanmar.

### 4.4.2 Public-Public

Public-Public Mix DOTS has been launched in 4 specialist hospitals (New YGH, East and West YGH, Thingungyun Sanpya General Hospital) in Yangon with the 3DF bridging fund since May 2007; then expanded to Insein General Hospital, 1000-bedded Hospital (NayPyiTaw), Mingalardon Specialist Hospital, AungSan TB Hospital and Patheingyi TB Hospital. Public-Public Mix DOTS was initiated as a pilot phase, aiming to strengthen the TB control services between public hospitals and public TB centres.

PPM-DOTS hospitals run with four options:
Option 1: Diagnosis of TB cases + prescription of treatment regimen in hospital followed by referral to Health Centre for DOT, with clinical follow-up at hospital
Option 2: Same as Option 1 without clinical follow-up at hospital
Option 3: Diagnosis of TB cases + starting Directly Observed Treatment (DOT) in hospital followed by referral to Health Centre during treatment

Option 4: Diagnosis of TB cases + providing full treatment (DOT) at hospital
Currently all hospitals are practicing both option 3 and 4. NTP and WHO conducted joint monitoring and supervisory visits regularly. In 2013, the number of PPM hospitals became increased to twenty-three.

In 2013, PPM-Hospitals contributed 1.6\% to total new smear positive TB patients and $3.3 \%$ to total all forms of TB cases.

Table 22. New Smear Positive TB Patients and All Forms of TB Patients of PPM DOTS Hospitals (2013)

| No. | Hospitals | New Smear Positive | Total TB cases |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Aung San Hos: | 45 | 237 |
| 2 | Patheingyi Hos: | 13 | 37 |
| 3 | East YGH | 13 | 121 |
| 4 | Mingalardon Hos: | 142 | 1290 |
| 5 | No.1MBH (PyinOoLwin) | 37 | 253 |
| 6 | 1000 bedded hospital (Naypyitaw) | 77 | 303 |
| 7 | Thingangyun Sanpya Hos: | 7 | 80 |
| 8 | Central Jail Mandalay | 42 | 140 |
| 9 | New YGH | 29 | 18 |
| 10 | West YGH | 18 | 45 |
| 11 | Tharketa HIV hospital | 2 | 96 |
| 12 | Insein general hospital | 11 | 658 |
| 13 | Htantabin TB hospital | 44 | 34 |
| 14 | Pathein General Hospital | 3 | 80 |
| 15 | No(1) MBH (Mandalay Nantwin) | 32 | 296 |
| 16 | 300 bedded teaching hospital (Mdy) | 28 | 47 |
| 17 | North Okkalapa General Hospital | 0 | 156 |
| 18 | 550 bedded child hospital (Mdy) | 66 | 198 |
| 19 | Hpa-an General Hospital | 20 | 19 |
| 20 | Myeik general hospital | 12 | 409 |
| 21 | Mawlamyine general hospital | 1 | 30 |
| 22 | Yangon Children Hospital | 66 |  |

Table 23. Outcome of new smear positive TB patients of PPM-DOTS Hospitals implementing Option 4 (2012 cohort)

| No. | Hospitals | Cured | TSR | Died | Failed | Defaulted | Transferred <br> out |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Aung San Hospital | $33 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| 2 | Patheingyi Hospital | $67 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 3 | East YGH | $75 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 4 | Mingalardon Hospital | $51 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| 5 | No.1MBH (PyinOoLwin) | $62 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| 6 | 1000 bedded hospital <br> (Naypyitaw) | $83 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 7 | Thingangyun Sanpya <br> Hospital | $69 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 8 | Central Jail Mandalay | $82 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| 9 | New YGH | $87 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 10 | West YGH | $53 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 11 | Tharketa HIV hospital | $60 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| 12 | Insein general hospital | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 13 | Htantabin TB hospital | $83 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| 14 | Pathein General Hospital | $59 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 15 | No(1) MBH (Mandalay <br> Nantwin) | $86 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 16 | 300 bedded teaching <br> hospital (Mdy) | $67 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 17 | North Okkalapa General <br> Hospital | $59 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

Annual evaluation meeting for PPM-DOTS Hospitals is conducted every year. Annual evaluation meeting for PPM-DOTS Hospitals was conducted in December 2013 at Central Hotel, Yangon. The recommendations from 2013 meeting were as follows:

1. To conduct Training including Revised $R \& R /$ refresher training for newly recruited staff and expanded PPM hospital staff (AMS, MO, Lab technician, pharmacist, social worker) (Central, Region)
2. To conduct Training including Revised R\&R/ refresher training for newly recruited staff and expanded PPM hospital staff (AMS, MO, Lab technician, pharmacist, social worker) To conduct Training including Revised R\&R/ refresher training for newly recruited staff and expanded PPM hospital staff (AMS, MO, Lab technician, pharmacist, social worker)
3. To reduce dropout rate for option 3 cases by appropriate ways. (MS, AMS,TB Coordinator)
4. To improve TB case finding among all OPD attendance and admitted inpatient case.

## Public-Private

Public-Private Mix (PPM) DOTS is implemented with MMA, PSI and JICA. Some Private Practitioners (PPs) use scheme (I) in which they educate about TB and refer TB suspects to TB centers.

Table 24. Area coverage and activities of Implementing Partners

| Name of Local NGOs | Area Coverage and activities |
| :---: | :---: |
| MMCWA | Community based TB care at 10 townships in Mon State, 27 townships in Bago Region except Kyaukgyi township, expanded to 26 townships in Mandalay Region, 3 townships (Pyinmana, Tatkone \& lewei) in NayPyiTaw, 2 townships (Pha-an \& Hlaingbwe) in Kayin State \& one township (Twantay) in Yangon Region. |
| MWAF | Community based TB care at all 26 townships of Ayeyarwaddy Region, 9 townships in Shan (Kengtong) Region, 2 townships in Kayah Region, 16 in Shan (Lashio) State, 12 in Shan (Taunggyi) \& 9 townships in Tanintharyi Region. |
| MMA | PPM-DOTS activities, mainly scheme I covering altogether 116 townships of which 29 townships were implementing scheme III. MMA was functioning with 1266 GPs for Scheme I and 190 GPs for Scheme III. 77 volunteers were trained $\& 67$ actively participated. |
| MRCS | Multiplier training (Peer Education) for Red Cross Volunteers, comprehensive IEC Campaign, Defaulter Tracing, case detection and referral, home based care and support at 5 townships (Kungyangon, Kawhmu, Twantay, Thonegwa \& Kyauktan) in Yangon Region, 2 townships (Lewei \& Takone) in NayPyiTaw Council Area, 3 townships (Yamethin, Pyawbwe \& Sintgaing) in Mandalay Region, 4 townships (Depeyin, Yinmarbin, Ye U, Shwebo) in Sagaing Region \& 1 township (Yesagyo) in Magway Region. |
| MHAA | Myanmar Health Assistant Association (MHAA) worked to mobilize and empower the community to reduce the burden of TB at 3 townships (Meiktila, Thazi and Mahlaing) in Mandalay Region and 3 townships (Pakokku, Myaing and Seik Phyu) in Magway Region. In addition, MHAA worked for care and support for MDR-TB, supported by USAID at 2 townships (Hlaingtharya and Insein) in Yangon Region and 7 townships (Patheingyi, Chanaytharzan, Mahaaungmyay, Chanmyathazi, Pyigyitagon, Amarapura and Aungmyaythazan) in Mandalay Region. |


| Name of Bilateral agency | Area Coverage and activities |
| :---: | :---: |
| JICA | Supported TB control activities at 6 townships (South Dagon, Hlaing, Kyauktan, South Oakkalapa, Taikkyi \& Twantay) in Yangon and 5 townships (Chanmyatharzi, Maharaungmyay, Nahtogyi, Ngazun \& Pyinmana) in Mandalay Region. |
| Name of INGOs | Area Coverage and activities |
| PSI | TB diagnosis \& treatment through Sun Quality Health Clinics (SQHC) at 197 townships by 934 Sun Quality Health Providers (SQHP), through active case finding by 1796 Sun Primary Health Providers (SPHP). TBREACH project at 36 townships by 57 interpersonel communicators (IPC) and at 30 townships by 448 pharmacies. PSI also integrates TB/HIV services as well as performs ACSM activities. |
| MSF- Holland | Treatment of TB/MDR-TB and TB/ HIV patients at 3 townships (Hlaingtharyar, Insein \& Tharketa) in Yangon Region, 5 townships (Myitkyina, Bahmo, Waingmaw, Moegaung, Pharkant) in Kachin State, 3 townships (Lashio, Muse, Mongshu) in Shan (Lashio) State \& 3 townships (Sittwe, Butheetaung \& Maungdaw) in Rakhine State. MSF-H is only partner of NTP for MDR-TB management. MDR-TB management in Yangon Region and Shan State (Lashio). |
| MSF- <br> Switzerland | TB/HIV control at all townships of Tanintharyi Region, Dawei District (4 townships) for TB diagnosis and HIV testing. |
| World Vision International | Conduct case finding and provide nutritional support through volunteers in Hlaingthayar (Yangon Region), Loikaw (Kayah State), Thanphyuzayat (Mon State), Dewei, Myeik, Thayetchaung, Longlon \& Kawthaung (Taninthayi Region). |
| IUATLD | Supported Integrated TB/HIV care at 7 Townships of Mandalay Region, Pakkoku township from Magway Region, Taunggyi township, Lashio township from Shan State, and then expanded to Myingyan and Meikhtilar townships in Mandalay Region, Monywa township in Sagaing Region, and Tharketa township in Yangon Region. IPT initiation in adult HIV positive pateints' activity was also carried out in 7 townships of Mandalay Region. |
| IOM | Care and support to TB patients at 6 townships (Mawlamyaing, Mudon, Kyikmayaw, Thanphyuzayat, Ye \& Belin) in Mon State and at one township ( Myawaddy) in Kayin State. |
| Malteser | Referral of TB patients \& giving care to TB patients at Maungdaw \& Buthidaung townships in northan Rakhine State. |
| Pact Myanmar | Community mobilization, behavior change communication and health education session at targeted villages of 3 townships (Pale, Htigyaint \& Kawlin) in Sagaing Region \& 1 townships (Magway) in Magway Region. |


| AHRN | Capacity building, training for health staff, provision of IEC materials and support to TB treatment for intravenous drug users (IDU) to reduce the incidence of TB, TB/Drug use related issues and TB/HIV co-infection among drug users at Lashio \& Laukkai in Shan (Lashio) State, Pharkant, Waingmaw \& Bahmaw townships in Kachin State. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cesvi | Health education on TB at 90 villages of 5 townships (Kyaukme, Namtu, Mongmeik, Mabein and Hsipaw) townships of Shan (Lashio) State and one township (Madaya) in Mandalay Region for TB control by promoting case finding and referral by trained Voluntary Health Workers. |
| MDM | TB diagnosis, treatment provision and follow-up at Hlaing township of Yangon Region, and Myitkyina, Moegaung and Mohnyin townsips of Kachin State. |
| FHI360 | Provides technical assistance in close collaboration with NTP, building a patient-centered community driven model through implementing partners and capacity development for partners both technical and organizational in 38 PMDT townships for DR TB patients. Implementing partners are MMA covering 18 townships, 8 townships in Yangon (North Okkalapa, South Okkalapa, North Dagon, South Dagon, Insein, Shwepyithar, Tharketa, Thanlyin), 6 townships in Mandalay (Aungmyaytharzan, Chanmyatharzi, Chanaytharzan, Maharaungmyay, Kyaukse, Myeikhtila), 1 township each in Sagaing (Monywa), Magway (Pakokku), Shan State (Lashio) and Mon (Mawlamyaing), Pyi Gyi Khin covering 6 townships in Yangon (Mingalardon, Mayangone, Hlaing, North Dagon, Shwe Pyi Thar and North Okkalapa), Myanmar Health Assistant Association (MHAA) implementing 7 townships in Mandalay (Aungmyaytharzan, Chanayetharzan, Maharaungmyay, Patheingyi, Pyigyitagon, Amarapura and Chanmyatharzi) and 2 townships in Yangon (Insein and Hlaingtharyar) and Myanmar Business Coalition on AID (MBCA) implementing in Monywa Industrial Zone. |
| Projeto | Nutritional support to TB patients at Magway township. |
| JATA | Technical support. |

Table 25. Contribution of MMA PPM-DOTS Scheme I (2013)
Main Townships

| No. | Name of townships (main) | No. of TB suspects referred for Dx | No.of feedback received | Smear (+)TBpatientsput on TBtreatment |  | Smear (-)TB <br> patients put on <br> TB treatment |  |  | No. of <br> Total <br> TB | No. of Non TB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cat } \\ & \text { I } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cat } \\ & \text { II } \end{aligned}$ | Cat I | Cat II | Cat <br> III |  |  |
| 1 | Insein | 211 | 210 | 59 | 2 | 50 | 3 | 3 | 118 | 92 |
| 2 | North Dagon | 99 | 99 | 33 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 54 | 45 |
| 3 | South Dagon | 237 | 206 | 52 | 9 | 21 | 6 | 15 | 103 | 103 |
| 4 | North Okkalapa | 119 | 115 | 20 | 4 | 17 | 12 | 2 | 55 | 60 |
| 5 | South <br> Okkalapa | 124 | 124 | 31 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 5 | 79 | 45 |
| 6 | Shwepyitar | 49 | 49 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 30 |
| 7 | Thanlyin | 164 | 159 | 45 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 89 |
| 8 | Thakata | 131 | 128 | 32 | 6 | 19 | 1 | 3 | 61 | 67 |
| 9 | Kyimyindine | 188 | 188 | 45 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 7 | 81 | 107 |
| 10 | Latha | 28 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 8 |
| 11 | Taikkyi | 66 | 66 | 11 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 37 |
| 12 | Hlaingtharya | 146 | 114 | 68 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 113 | 1 |
| 13 | Bago | 241 | 216 | 30 | 5 | 74 | 10 | 24 | 143 | 73 |
| 14 | Pyay | 176 | 159 | 31 | 1 | 52 | 2 | 31 | 117 | 42 |
| 15 | Mawlamyaing | 100 | 100 | 21 | 4 | 16 | 1 | 5 | 47 | 53 |
| 16 | Kyeikto | 71 | 68 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 60 |
| 17 | Hpa-an | 226 | 209 | 71 | 1 | 112 | 0 | 8 | 192 | 17 |
| 18 | Sittway | 27 | 27 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 5 |
| 19 | Pathein | 132 | 130 | 23 | 3 | 31 | 3 | 29 | 89 | 41 |
| 20 | Phyarpone | 235 | 185 | 76 | 2 | 50 | 1 | 42 | 171 | 14 |
| 21 | Aungmyaytharzan | 219 | 215 | 36 | 2 | 28 | 2 | 2 | 70 | 145 |


| 22 | Chanaye- <br> tharzan | 59 | 59 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 38 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 23 | Chanmya- <br> tharzi | 51 | 51 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 40 |
| 24 | Maha- <br> aungmyay | 127 | 127 | 29 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 78 |
| 25 | Kyaukse | 69 | 69 | 27 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 23 | 61 | 8 |
| 26 | Myingyan | 50 | 37 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 23 | 11 |
| 27 | Meiktila | 198 | 171 | 30 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 36 | 88 | 83 |
| 28 | Pyinmana | 136 | 117 | 23 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 89 |
| 29 | Magway | 64 | 64 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 8 | 41 | 23 |
| 30 | Pakokku | 136 | 136 | 16 | 0 | 38 | 6 | 17 | 77 | 59 |
| 31 | Monywa | 229 | 154 | 37 | 2 | 18 | 2 | 3 | 62 | 92 |
| 32 | Lashio | 62 | 62 | 11 | 4 | 20 | 2 | 13 | 50 | 12 |
| 33 | Kyaukme | 127 | 127 | 64 | 8 | 42 | 0 | 13 | 127 | 0 |
| 34 | Muse | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 35 | Taungyyi | 113 | 97 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 19 | 37 | 60 |
| 36 | Myitkyinar | 101 | 88 | 4 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 34 | 62 | 26 |
| Total |  | 4515 | 4155 | 0 | 83 | 834 | 62 | 396 | 2396 | 1756 |

## Attached Townships

| No. | Name of townships (attached) | No. of TB <br> suspect <br> referred <br> for Dx | No.of feedback received | Smear (+) <br> TB <br> patients <br> put on TB <br> treatment |  | Smear (-)TB <br> patients put on <br> TB treatment |  |  | No. of Total TB | No. of NonTB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mayangon | 52 | 52 | 10 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 26 |
| 2 | East Dagon | 73 | 73 | 18 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 1 | 42 | 31 |
| 3 | Dagon Seikkan | 13 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 1 |
| 4 | Thingangyun | 112 | 112 | 51 | 9 | 39 | 6 | 6 | 111 | 1 |
| 5 | Mingalardon | 30 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 15 |
| 6 | Kyauktan | 31 | 31 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 15 |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}\hline 7 & \text { Khayan } & 42 & 42 & 18 & 2 & 5 & 0 & 5 & 30 & 12 \\ \hline 8 & \text { Tonekwa } & 22 & 20 & 9 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 11 & 9 \\ \hline 9 & \text { Dawpon } & 71 & 70 & 23 & 4 & 13 & 1 & 0 & 41 & 29 \\ \hline 10 & \text { Pazuntaung } & 18 & 18 & 5 & 1 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 14 & 4 \\ \hline 11 & \text { Sanchaung } & 74 & 74 & 31 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 2 & 42 & 32 \\ \hline 12 & \text { Ahlon } & 40 & 40 & 7 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 28 \\ \hline 13 & \text { Kamaryut } & 32 & 32 & 21 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 27 & 5 \\ \hline 14 & \text { Seikkyi- } & \text { kanaungto } & 11 & 11 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ \hline 15 & \text { Lanmadaw } & 61 & 48 & 9 & 2 & 16 & 0 & 0 & 27 & 21 \\ \hline 16 & \text { Pabedan } & 69 & 49 & 10 & 1 & 19 & 0 & 2 & 32 & 17 \\ \hline 17 & \text { Kyauktada } & 25 & 22 & 1 & 1 & 9 & 1 & 0 & 12 & 10 \\ \hline 18 & \text { Hlaing } & 154 & 135 & 51 & 6 & 23 & 2 & 12 & 94 & 41 \\ \hline 19 & \text { Hmawbi } & 49 & 49 & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 2 & 18 & 31 \\ \hline 20 & \text { Hlegu } & 33 & 29 & 3 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 11 & 18 \\ \hline 21 & \text { Botahtaung } & 51 & 31 & 14 & 1 & 10 & 1 & 2 & 28 & 3 \\ \hline 22 & \text { Mingalar- } & \text { taungnyunt } & 36 & 18 & 5 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 1 & 16\end{array}\right) 20$

| 40 | Zigon | 42 | 37 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | Paungde | 72 | 49 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 38 |
| 42 | Taungoo | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 43 | DaikOo | 142 | 140 | 58 | 8 | 20 | 9 | 32 | 127 | 13 |
| 44 | Oaktwin | 42 | 39 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 22 | 17 |
| 45 | Phyu | 264 | 247 | 10 | 2 | 32 | 1 | 188 | 233 | 14 |
| 46 | Yedashe | 57 | 44 | 18 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 15 |
| 47 | Paung | 7 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 |
| 48 | Mudon | 13 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 2 |
| 49 | Kyeikmayaw | 25 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 18 | 7 |
| 50 | Hlaingbwe | 136 | 136 | 47 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 75 | 133 | 3 |
| 51 | Pauktaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | Kyauktaw | 16 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 |
| 53 | MraukU | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 54 | Kangyidauk | 91 | 91 | 44 | 2 | 40 | 0 | 3 | 89 | 2 |
| 55 | Kyaiklatt | 100 | 97 | 30 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 60 | 96 | 1 |
| 56 | Bogalay | 163 | 107 | 27 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 16 | 54 | 53 |
| 57 | Maubin | 53 | 53 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 26 | 27 |
| 58 | Nyaungtone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 59 | Pantanaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60 | Kyaunggon | 207 | 207 | 40 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 57 | 150 |
| 61 | Myaungmya | 101 | 101 | 27 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 46 | 55 |
| 62 | Pyinoolwin | 123 | 123 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 105 |
| 63 | Amarapura | 12 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| 64 | Patheingyi | 29 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 20 |
| 65 | Pyigyitagon | 43 | 43 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 25 |
| 66 | Myitthar | 81 | 81 | 26 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 18 | 81 | 0 |
| 67 | Sintgaing | 74 | 74 | 21 | 3 | 25 | 1 | 24 | 76 | 0 |
| 68 | Taungtar | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 69 | Natogyi | 30 | 29 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 28 | 1 |
| 70 | Sagaing | 18 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 4 |
| 71 | Naypyitaw <br> (Leiway) | 8 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| 72 | Naypyitaw <br> (Tatkone) | 13 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 |


| 73 | Wundwin | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 74 | Mahlaing | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| 75 | Tharzi | 20 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 76 | Pyawbwe | 40 | 36 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 29 | 7 |
| 77 | Yamethin | 131 | 115 | 22 | 3 | 21 | 1 | 25 | 72 | 43 |
| 78 | Namkan | 8 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| 79 | Hsipaw | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 80 | Kalaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 81 | Naungshwe | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 82 | Pindaya | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 83 | Pharkhant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Attached Total | 4243 | 3828 | 1055 | 90 | 677 | 50 | 689 | 2564 | 1266 |  |
| Main total | 4515 | 4155 | 1020 | 83 | 834 | 62 | 396 | 2396 | 1756 |  |
| Grand Total | 8758 | 7983 | 2075 | 173 | 1511 | 112 | 1085 | 4960 | 3022 |  |

Figure 15. TB Cases Notified by MMA PPM-DOTS Scheme I Program (2007-2013)


MMA implement Scheme I activities at 119 townships that covers in 7 regions and 5 states. Presumptive TB cases referral, total TB cases and new smear positive cases were increased year by year. It was peak in 2012 and slightly decreased in 2013.

Table 26. MMA Scheme III Contribution to New Smear Positive Cases \& Total TB Cases (2009-2013)

| Years | TB suspected <br> cases screened | Cat I (+) | Cat I <br>  <br> EP) | Cat II | Cat III | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | 2,329 | 558 | 469 | 114 | 654 | 1,795 |
| 2010 | 3,778 | 655 | 677 | 109 | 812 | 2,253 |
| 2011 | 4,902 | 799 | 900 | 149 | 1148 | 2,996 |
| 2012 | 4,204 | 872 | 904 | 141 | 1189 | 3,106 |
| 2013 | 4,580 | 1061 | 1051 | 163 | 710 | 2,985 |

Figure 16. MMA Scheme III Contribution to New Smear Positive Cases \& Total TB Cases (2009-2013)


Table 27. Contribution of PSI Myanmar (2004-2013)

| Years | TB <br> suspected <br> cases <br> screened | Cat I (+) | Cat I <br> (Neg. \& EP) | Cat II | Cat III | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2004 | 3,530 | 840 | 256 | 199 | 927 | 2,222 |
| 2005 | 11,048 | 2,262 | 571 | 396 | 2,311 | 554 |
| 2006 | 19,798 | 3,560 | 1,200 | 556 | 4,116 | 9,432 |
| 2007 | 23,607 | 3,837 | 1,694 | 589 | 4,023 | 10,143 |
| 2008 | 24,307 | 4,137 | 1,921 | 598 | 3,683 | 10,339 |
| 2009 | 31,881 | 5,262 | 2,761 | 694 | 6,628 | 15,345 |
| 2010 | 37,076 | 5,624 | 3,461 | 809 | 6,854 | 16,748 |
| 2011 | 44,519 | 6,380 | 4,223 | 974 | 9,055 | 20,632 |
| 2012 | 58,820 | 7,235 | 4,371 | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 9}$ | 11,186 | 23,911 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 , 1 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 1 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 7 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 0 6 0}$ |

Figure 17. TB Cases Notified by PSI PPM-DOTS Program


Population Services International (PSI) started collaborating with NTP in March 2004. PSI has organized the Private Practitioners and run the "Sun Quality Health Clinics" (SQHC) as DOT units. In addition, PSI also implement active case finding and referral by "Sun Primary Health Provider" (SPH) started in 2009. TB REARCH project also started in 2011 for active case finding and referral through Interpersonal Communicator (IPC) and Pharmacies.

Figure 18. Proportion of New smear cases of TB Patients contributed by NTP and Partners (2013)


Figure 19. Proportion of All forms of TB Patients contributed by NTP and Partners (2013)


### 4.5 Empowering patients and communities

### 4.5.1 Advocacy, communication and social mobilization

In the context of TB control, the objective of the ACSM is to upscale advocacy, communication and social mobilization for all DOTS components to achieve the targets enshrined in the MDGs.

Based on the findings from nationwide Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) Survey, NTP developed the Advocacy, Communication and Social Mobilization (ACSM) materials together with Health Education Bureau of Department of Health so that ACSM activities could be held at different levels.

NTP has also commemorated World TB Day/Week ceremony and activities every year since 1996. In 2012, commemoration ceremonies could be carried out at central level, all state and regional levels and district levels.

## World TB Day, 2013

World TB Day commemoration was held on $24^{\text {th }}$ March, 2013 at central and all Regions and States. The Central level World TB Day commemoration ceremony was held on 24th March, 2013 at the Assembly hall of Ministry of Health, Nay Pyi Taw. The Slogan for the year 2013 was "Stop TB in my lifetime" and it was translated as
 Htay, Deputy Minister of Health, acted as Chairperson of the ceremony and delivered the opening speech.

In her speech, she pointed out that this year commemoration ceremony was the $18^{\text {th }}$ celebration in Myanmar. She also explained about the discovery of TB germs by Heinrich Hermann Robert Koch, a German Scientist, on $24^{\text {th }}$ March 1882. Hence, the World honored Dr Robert Koch on March 24, World TB Day. Based on his finding, anti-TB drugs were administered in 1947 and nowadays, Fixed Dose Combination and Patient Kits were used from 6 to 8 months to cure TB. She mentioned that global TB morbidity and mortality was decreasing steadily since 2004, however Global TB burden for 2011 was 8.7 million TB patients and 1.4 million deaths occurred yearly, about $80 \%$ are $15-54$ age, productive age group. She also pointed out annual case notification for all forms of TB 148,149 (total TB cases) notified by NTP. She highlighted CDR was not achieved target in some States and Regions and these areas should strengthen active case finding for early case detection and prompt treatment.


Figure 20. The Deputy-Minister for Ministry of Health delivering the opening speech in commemoration ceremony of World TB Day (2013)


Figure 21. The Deputy-Minister and invited guests viewing the World TB Day mini exhibitions

Then, Mrs. Eva Nathanson, WHO Resident Representative, read out the message of the Regional Director of WHO Southeast Asia Region.

The Deputy Minister for Health, and invited guests viewed the World TB day mini exhibitions presented by NTP and implementing partners. Attendees were from Ministry of Health, other Ministries, UN Agencies and implementing partners. The donated materials such as World TB Day pamphlets, posters, bags, T-Shirt, handkerchief from implementing partners were distributed at the ceremony.

### 4.5.2 Community participation in TB care

It is a one of the essential components in effective TB programmes.

## Community-based TB Care

Community-based TB care activity was introduced in 2011 and currently implemented by all local NGOs and some INGOs under the guidance and support of NTP.

Figure 22. Contribution by community volunteers to total TB cases (2013)


Figure 23. TB cases finding by CBTC activities of Local NGOs (2013)


Four local NGOs such as MMCWA, MRCS, MHAA and MWAF conducted community based TB care activities in selected townships. The volunteers were provided training in each twonship. Then, they carried out TB health talk in the community; contact tracing;
referral of presumptive TB cases and provision of DOT for TB patients. In 2013, altogether 8,692 presumptive TB cases were referred by the trained volunteers of these 4 local NGOs and of them, $1,605 \mathrm{~TB}$ cases could be detected and treated.

Figure 24. TB cases finding by CBTC activities of INGOs (2013)


Partners implementing for community based TB care were PSI, IOM, World Vision Myanmar, Cesvi, Pact Myanmar and FHI360. Their activities included conducting health education sessions, referral of presumptive TB cases, provision of DOT for TB patients, providing nutrition support for TB/MDR-TB patients and contact tracing. Total 27,308 presumptive TB cases were referred by these INGOs and $23 \%(6,302 / 27,308)$ was diagnosed as TB and treatment was given.

### 4.6 Enabling and promoting research

### 4.6.1 Programme-based operational research

The Stop TB Strategy consolidates DOTS implementation and involves the implementation of several new approaches for tackling the challenges facing NTP. Designing and conducting locally relevant operational research can help in identifying problems workable solutions, and planning for the scaling up of activities.

In order to measure progress towards achieving the MDGs, national TB prevalence survey and TB mortality survey will be conducted in 2015. Tuberculosis Mortality Survey was conducted in early 2013 at two sites as a preliminary survey for the nationwide one. Third nationwide drug resistant TB survey was also held in 2012-2013.

In addition, a survey on second line anti-TB drug resistance among MDR-TB cases was performed. TB-HIV annual sentinel surveillance will also be continued, in collaboration with NAP, at 25 sentinel sites and will be expanded up to 40 sites at the end of 2015.

Operational research studies depending on the problems are conducted as necessary in collaboration with Departments of Medical Research and other academic Institutions.

NTP conducted necessary surveys and presented the findings at national and international research congress. The abstracts of the presented posters and published papers are recorded.

# Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Myanmar: results of the third nationwide survey (2012-2013) 

Si Thu Aung ${ }^{1}$, Wint Wint Nyunt ${ }^{2}$, Thynn Lei Swe ${ }^{3}$, Aye Aye Thwe ${ }^{1}$, $\mathrm{Ti} \mathrm{Ti}^{4}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{1}$ 1 National Tuberculosis Programme, Myanmar<br>2 National TB Reference Laboratory, Yangon, Myanmar<br>3 Upper Myanmar TB Laboratory, Mandalay, Myanmar<br>4 Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics


#### Abstract

Background: Myanmar is one of (27) high Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) burden countries and MDR-TB is a major threat to TB control in Myanmar and globally. Two previous nationwide drug resistance surveys revealed that the proportion of MDRTB among new and previously treatedTB patients was 4.0 and $15.5 \%$ in 2002-2003, and $4.2 \%$ and $10.0 \%$ in 2007-2008. The third nationwide survey was conducted in 2012-2013 to obtain updated information on the magnitude of MDR-TB, determine trends in MDRTB epidemiology and explore MDR-TB risk factors. Methods: Sputum samples were collected from a nationally representative sample of new sputum smear-positive TB patients registered at public health centers from October 2012 to December 2013 using a weighted cluster sampling method. Culture and drug susceptibility testing to first-line anti-TB drugs were performed at the national TB reference laboratories in Mandalay and Yangon.


Results: A total of 1,510 sputum smear-positive cases were enrolled from 30 clusters. Complete results were available for 1,161 (77.7\%) patients following removal of mycobacteria other than tuberculosis ( 15 samples), culture contamination ( 187 samples) and no culture growth ( 147 samples). After imputation of missing values, MDR-TB was detected in $5.0 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 3.1-6.8$ ) of new TB cases and $27.1 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 15.0-39.2$ ) of retreatment cases. MDR-TB was significantly associated with previous TB treatment (adjusted OR 6.9, 95\% Cl3.1-15.0), living in Yangon Region (adjusted OR3.0, 95\% CI1.5-5.8) and HIV positive status (adjusted OR4.2, 95\% CIO.9-19.4). MDR-TB among previously treated TB patients was $27.1 \%$ which was not significant statistically since sample size was not enough.
Conclusions: The third nationwide drug resistance survey (2012-2013) in Myanmar reveals the highest MDR-TB rates in the South East Asian Region. Efforts to diagnose, treat and prevent the spread of MDR-TB need to be urgently scaled-up, particularly in Yangon Region. MDR-TB patients found from this survey were planned to be enrolled in existing programmatic management of MDR-TB for treatment with approval of national expert MDR-TB committee.
(Oral presentation at $45^{\text {th }}$ UNION World Conference on Lung Health)

# TB Mortality Survey in two selected townships in Myanmar 

Si Thu Aung ${ }^{1}$, Ko Ko Zaw ${ }^{2}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{1}$<br>1 National Tuberculosis Programme, Myanmar, 2 Department of Medical Research (Lower Myanmar)


#### Abstract

Aim: National TB Programme (NTP) has never assessed TB mortality at the community level. The only information available in the country is the number of TB deaths among cohorts of registered TB patients. Vital registration is covering only one third of the country especially in urban. The aim of this study is to determine adult TB specific mortality rate in two selected townships: Padaung (Bago Region) and Kawkareik (Kayin State). Methods: It is a cross-sectional study to ascertain causes of adult deaths that occurred during 1st January to 31st December 2012. Verbal autopsy interviews of relatives or main care givers of the deceased were done by trained interviewers. All deaths data were identified through death registries at respective Township Health Departments. Data collection was completed in May 2013. Verbal autopsy questionnaires were reviewed independently by 2 physicians to assign the causes of deaths. For discrepancies in diagnoses between these two physicians, 3rd physician gave the final decision. Pulmonary TB mortality per 100,000 populations was estimated by using TB death data from verbal autopsy questionnaires and population data from respective township health management information.


Results: Out of total (448) persons aged $\geq 15$ years, females comprised $61 \%$ of all deceased persons. The age of (448) deceased persons ranged from 15 to 99 years with the mean age of 83 years. About $40 \%$ of deaths occurred in people under 60 years. Most of deceased were ever married and most of deaths occurred at home. This study showed five leading causes of death: stroke, liver diseases, pulmonary tuberculosis, digestive neoplasms and unspecified cardiac diseases. But $22 \%$ of adult deaths had unknown cause. Pulmonary tuberculosis attributed to $7 \%$ of all adult deaths, which ranked 3rd in both townships. TB deaths occurred in males more than in females ( $61 \%$ vs. $39 \%$ of all adult TB deaths) and in $\geq 60$ years group more than <60 years group ( $61 \%$ vs. $39 \%$ of all adult TB deaths). TB mortality rate was 51 per 100,000 populations and was higher in older age groups and males.
Conclusion: This study reasonably reflects the TB-specific mortality fraction among all deaths but it is confined to two selected townships and estimates of TB mortality per population have high level of uncertainty. However, the finding was quite close to WHO estimate, 48/100,000 population for 2012. Therefore, TB mortality survey of wider scope, a national scale, would not be necessary.
(Poster presentation at $45^{\text {th }}$ UNION World Conference on Lung Health, Barcelona, Spain)

Review of EQA on sputum AFB microscopy in Myanmar<br>Tin Tin Mar ${ }^{1}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{1}$, Tin Mi Mi Khaing ${ }^{1}$, Saw Thein ${ }^{1}$, Thyn Lei Swe ${ }^{1}$, Wint Wint Nyunt ${ }^{1}$, Ti Ti², Akiko Fujiki ${ }^{3}$<br>1 National Tuberculosis Programme, Myanmar<br>2 Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics<br>3 JICA (MIDCP), Yangon, Myanmar


#### Abstract

Background: Sputum microscopy for Acid Fast Bacilli (AFB) is the crucial tool for tuberculosis (TB) case finding. National Tuberculosis Programme (NTP), Myanmar started the External Quality Assessment (EQA) for sputum smear microscopy applying Lot Quality Assurance Sampling (LQAS) method with the support of JICA-MIDCP in 2007. NTP aimed to ensure the quality of sputum microscopy services and expanded EQA system on 464 microscopy centers (MC) including public and private MCs. Objectives: To review the quality of the MCs doing sputum for AFB microscopy and to identify the factors to be improved in sputum for AFB microscopy. Intervention and response: After 3 years experiences of EQA introduction in Myanmar, this is the first systematic review of EQA reports of 2012. Slides from MCs were selected by township supervisors using LQAS method and sent monthly to Regional EQA centers concerned. Quarterly feedback reports from Regional EQA centers were sent to township supervisors and to the national EQA unit, where the data verification and data management were conducted. Results: Total EQA coverage on TB microscopy was $96 \%$ (447/464). Seventeen percent (78/447) of the MCs was under private sector and $83 \%$ ( $369 / 447$ ) was under public sector. Thirty eight percent (172/447) of MCs had major errors. They were $47 \%$ (9/19) of MCs from Public-Public Mix hospitals and $38 \%$ (132/350) of MCs from district/township and station hospitals. In private sector, $13 \%$ (3/24) of MCs from INGOs and $52 \%$ (28/54) of MCs from private MCs had major errors. The improvement of 103 MCs was observed due to EQA feedback, follow-up supervisory visits and immediate action taken on the findings and recommendations of the laboratory supervisors from NTP. However, 68 MC had persistent major errors. The reasons of having major errors were identified as over workload on some laboratory technicians, inadequate refresher training, defect in binocular microscopes and weak supervision by immediate supervisors. Apart from that, the smear preparation could contribute to have major errors while size, thickness and evenness of smears were found not fully qualified. Conclusion: Performance of MCs needs to be strengthened by providing frequent supportive supervision, effective training and reinforcement to follow standard operating procedures (SOP) and provision of good microscopes. It is also important to maintain the quality of MCs with good performance. (Poster presentation at $45^{\text {th }}$ UNION World Conference on Lung Health)


# Treatment outcome of MDR-TB patients treated in pilot phase in Myanmar 

Si Thu Aung ${ }^{1}$, Thandar Hmun², Moe Zaw ${ }^{3}$, Yu Yu Wai ${ }^{3}$, Myo Zaw ${ }^{4}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{1}$<br>1 National Tuberculosis Programme, Myanmar<br>2 Aung San TB Hospital, Yangon, Myanmar<br>3 Patheingyi TB Hospital, Mandalay, Myanmar<br>4 World Health Organization


#### Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to evaluate the outcomes of MDR-TB patients treated in pilot phase according to World Health Organization guidelines in Myanmar. DOTSPlus pilot project was launched in July 2009 at (5) townships in Yangon Region and (5) townships in Mandalay Region.

Methods: Data on MDR-TB patients enrolled for treatment between July 2009 and September 2011 in (10) townships of Yangon and Mandalay were studied. A two-year standardized regimen of amikacin, levofloxacin, ethionamide, cycloserine, PAS and pyrazinamide was used for laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB patients with Category (II) failure. Patients were hospitalized for the initial 2-3 months. Thereafter, home-based care was delivered by Township Medical Officer and basic health staff from respective project township. Diagnosis and treatment were provided free of charge, patients received socioeconomic support and patients and treatment providers received enablers.

Results: Of the (309) MDR-TB patients assessed, 201 (65\%) were males and 108 (35\%) were female. The average age of the patients was 35 years (Range: 15-70 years). Total (6) patients died before treatment and (24) patients (8\%) were co-infected with HIV (all were on antiretroviral therapy). The most common adverse events were hypothyroidism (75\%), nausea/vomiting (61\%), arthralgia (60\%), hypokalemia (47\%), depression (27\%), tinnitus (27\%), hearing disturbance (21\%), psychosis (11\%) and rash (6.5\%). Out of registered (303) patients evaluated, 216 patients were found "cured" (71\%), 52 died ( $17.3 \%$ ), 31 defaulted ( $10.3 \%$ ), 3 failed ( $1 \%$ ) and 1 refused ( $0.3 \%$ ). The major causes of death were respiratory failure and HIV co-infection ( $67 \%$ and $37 \%$, respectively). Conclusions: The MDR-TB pilot project has been proven feasible with good cure rates, despite protracted disease among all patients and high frequencies of adverse events. Based on the overall results and pilot experience, MDR-TB diagnosis and treatment eligibility criteria are being expanded and the model of care refined. The treatment regimen was also modified. Earlier detection of MDR-TB case and treatment would save additional lives and reduce transmission.


# TB case finding using mobile team in peri-urban townships of Yangon Region 

Tin Mi Mi Khaing ${ }^{1}$, Kyaw Naing ${ }^{1}$, Saw Nwe Nwe Myint ${ }^{1}$, Mya Mya Win ${ }^{2}$, Khin Yupar Soe ${ }^{2}$, Min Min Thin ${ }^{1}$, Sandar Lwin ${ }^{1}$,Thandar Lwin ${ }^{1}$<br>1 National Tuberculosis Program, Myanmar<br>2 Township Health Department, Myanmar


#### Abstract

Background: According to WHO Global report 2012 showed that reduction in TB prevalence and mortality was prominent but a slow reduction in TB incidence. The gap remained persistent so that case finding activities should be promoted. The government sector strengthened TB case finding activities by using mobile team in two peri-urban townships in Yangon Region.


Objectives: (1) To increase TB case finding by conducting active case finding activities (2) To compare the output of mobile team TB screening activities in same townships.
Methods: Firstly, a team was formed with National TB Programme staff, township health staff and local volunteers. The community was informed about mobile team activities during pre-visit. Township Medical Officers with their Basic Health Staff (BHS) played an important role in informing community on the purpose of mobile team and its procedures. Health talks were provided by respective BHS. The community became motivated to participate in TB screening. The persons with presumptive TB identified have been undergone with Chest X ray screening. If abnormalities were detected, sputum microscopic examination was followed. The persons who diagnosed TB were treated with anti-TB drugs at Township Health Department.

Results: From September 2012 to August 2013, two townships were covered by mobile team TB screening activities for 2 times. Total $(2,022)$ individuals were screened by Chest $X$ ray and 405 were examined by sputum AFB microscopy. 169 TB patients including 63 smear positive were detected. Radiological examination rate was $0.3 \%$. Smear examination was done in $20 \%$. Smear positivity rate was $15.6 \%$. The proportion of smear positive pulmonary TB cases and treated TB cases obtained by mobile team were $9.2 \%$ ( $63 / 682$ ) and $10.2 \%$ (169/1655) respectively. Mobile team activities contributed $15 \%$ of annual targeted presumptive TB, $5 \%$ of annual sputum examined, $2.5 \%$ of annual smear positive cases and $2.7 \%$ of annual treated TB cases in the region. The comparison between two mobile team activities showed the number of sputum examined, positive cases detected and treated cases were lower in the second than in the first time.

Conclusion: The mobile team TB screening contributed to increase the number of annual presumptive TB and sputum examined. This activity should be practiced in peri-urban townships biannually since it could cut TB transmission and can lead to reduction of TB prevalence and incidence in high TB prevalence areas.
(Poster presentation at $45^{\text {th }}$ UNION World Conference on Lung Health, Barcelona, Spain)

# Effectiveness of active case detection using mobile team in selected township in Myanmar 

Saw Thein ${ }^{1}$, NISHIYAMA Hiroyuki ${ }^{2}$, YAMADA Norio ${ }^{3}$, OKADA Kosuke ${ }^{3}$, Kyi Kyi Sein ${ }^{5}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{4}$<br>1 Upper Myanmar TB Center, Mandalay, Myanmar<br>2 Major Infectious Disease Control Project, 3 Research Institute of Tuberculosis, 4 National TB Programme, 5 Township Medical Officer (Ngazun)

Abstract
Background: Proposed post 2015 global TB strategy aims decline rate of TB incidence to $10 \%$ per year. Only with passive case detection (PCD), such decline rate may not be achieved. Ngazun Township is geographically low migrated area with 137,000 populations. Active case detection (ACD) by mobile team has been widely implemented since 2011. The objective is to examine how notification of TB cases has changed in Ngazun Township after widely implementing ACD.
Intervention or response: Between 2011 and 2013, ACD by mobile teams were frequently and thoroughly conducted by local basic health staffs with strong leadership of a township medical officer under guidance of NTP. They included health talk, medical care, drug provision if necessary and sputum collection/ transportation. For presumptive TB, sputum smear examinations were performed. For negative smear, chest x-ray (CXR) was taken at the township health centre. All services were provided free of charge. Mobile team activities were conducted, for example, by township team 30 times and Rural Health Centre team 12 times even just in 2013. The activities were motivated at regular quarterly TB evaluation meeting and the output was recorded and monitored by NTP and JICA. The JICA Project trained 32 community volunteers to detect more TB cases early by community awareness, referral of presumptive TB and sputum collection/transportation to laboratories.
Results: The number of presumptive TB increased from 110 in 2010 (before intervention) to 884 in 2011, 834 in 2012 and 880 in 2013 (after intervention). Accordingly, the total number of new smear-positive TB (NSP) notified was 50 in 2010, 103 in 2011, 94 in 2012 and 50 in 2013, while the total number of all forms of TB notified was 121 in 2010, 169 in 2011, 186 in 2012 and 193 in 2013. The contribution rate of NSP detected by mobile team was average $38 \%$ in 3 years. The treatment success rate of NSP in 2013 maintained satisfactory with $96 \%$ (90/94).
Conclusions and key recommendations: Widely implemented ACD may lead to both detection of undiagnosed TB cases and early diagnosis of smear-negative TB in the community. Although careful monitoring and evaluation of notified TB cases are required, thorough ACD in a short period may be effective in accelerating the decline in TB prevalence and incidence.
(Poster presentation at $45^{\text {th }}$ UNION World Conference on Lung Health, Barcelona, Spain)

# Estimating cost of TB patient Self Help Groups in Hlaingtharyar Township, Myanmar 

Wai Wai Han ${ }^{1}$, Saw Saw ${ }^{1}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{2}$, Tin Mi Mi Khaing ${ }^{2}$ and Thet Aung ${ }^{3}$<br>1 Department of Medical Research (Lower Myanmar)<br>2 National Tuberculosis Programme, Myanmar 3 World Vision Myanmar


#### Abstract

The cross-sectional study was conducted to calculate the cost of establishing four TB patient Self Help Groups (SHG) in Hlaingtharyar Township and explored financial management of those groups. These groups has been established and functioning with the aim of helping TB patients and their families to complete treatment, be cured from TB and lead the community response towards TB. The study was carried out from 2012 to 2013. Cost data were obtained by reviewing records and interviews using tool to categorize cost. Five Focus Group Discussions, nine Key Informant Interviews with SHG leaders, members and World Vision staff and ten coins technique were performed to assess financial management of SHGs. Amount of cost spent for the SHGs for two years ranges from more than two to six million kyats depending on the developmental stages of the SHGs. Average cost per SHG for TB control activities such as referral, health education, providing DOT and nutrition for one year is approximately 250,000 kyats. On average one SHG referred 84 patients and provided DOT for 62 patients per year. Most of the groups cannot perform financial management systematically. As the SHGs have been established for only two years, it is difficult to visualize their effectiveness if it is measured only by TB indicators such as treatment success rate and case detection rate. However, this study found out that implementing SHGs is worthy because of the immediate outcomes such as improving capacity of members for TB prevention and control, increasing members and fund raising activities for the sustainability.


42 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Myanmar Health Research Congress (Paper). 2013: p. 6
(Best paper Award for Young Researcher-HSR)

# Phenotypic and genotypic analysis of anti-tuberculosis drug resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates from Myanmar 

Wah Wah Aung ${ }^{1}$, Phyu Win Ei ${ }^{1}$, Wint Wint Nyunt ${ }^{2}$, Thyn Lei Swe ${ }^{2}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{2}$, Mi Mi Htwe ${ }^{1}$, Kyung Jun Kim ${ }^{3}$, Jong Seok Lee ${ }^{4}$, Chulhum L Chang ${ }^{3}$<br>1 Department of Medical Research (Lower Myanmar) 2 National Tuberculosis Program, Myanmar<br>3 Pusan National University, Yangsan Hospital, Korea<br>4 International Tuberculosis Research Center, Korea


#### Abstract

Tuberculosis (TB), caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M. tuberculosis), is one of the major public health problems in Myanmar. We determined the drug susceptibility profile of 191 M. tuberculosis strains isolated from sputum smear positive new pulmonary tuberculosis patients attending Latha TB Diagnostic Centre, Yangon Region, and Mandalay Regional TB Centre, Myanmar during January to August 2013. Phenotypic drug susceptibility to rifampicin, isoniazid, ethambutol and streptomycin was performed by proportion method at National TB Reference Laboratory (NTRL), Yangon and Upper Myanmar TB Laboratory, Mandalay in Myanmar. GenoType MTBDRplus assay was carried out at Pusan National University Yangsan Hospital, Korea for genotypic detection of rifampicin and isoniazid resistance. Common mutations in the rpoB gene, kat $G$ gene and inhA gene conferring resistance to rifampicin and isoniazid among drug resistant isolates were determined. Phenotypic analysis showed polyresistant strains (resistant to two or more drug) were $21.5 \%(41 / 191)$ including $18.3 \%$ ( $35 / 191$ ) of multidrug resistant TB (MDRTB, resistant to at least rifampicin (RIF) and isoniazid (INH) and 2.6\% (5/191) of monoresistant strains (resistant to one drug). Genotypic assay showed $17.3 \%$ (33/191) of MDR-TB, $0.5 \%(1 / 191)$ of RIF monoresistance and $5.8 \%(11 / 191)$ of INH monoresistance. Genotypic assay showed $17.3 \%$ (33/191) of MDR-TB, $0.5 \%(1 / 191)$ of RIF monoresistance and $5.8 \%$ (11/191) of INH monoresistance. The genotypic results were $91.1 \%$ (174/191) concordant with the phenotypic susceptibility. Discordant isolates were confirmed by DNA sequencing. Among RIF resistant isolates, S531L mutation was the most common mutation, with $62.5 \%$ (20/32) of MDR strains and $100 \%$ (1/1) of one RIF mono-resistant strain. H526Y mutation was the second most common accounting for $28.1 \%(9 / 32)$ of MDR strains. Of all INH resistant strains, 93.02\% (40/43) (31MDR strains and 9 of INH-monoresistant strains) had a mutation in the S315T1 region of katG gene, and only 4.7\% (3/43) (1 MDR strain and 2 INH mono-resistant strains) had a mutation in the C15T region of inhA gene. One isoniazid resistant isolate was found to be due to presence of mutation which can be located elsewhere than codon of katG and inhA. This study highlighted the high prevalence of anti-TB drug resistance among new pulmonary TB cases and provided the preliminary information on gene mutation patterns of drug resistant $M$. tuberculosis strains from Myanmar. $42^{\text {nd }}$ Myanmar Health Research Congress (Paper). 2013: p.23-24


# Management of tuberculosis in hard-to-reach area, Laukkai Township, Northern Shan State, Myanmar 

Thida ${ }^{1}$, Saw Saw ${ }^{2}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{3}$, Kyaw Ko Ko Htet ${ }^{1}$, Nwe Nwe Kyaw ${ }^{1}$, Phyu Phyu Khaing ${ }^{1}$ and Kyaw Zin Thant ${ }^{1}$<br>1 Department of Medical Research (Upper Myanmar)<br>2 Department of Medical Research (Lower Myanmar)<br>3 National Tuberculosis Program, Myanmar


#### Abstract

This cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted to explore the management of tuberculosis (TB) in Laukkai Township, hard-to-reach area. Fifty-one face-to-face interviews and 12 in-depth interviews were conducted with 37 General Practitioners (GPs) including two in-service GPs and three medical officers from Asian Harm Reduction Network (AHRN), and 14 Basic Health Staff (BHSs) during 2013. All GPs had laboratory, nursing or medical training from three to seven years and $67.6 \%$ were Chinese who were trained from China. Compared to BHSs ( $30 \pm 6.5$ ), total mean knowledge score on TB management was significantly low among GPs (14 $\pm 10.2$ ). About $64 \%$ of BHSs and $11 \%$ of GPs had exposure on TB training given by National Tuberculosis Programme (NTP) previously. AHRN was providing TB management in line with NTP guideline. Forty percent of GPs were providing anti-TB treatment and diagnosis was made from clinical and Chest X-Ray (CXR) findings. According to qualitative findings, about 100 to 150 TB patients were taking treatment mainly at four GP kings yearly. Anti-TB drugs given by GPs were loose tablets or capsules originated from China. Treatment duration ranged from six months to two years depending on the severity of the disease and follow-up CXR findings. Interval for follow-up examination depended on the severity of the disease and affordability of the patients. No sputum recheck was requested during follow-up examination except CXR. Existing management of TB by GPs in hard-to-reach area was not in line with NTP guideline. Therefore organizing them to involve in TB control under guidance of NTP is essential.


$42^{\text {nd }}$ Myanmar Health Research Congress (Paper). 2013: p. 37

# Positivity of Acid fast Bacilli Culture and Drug sensitivity Pattern in children with Tuberculosis 

Swe Zin Zin Aung ${ }^{1}$, Cho Cho San ${ }^{2}$<br>1 Magway Teaching Hospital, Myanmar<br>2 Yangon Children Hospital, Myanmar


#### Abstract

The purpose of this study was to determine the smear and culture positivity of $M$, Tuberculosis in gastric aspirate samples for the diagnosis of childhood pulmonary tuberculosis and to determine the association between drug sensitivity pattern and AFB positivity Eighty children who had suspected tuberculosis, were assigned randomly to do gastric aspiration using a nasogastric feeding tube after 4 hours fasting. Gastric aspiration on each of three consecutive mornings was done for each child. Early morning gastric aspirates were sent for smear microscopy and mycobacterial culture to National Tuberculosis Reference Laboratory (Aung San). Gastric aspirate smear positivity was $7(8.75 \%)$ out of 80 children and gastric aspirate culture positivity was $8(10 \%)$ out of 80 children. Out of 80 children, 7 ( $8.75 \%$ ) were positive for both gastric aspirate smear and culture 1 ( $1.25 \%$ ) was smear negative but culture positive. All the isolates from this study were not resistant to isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide and streptomycin. The disadvantage of culture method is taking longer duration. But diagnosis is confirmed if AFB culture is positive. Therefore, gastric aspirate culture and drug sensitivity test should be done all cases of severe extra pulmonary TB and contact with adult MDR TB.


Source: $42^{\text {nd }}$ Myanmar Health Research Congress (Paper). 2013: p.38-39

# Application of fluorescence microscopy in the diagnosis of Tuberculosis 

Sann Sanda Khin ${ }^{1}$, Aung Thu ${ }^{3}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{2}$, Tun Kyaw Soe ${ }^{2}$, Khin Zaw Latt ${ }^{2}$, Wai Wai Khaing ${ }^{1}$, Yi Yi Myint ${ }^{1}$, Erwin Cooreman ${ }^{3}$, Htun Naing Oo ${ }^{1}$<br>1 Department of Medical Research (Central Myanmar)<br>2 National Tuberculosis Programme, Myanmar<br>3 World Health Organization


#### Abstract

Most of the world's tuberculosis cases occur in low-income countries, where sputum microscopy with conventional light microscope is primary method for diagnosing pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB). Myanmar is among 22 countries with highest burdens of TB. Fluorescence microscopy is credited with increased sensitivity and lower work effort. Therefore, the application of fluorescence microscopy in screening diagnosis of PTB in Myanmar was assessed. It was a cross-sectional comparative study and total 200 randomly selected X-ray diagnosed TB cases, aged between 13-74 years were included. The yields obtained with Papanicolaou-fluorescence microscopy and Auramine fluorescence microscopy and Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) conventional method; assessed the efficiency of the Pap-fluorescence microscopy in terms of work load and turn-around-time for diagnosis of tuberculosis were compared. Out of the 200 smears, 115/200 (57.5\%) and 46/200 (23\%) were positive by auramine and ZN stain respectively, of which 31 (15.5\%) samples were positive on both stains. Similarly, 97/200 (48.5\%) and 46/200 (23\%) were positive by Papanicolaou and ZN stain respectively, of which 29 (14.5\%) samples were positive on both stains. The results suggest that both auramine and Papanicolaou fluorescence microscopy were more sensitive than ZN staining in screening diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis because of shorter turn-around-time and less work effort. This concludes that it is a better tool for diagnosing pulmonary TB. Further and larger studies are required to recommend Papanicolaou staining for TB in public health program.


$42^{\text {nd }}$ Myanmar Health Research Congress (Poster). 2013: p.86-87

# Accessibility of health services among TB patients in Kutkai Township, Northern Shan State, Myanmar 

Thida ${ }^{1}$, Saw Saw ${ }^{2}$, Kyaw Zaw ${ }^{3}$, Kyaw Zeyar Lynn ${ }^{1}$, Phyu Phyu Khaing ${ }^{1}$, Sandar Htay ${ }^{1}$, Nwe Nwe Kyaw ${ }^{1}$, Yee Yee Myint ${ }^{1}$, Kyaw Zin Thant ${ }^{1}$<br>1 Department of Medical Research (Upper Myanmar)<br>2 Department of Medical Research (Lower Myanmar) 3 National Tuberculosis Programme, Myanmar


#### Abstract

This cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted to explore accessibility of health service among TB patients from Kutkai Township, hard-to-reach area, who had taken treatment at Kutkai Township Health Department (THD). A total of 120 face-to-face interviews, 23 in-depth interviews and 5 key informant interviews were done in 2010. Most patients were Kachin, Chinese and Palaung; $41.7 \%$ were less than 15 years and malefemale ratio was 1.07:1. Distance to THD ranged between $1-70$ miles. About $32 \%$ of the patients found difficulty in accessing THD in rainy seasons and $42 \%$ of the patients were from within two-mile catchment areas. More than $29 \%$ of the private practitioners were quacks. Although $51.7 \%$ of TB patients sought treatment initially at Primary Health Facility (PHF), qualitative findings showed that most of them had tried home remedies and/or sought treatment from nearby drug-sellers. Duration of symptoms ranged from 1-913 days and patients with shortest duration sought treatment at GPs initially. Patient factors-financial constraint, not knowing the severity of disease, or being treated with herbal medicines; service factors-lack of local PHF and missed diagnosis; and geographical factors-remote and difficult access to THD were the reasons for delay in seeking treatment and sought initial treatment at non-PHF. Transportation cost, being away from home, side effect of drugs and symptom relieve were the reasons for treatment default. Among the TB patients, $34.2 \%$ were referred by Basic Health Staff and $26.7 \%$ by neighbours. Appropriate interventions should be identified to help underserved, hard-to-reach TB patients getting proper treatment without prolonged delay and enhancing treatment adherence.


Myanmar Health Sciences Research Journal. 2013; 25(1): 29-35

# Community-based TB control in Myanmar: Cost and contribution of TB patient Self Help Groups 

Wai Wai Han ${ }^{1}$, Saw Saw ${ }^{1}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{2}$, Thet Aung ${ }^{3}$ and Tin Mi Mi Khaing ${ }^{2}$<br>1 Department of Medical Research (Lower Myanmar)<br>2 National Tuberculosis Program, Myanmar<br>3 World Vision Myanmar


#### Abstract

Background: TB patient Self Help Groups (SHG) have been established and functioning in Myanmar to help TB patients and their families to complete treatment, be cured from TB and lead the community response towards TB. Community-based TB control is priority strategy for National TB Programme in Myanmar. Therefore information on the cost of establishing SHGs and their contribution for community-based TB control are necessary to replicate this strategy.


Objective: To access the costs of TB patient SHGs and to find out their contributions for TB control
Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted in one of the high TB burden townships, Hlaingtharyar during 2013. Cost data of four SHGs were obtained by reviewing records and interviewing with the tool to categorize cost. Document review, five focus group discussions and nine key informant interviews were performed to find out activities of SHGs for TB control.
Results: The SHGs composed of old TB patients, family members of TB patients and volunteers. Costs spent for four SHGs ranged from US\$ 1297 to 2848 per year. Average cost per SHG for TB control activities was approximately US\$257.2 per year. TB control activities of SHGs were referring TB suspects to health centers, providing health education, performing Directly Observed Treatment (DOT) and supporting nutrition for TB patients. On average one SHG referred 72 TB suspects and provided DOT for 64 TB patients per year. Cost for one TB patient to get diagnosis was US\$ 19 and one TB patient to complete treatment was US\$ 23.5. TB control activates of SHGs contributed $46 \%$ of case detection in Hlaingtharyar.
Conclusion: Although there was some additional cost to conventional DOT, the SHG approach was effective in TB control. Empowerment of the TB patients and improvement in case detection as well as treatment completion has been accomplished simultaneously through this approach.

Presented at International Conference on Research Methodology and Scientific Writing (ICRMSW-2013), at MG University, Kottayam, Kerala, India

# Case notification rate and risk factors for tuberculosis among HIV infected patients after ART initiation in Myanmar 

Yu Nandar Aung ${ }^{1}$, Sai Thein Than Tun ${ }^{1}$, Sai Ko Ko Zaw ${ }^{1}$, Sandra Hla Myint ${ }^{1}$, Aye Thida ${ }^{1}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{2}$, Myint Shwe ${ }^{3}$, Philippe Clevenbergh ${ }^{1}$<br>1 International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (The Union), 2 National Tuberculosis Program, Myanmar<br>3 National AIDS Program


#### Abstract

Background: In 2012, tuberculosis (TB) incidence was 377(322-435)/100,000 persons/year in general population in Myanmar. In HIV infected patients, TB is the most common opportunistic infections. Anti-retroviral treatment (ART) has been shown to reduce the incidence of TB. Isoniazid Prophylaxis Therapy (IPT) and infection control are additional methods implemented by the National TB Program. This study aims to assess the case notification rate (CNR) of TB, the effect of ART on TB CNR and risk factors associated with occurrence of TB among HIV patients enrolled into Mandalay General Hospital.


Methods: Retrospective cohort study of patients enrolled between May 2005 and January 2014, followed up for at least 1 month, ART naive, no TB at the time of enrollment, no prior history of TB and TB free during first month after enrollment. Patients' information was extracted from electronic database. TB CNR was calculated. Multivariate logistic regression was done to identify risk factors for TB.
Results: Total 3561 patients were enrolled in the study. Median length of follow up was 1.22 years (IQR: 0.5-2.2). Findings were: male 52\%, median age: 35 years (IQR:30-41), median baseline BMI:19 kg/m²(IQR:17-22), median baseline CD4:142 cells/mm ${ }^{3}$ (IQR:71-241), median baseline haemoglobin (Hb):12gm\% (IQR:10-13). 35\% (1222/3561) received IPT. 117 new TB cases occurred during 5464 Person-Years (PY) of follow up. The overall TB CNR was 2141 per 100,000 PY (95\%CI:1774-2561). TB CNR was 7500/100,000 PY (95\%Cl:5772-9549) within 3 months of ART start, 1753/100,000 PY(95\%CI:1202-2466) within 3 months to 1 year of ART start, $724 / 100,000$ PY ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 415-1174$ ) within 1 year to 3 years of ART start and 1406/100,000 PY(95\%Cl:645-2653) over 3 years of ART start. Risk factors for TB were: male sex(OR:3.12,p < $0.01,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 1.9-5.14$ ), baseline CD4 less than 100 cells $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ (OR:4.7,p < 0.01,95\%Cl:1.67-13.25), baseline Hb below $10 \mathrm{~g} \%(\mathrm{OR}: 1.79, \mathrm{p}$ < $0.01,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 1.18-2.73)$ and WHO stage 3 or $4(\mathrm{OR}: 3.83, \mathrm{p}<0.01,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 1.97-7.45)$. IPT was protective (OR: $0.11, \mathrm{p}<0.01,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 0.04-0.31$ ).
Conclusion: TB CNR among HIV infected patients is reduced after 3 months on ART to rebound after 3 years possibly due to ART failure. Male sex, low baseline CD4, anaemia at enrollment, advanced WHO stage were found to be the independent risk factors for TB. IPT has protective effect. Early health seeking, early initiation of ART, diagnosis of first line treatment failure and IPT are required to reduce the risk of TB among HIV patients.
Source: Poster presented at The $11^{\text {th }}$ International Congress on AIDS in Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok, Thailand (18-22 November 2013)

# Tuberculosis screening methods used for people living with HIV/AIDS from public sector in Myanmar 

Sai Ko Ko Zaw ${ }^{1}$, Saw Thein ${ }^{2}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{2}$, Win Maung ${ }^{3}$, Thet Ko Aung ${ }^{1}$, Sandra Hla Myint ${ }^{1}$, Myint Shwe ${ }^{4}$, Aye Thida ${ }^{1}$, Philippe Clevenbergh ${ }^{1}$ 1 International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (The Union)<br>2 National Tuberculosis Program, Myanmar<br>3 Disease Control<br>4 National AIDS Program, Myanmar


#### Abstract

Background: In collaboration with Ministry of Health, The Union is implementing the Integrated HIV Care program in Mandalay, Myanmar since 2005. TB screening for people living with HIV/AIDS is done systematically for every patient at each visit and referred to TB diagnostic center. Various TB screening tools are used: (sputum smear examination, chest X ray, FNAC and GeneXpert(®). We would like to report outcomes of TB screening in HIV infected patients. Methods: Patients' registers, laboratory registers and treatment registers were reviewed. Baseline socio-demography, clinical and biological data were routinely collected. These data were analyzed by SPSS 20.

Results: Between 1st January 2012 and 31st December 2012, 556 HIV-infected patients who had TB symptoms were evaluated for TB diagnosis. Male patients were 349/556 (63\%) of a mean age of $36 y e a r(S D= \pm 10.2)$. Sputum smear (Ss) examination results of patients were:Sspositive: $43 / 556$ ( $8 \%$ ), Ssnegative: 505/556 (91\%), not examined: 8/556 (1\%). Chest Xray showed abnormal results in 162/556(29\%) patients.TB lymphadenitis was diagnosed in 37/38 (97\%) of patients who underwent FNAC. GeneXpert® test was done in 368/556(64\%) patients and the results were: MTB+/RIF sensitive: 36/368 (10\%), MTB+/RIF resistance: 3/368 (0.8\%), MTB+/RIF indeterminate: 1/368 (0.3\%), Invalid/error: 4/368 (1 \%),MTB not detected: 324/368 (88\%). After clinical and laboratory investigations, 214/556 (38\%) patients were started anti-TB treatment among whom 83/214 (39\%) are bacteriologically confirmed cases (Ss+ and Ss- \& GeneXpert® positive). Patients' types were sputum-positive pulmonary 43/214 (20\%), Sputum-negative pulmonary TB 89/214 (41\%), and extrapulmonary TB 82/214 (39\%). Conclusions: About forty percent of HIV infected patients with presumptive TB are put on TB treatment. GeneXpert® (one sample) doubles the cases of bacteriological proven TB. However, many TB treatments are still provided solely on clinical features.

Poster presented at The $11^{\text {th }}$ International Congress on AIDS in Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok, Thailand


# Effect of providing HE message on TB in local language through FM radio in Southern Shan State, Myanmar 

Saw Saw ${ }^{1}$, Si Thu Aung ${ }^{2}$, Thida ${ }^{3}$, Khin Su Hlaing ${ }^{4}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{2}$, Zaw Myint ${ }^{2}$ and Khin Sandar $\mathrm{Oo}^{1}$<br>1 Department of Medical Research (Lower Myanmar) 2 National TB programme, 3 Department of Medical Research (Upper Myanmar) 4 Health Education Bureau, DOH


#### Abstract

This is a collaborative study among Department of Medical Research (Lower Myanmar), Department of Medical Research (Upper Myanmar), Central Health Education Bureau (CHEB) and National TB Programme (NTP). An intervention study (before and after design) was conducted in two villages in Hopone Township, Southern Shan State using broadcasting health education messages on TB through Cherry FM Radio as an intervention. It was aimed to assess effect of providing health education message in local language through FM radio in selected township in Southern Shan State. Total of 400 face-to-face interviews, four Focus Group Discussions, five Key Informant Interviews and six In


 Depth Interviews were conducted. Development of audio script for health messages on TB was done in collaboration with NTP, CHEB and responsible persons from Cherry FM. Baseline assessment was conducted in April 2013 before broadcasting health messages on TB through Cherry FM. Cherry FM broadcasted health messages on TB daily, twice a day (afternoon and evening) for two months both in Myanmar and Pa Oh languages. Endline assessment was carried out in August 2013. More than half of the respondents used Pa Oh language in the community and about $30 \%$ used Shan language. According to qualitative findings, although there were Shan in the study area, most of them could speak Pa-Oh. Those who can speak Myanmar language fluently was about $49 \%$. Possession of radio for individual use was $47.3 \%$ in baseline and $52.3 \%$ in endline. However $81 \%$ in baseline and $84 \%$ in endline listened to FM radio. About 55.2\% in the baseline and $52.4 \%$ in the endline listened to the radio in the morning. Qualitative findings showed young people listened to FM radio more than old people. Some stated that they brought portable radio into the farm and listened while working. About $59 \%$ in baseline and $71 \%$ in endline had heard about TB. Source of information on TB from radio was increased from $37 \%$ in baseline to $53.9 \%$ in endline. There is increase in number of respondents who have heard about DOTS from $25.2 \%$ in baseline to $38 \%$ in endline. Total knowledge scores on TB was improved from $18.4 \%$ in baseline and $26.4 \%$ in endline got high knowledge score ( $\mathrm{p}=0.056$ ). There is an association between listening to FM radio and having high knowledge scores ( $p<0.001$ ). Initial action of treatment seeking when getting TB symptoms was also improved- $33 \%$ in baseline and $70 \%$ in endline would seek treatment from public health centre. About $60 \%$ of respondents suggested FM radio as an effective way of delivering health messages and most respondents preferred story type of health message because it is easier to understandand be memorialized. Some respondents commented that duration of current health education programme through Cherry FM was too short and not enough to capture the key messages. However all have positive views towards broadcasting in their language which is understandable to both old and young people. Most respondents suggested broadcasting health messages not only for TB but also for other health problems. It should be mainly in the form of story type in both local and Myanmar languages and broadcasted it twice a day for at least 3 to 4 months through FM radio.
Dissemination of research findings was conducted on $11^{\text {th }}$ April 2014 at DMR (LM) (Poster presentation at $45^{\text {th }}$ UNION World Conference on Lung Health, Barcelona, Spain)

# TB infection control among health staff and MDR-TB patients in Yangon, Myanmar 

Yin Thet Nu Oo ${ }^{1}$, Le Le Win ${ }^{1}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{2}$, Saw Saw ${ }^{1}$, San San Shein ${ }^{2}$<br>1 Department of Medical Research (Lower Myanmar)<br>2 National Tuberculosis Programme, Myanmar


#### Abstract

Background: The study found out the situation of TB infection control measures in selected health centers in Yangon, perception and practice of health staff working on MDR TB management, and the knowledge and practice of TB among MDR TB patients.


Methods: A cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out in randomly selected 5 DOTSplus townships in Yangon Region. Study population consisted of midwives and TB teams staff of selected townships and MDR TB patients living in study townships. Face to face interviews and in-depth interviews were done. Results: 94 MDR-TB patients participated and majority knows that the disease is airborne .Regarding knowledge on TB prevention; most of them mentioned covering mouth and nose when another person coughs, however only $11 \%$ answered eating nutritious food. Some $40 \%$ could mention the smokers and alcoholics, but very few knew that children, elderly and PLHIV are at risk of TB. Only very few mentioned the risk of transmission to health staff. 68\% could mention wearing mask and very few could mention lighting and good ventilation to as knowledge prevent transmission. Here is gap in knowledge and practice on preventive measures. Risk of transmission among the family members living together with the patient turns out to be high. Most of the staffs in this study are aware that they are at high risk of TB transmission. Self-protection measures during the sputum processing and sputum examination are weak among the technicians according to the quail findings. However, midwives practice personal protection measures strongly.
Conclusions: Based on the findings, it is recommended to plan regular check-up program for those health staff, to strengthen TB infection control plan at the TB clinics. Administrative support and regular training of high risk health staffs is also recommended.

# Assessment of effectiveness of active case detections using mobile team activities in hard-to reach area, Laukkai Township, Northern Shan State, Myanmar 

Thida ${ }^{1}$, Thandar Lwin ${ }^{2}$, Kyaw $\mathrm{Oo}^{1}$, Saw Thein ${ }^{2}$, Thandar Thwin ${ }^{2}$, Yee Yee Myint ${ }^{1}$, Nwe Nwe Kyaw ${ }^{1}$, Sandar Htay ${ }^{1}$, Phyu Phyu Khaing ${ }^{1}$<br>1 Department of Medical Research (Upper Myanmar)<br>2 National Tuberculosis Programme, Department of Health


#### Abstract

This was a collaborative study between Department of Medical Research (Upper Myanmar) and National Tuberculosis Programme (NTP), Department of Health. Two Active Case Finding (ACF) activities through mobile team were conducted at TB low performance Laukkai Township, Northern Shan State, during July and October, 2013. Analysis of the information obtained from first and second ACF activities was done to see the effect on number of TB cases notified and Case Notification Rates (CNR) using such methodology in resource limited hard-to reach area. Enhancement of ACF included well convinced local community leaders, provision of TB health talk, telecasting of TB information prior to the activities and obtaining assistance of local Basic Health Staff (BHS), TB Community Health Workers and translators during the activities. Diagnosis of TB was made depending on clinical signs and symptoms, Chest X-Ray findings, sputum microscopy and culture results.


Findings: A total of 85 cases (all form) out of 2064 participants at the first ACF giving CNR of all form 4118/100000 population and 41 out of 923 participants at the second providing CNR of all form 4442/100000 population were notified. More male ( $51.1 \%$ vs $40.1 \%$ ), more symptomatic patients ( $66.5 \%$ vs $63.6 \%$ ) and people from nearby villages became participated at the second ACF activity. X-ray suggestive of active TB lesion ( $3.7 \%$ vs $2.5 \%$ ) and TB suspects ( $13.0 \%$ vs $5.3 \%$ ) were increasingly found at second ACF. Male TB cases were almost double ( 82 vs 44 cases), peak at 45-54 years. Sex ratio of TB patients was equal at 15-24 year age group and $78.5 \%$ of TB patients were from rural area. Treatment taking at Township Health Department among still on TB patients increased at the second ACF ( $68.3 \%$ vs $24.1 \%$ ). Asymptomatic TB patients were difficult to be convinced to take antiTB treatment. Some defaulted patients from first ACF were retrieved during the second ACF. CNR of smear positive TB cases at the first ACF was $727 / 100,000$ population (15 out of 2064 participants) and it was 650/100,000 population (6 out of 923 participants) at the second ( $P=0.034$ ). CNR of culture positive TB cases at the first ACF was $775 / 100,000$ population (16 out of 2064 participants) and it was $867 / 100,000$ population (8 out of 923 participants) at the second ( $P=0.000$ ). CNR of bacteriology confirmed TB cases at the first ACF was 1065/100,000 population (22 out of 2064 participants) and it was 1083/100,000 population (10 out of 923 participants) ( $P=0.890$ ). CNR of all form of TB cases at the first ACF was 4118/100,000 population ( 85 out of 2064 participants) and became 4442/100,000
population (41 out of 923 participants) at the second ACF. Comparison of CNRs of the first and second ACF, participants from villages not included in the first ACF being excluded, was done. CNR of smear positive TB cases at the first ACF was 727/100,000 population (15 out of 2064 participants) and it was 500/100,000 population (4 out of 800 participants) at the second ( $P=0.014$ ). CNR of culture positive TB cases at the first ACF was $775 / 100,000$ population (16 out of 2064 participants) and it was $750 / 100,000$ population (6 out of 800 participants) at the second ( $P=0.000$ ). CNR of bacteriology confirmed TB cases at the first ACF was 1065/100,000 population (22 out of 2064 participants) and it was $875 / 100,000$ population (7 out of 800 participants) ( $P=0.865$ ). CNR of all form of TB cases at the first ACF was 4118/100,000 population (85 out of 2064 participants) and became 3500/100,000 population ( 28 out of 800 participants) at the second ACF. Combination use of sputum smear and culture techniques show no significance between the first and second ACF highlighted that more cases could be detected by including both methods. Contribution of case notification (all form) in Laukkai District by first ACF was 15.1\% and increased to 22.3\% with the combination of the second.

Conclusion: ACF using two consecutive mobile team activities in a specified resource limited, hard-to-reach area has positive effect on the TB case finding within short duration provided having no language barrier, well convinced local authorities and local BHSs workforce.

## Recommendation

1. Method of using two active case finding through mobile team activities with appropriate enhancement is urgently needed to be applied in hard-to-reach areas of Myanmar every year till the Millennium Development Goal is achieved.
2. It is important to include all age groups and both urban and rural areas from rural area.
3. Associated factors of TB patients are needed to be explored in order to focus on the specific risk group in recruitment during ACF
4. Effectiveness of applying sophisticated machine such as GeneXpert in addition to X-ray, sputum smear and culture should be explored in the future studies.
5. Evaluation of treatment outcomes and reasons for defaulted cases should be followed after intervention.

# Simple Multiplex PCR Assay for Identification of Beijing Family Mycobacterium tuberculosis Isolates with a Lineage-Specific Mutation in Rv0679c 

Chie Nakajima ${ }^{1}$, Aki Tamaru ${ }^{2}$, Zeaur Rahim ${ }^{3}$,Ajay Poudel ${ }^{1}$,Bhagwan Maharjan ${ }^{4}$, Khin Saw Aye ${ }^{5}$, Hong Ling ${ }^{6}$,Toshio Hattori ${ }^{7}$,Tomotada Iwamoto ${ }^{8}$,Yukari Fukushima ${ }^{1}$, Haruka Suzuki ${ }^{1}$,Yasuhiko Suzukia ${ }^{1,9}$, Takashi Matsuba ${ }^{10}$<br>1 Division of Global Epidemiology, Hokkaido University Research Center for Zoonosis Control, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan<br>2 Osaka Prefectural Institute of Public Health, Osaka, Japan<br>3 Tuberculosis Laboratory, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Dhaka, Bangladesh<br>4 German Nepal Tuberculosis Project (GENETUP), Kathmandu, Nepal<br>5 Immunology Research Division, Department of Medical Research (Lower Myanmar), Ministry of Health, Yangon, Myanmar<br>6 Department of Microbiology, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, China<br>7 Laboratory of Disaster-Related Infectious Diseases, International Research Institute of Disaster Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan<br>8 Department of Microbiology, Kobe Institute of Health, Kobe, Japan 9 JICA/JST, SATREPS, Tokyo, Japan<br>10 Division of Bacteriology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Tottori University, Yonago, Tottori, Japan

The Beijing genotype of Mycobacterium tuberculosis is known to be a worldwide epidemic clade. It is suggested to be a possibly resistant clone against BCG vaccination and is also suggested to be highly pathogenic and prone to becoming drug resistant. Thus, monitoring the prevalence of this lineage seems to be important for the proper control of tuberculosis. The Rv0679c protein of $M$. tuberculosis has been predicted to be one of the outer membrane proteins and is suggested to contribute to host cell invasion. Here, we conducted a sequence analysis of the Rv0679c gene using clinical isolates and found that a single nucleotide polymorphism, $C$ to $G$ at position 426, can be observed only in the isolates that are identified as members of the Beijing genotype family. Here, we developed a simple multiplex PCR assay to detect this point mutation and applied it to 619 clinical isolates. The method successfully distinguished Beijing lineage clones from non-Beijing strains with $100 \%$ accuracy. This simple, quick, and cost-effective multiplex PCR assay can be used for a survey or for monitoring the prevalence of Beijing genotype M.tuberculosis strains.

Source: Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2013;51(7):2025-32.

## Research in progress

1. Establishment of molecular strain typing methods for Mycobacterium tuberculosis in Myanmar
Collaboration: DMR (LM), NTP, Pusan National University
Grant: Korea International Cooperation Agency (KOICA)
2. Molecular based detection of genitourinary tuberculosis from clinically suspected cases in renal surgical ward of New Yangon General Hospital
Collaboration: DMR (LM), Urosurgical Unit, NYGH
3. Cutaneous TB: Different clinical types and efficacies of diagnostic tests

Collaboration: DMR (LM), NTP, Dermatology Department, Yangon

## 5. Special occasions

### 5.1 External technical support

Technical support was provided by WHO and GDF, Green Light Committee, JICA/JATA for NTP, Myanmar.
Table 28 . International visitors in 2013

| No. | Name and Designation | Duration | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Mr. William Pick <br> (Senior Health Advisor) | 15.1 .2013 to 16.1.2013 | USAID |
| 2. | Dr. Ikushi Onozaki <br> (Team Leader) <br> Dr. Knut Leonnroth <br> (Senior Medical Officer) | 12.2 .2013 to 21.2.2013 | WHO |
| 3. | Dr. Akira SHIMOUCHI <br> (Expert on TB Control) | 18.2 .2013 to 26.2.2013 | JICA <br> (MIDCP) |
| 4. | Dr Michael Rich <br> Consultant for MDR TB (Partner in <br> help) <br> Dr Fraser Wares <br> (Medical Officer, WHO) | 25.4 .2013 to 2.5.2012 | GLC mission |
| 5. | Delphine Sculier <br> (TB/HIV Consultant) | 16.5 .2013 to 22.5.2013 | WHO |
| 6. | Dr. C.N. Paramasivan <br> (Head of TB Programme) | 25.8 .213 | FIND |
| 7. | Professor Steven Graham <br> (Consultant Paediatrician) | 18.8 .2013 to 21.8.2013 | WHO |


| 8. | Dr. Pierre- Yves Norval <br> (Public Health Specialist) | 29.8 .2013 to 4.9.2013 | WHO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 9. | Dr. Denis Broun <br> (Executive Director, UNITAID) and <br> team) | 8.9 .2013 to 12.9.2013 | WHO |

### 5.2 Global Fund Round 9, NFM

The Global Fund provided support for the fight against AIDS, TB and Malaria, working with partners to support the most effective prevention and treatment. New advances in science are seized and practical experience is applied to defeat these diseases and remove them as threats to public health.

Myanmar country coordinating mechanism submitted the application with the title of "Scaling up of Tuberculosis control in Myanmar" to Global Fund round 9 grant in June, 2009. The GF round 9 grant included 2 phases, phase I is from 2011 to 2012 and phase II, 2013 to 2015. The concept note for New Funding Model (NFM) was prepared in February 2013 and TB TSG discussed with members and submitted to Executive Working Group (EWG). Then, MHSCC submitted to GF in April 2013 and Technical Review Panel clarification was completed in May 2013.

The grant agreement under NFM was signed between Ministry of Health and GF in June 2013 and NFM was implemented in July 2013, covering 319 out of 330 townships. Global Fund is a performance-based funding which ensures that funding decisions must be based on a transparent assessment of results along with time-bound targets. The total approved fund was USD 82.3 million for NFM.

NTP could achieve 7 out of 8 indicators (above 90\%) of GF NFM in 2013. Of 142,162 TB patients notified in 2013, 44,737 patients were bacteriologically confirmed cases. However, GeneXpert reported bacteriologically confirmed cases were only counted in $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter of 2013. Totally 35,033 new smear positive TB patients were successfully treated achieving treatment success rate (TSR) of $85.4 \%$. Concerning with Laboratory performance, (413) out of (425) public and private labs sent QC slides for EQA and among them, 388 laboratories showed adequate performance on EQA (94\%). In addition, all 344 units (319 townships, 23 hospitals and 2 partners- PSI \& MSF-H) 100\% reported no stock-out of firstline anti-TB drugs on the last day of the quarter. Beside that 6,271 TB patients (aged 15 years and above) were tested for HIV at TB/HIV collaborative sites. In MDR-TB portion, 442 laboratory confirmed MDR TB patients were enrolled. For Health System Strengthening, 2,757 Basic Health Staff were trained on TB management.

At the end of NFM, NTP's achievement was A1 as shown as below:

## Quantitative Indicator Rating TB Grant $\rightarrow$ A1



|  | ALL Indicators rating |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Top to ingicators } \\ \text { rating } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 41 | A2 | B1 | B2 | C |
| A1 | A1 | A1 | A2 | A2 | A2 |
| A. 2 | A2 | A2 | A2 | B1 | B1 |
| B1 | A2 | B1 | B1 | B1 | B2 |
| B2 | 51. | B1 | B2 | B2 | B2 |
| C | 52 | B2 | B2 | C | C |



Table 29. TB control activities in 2013 with GF Funding
Service Delivery Area : Improving Diagnosis

| Activity | Measurement <br> unit | Planned | Completed | Achievement | Remark |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Active case finding <br> using mobile team <br> (periurban and high <br> case load areas) | No.of mobile <br> team missions | 45 | 45 | $100 \%$ |  |
| Cross Sectional TB <br> Screening for <br> Prisoners at 20 <br> Prisons | No. of missions <br> condcuted <br> Active case <br> finding | 20 | 20 | $100 \%$ |  |
| Volunteer incentive <br> for X ray operation | No. of townships | 9 | 8 | $72 \%$ |  |
| Transport of sputum <br> samples to Culture <br> labs (NTRL \& Upper <br> Myanmar TB Lab) <br> from Regions/States | No. of R/S <br> transporting <br> sputum samples <br> to culture labs | 93 | 68 | $73 \%$ |  |
| Sputum collection <br> centres | No. of townships <br> conducting <br> sputum collection <br> centres | 60 |  |  |  |


| Initial home visit and Contact tracing done by Basic Health Staff | No. of townships conducting contact tracing | 319 | 311 | 97/\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Delivery Area : Monitoring and Evaluation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity | Measurement unit |  |  |  | Remark |
| Technical Strategic Group (TSG) Meeting | No. of meetings conducted | 4 | 4 | 100\% |  |
| Annual Laboratory Evaluation Meeting (National) | No. of meetings conducted | 1 | 1 | 100\% |  |
| Annual TB <br> Evaluation Meeting <br> (National) | No. of meetings conducted | 1 | 1 | 100\% |  |
| State and Regional annual evaluation meeting | No. of meetings conducted | 17 | 17 | 100\% |  |
| Quarterly TB <br> Evaluation meeting at township level (100 selected townships) | No. of meetings conducted | 400 | 373 | 93\% |  |
| Quarterly cohort review meeting at low performance townships | No. of meetings conducted | 120 | 118 | 98\% | 120 <br> meetings <br> for 30 <br> townships |
| Service Delivery Area : Programme Management and Administration |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity | Measurement unit |  |  |  | Remark |
| Advocacy meeting on Gene X pert | No.of meetings conducted | 9 | 4 | 44\% |  |
| Installation, demonstration of on job training for Gene X pert | No.of trainings conducted | 9 | 4 | 44\% |  |
| Supervision from Central to state \& divisional level(17 S/D x 1 time) | No. of supervision visits conducted | 47 | 33 | 70\% |  |
| Supervision from Central to TB/HIV townships (once a year) | No. of supervision visits conducted | 11 | 6 | 55\% |  |


| Supervision to border DOTS townships (once a year) | No. of supervision visits conducted | 6 | 3 | 50\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supervision of Microbiologist to States/Regions and districts | No. of supervision visits conducted | 17 | 7 | 41\% |  |
| Supervision from Central to Public Public Mix DOTS hospitals (quarterly) | No. of supervision visits conducted | 23 | 17 | 74\% |  |
| Supervision from Region and State to township (1 time/township) including 22 MDRTB townships, and Lab. Supervision | No. of supervision visits conducted | 290 | 253 | 87\% |  |
| Service Delivery Area : Human Resource Development |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity | Measurement unit |  |  |  | Remark |
| Training on 'management of TB at district level' | No. of training sessions conducted | 1 | 1 | 100\% |  |
| Orientation training on TB control update for NTP staff | No. of training sessions conducted | 4 | 4 | 100\% |  |
| Training for BHS on 'Management of TB for health facility staff' | No. of training sessions conducted | 20 | 20 | 100\% |  |
| Training on cohort review meeting | No. of training sessions conducted | 30 | 30 | 100\% |  |
| Training on TB counseling | No. of training sessions conducted | 20 | 20 | 100\% |  |
| Training on FLM for lab. technicians | No. of training sessions conducted | 3 | 3 | 100\% |  |
| Training on tuberculin testing | No. of training sessions conducted | 1 | 0 | 0\% |  |


| Training on sputum microscopy for lab. Technicians | No. of training sessions conducted | 2 | 2 | 100\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Training of NTP/NAP staff on TB/HIV from currently implementing townships | No. of training sessions conducted | 1 | 1 | 100\% |  |
| Training of NTP/NAP staff on TB/HIV from newly expanded townships | No. of training sessions conducted | 1 | 1 | 100\% |  |
| Training for new project area of MRCS volunteer | No. of training sessions conducted | 4 | 4 | 100\% |  |
| Training for MRCS volunteers in existing implementing townships | No. of training sessions conducted | 4 | 4 | 100\% |  |
| Advocacy and Training on PPM DOTS for new expanded hospitals | No. of training sessions conducted | 3 | 3 | 100\% |  |
| Service Delivery Area : TB/HIV |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity | Measurement unit |  |  |  | Remark |
| Township TB/HIV committee meeting | No. of meetings conducted | 110 | 109 | 99\% |  |
| TB/HIV Sentinel surveillance | No. of sentinel sites | 28 | 28 | 100\% |  |
| Advocacy meeting on TB/HIV activities for newly expanded townships | No. of meetings conducted | 10 | 10 | 100\% |  |
| Service Delivery Area : MDR TB |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity | Measurement unit |  |  |  | Remark |
| MDRTB Patients enrolled and bagan second line treatment | No. of patients | 508 | 667 |  | 108 <br> patients <br> left to be <br> treated <br> from Year <br> 2 included |


| Service Delivery Area : TB Care for High Risk Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Activity | Measurement <br> unit |  |  |  | Remark |  |
| Border Health <br> committee bi-annual <br> meeting | No. of meetings <br> conducted | 6 |  | 3 | $50 \%$ |  |
| Quarterly evaluation <br> meeting at border <br> townships | No. of meetings <br> conducted | 12 |  | 12 |  | $100 \%$ |


| Service Delivery Area : Community Based TB Care |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Activity | Measurement <br> unit |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Health talks at RHC <br> level and urban <br> health center (18 <br> times/qr/township) <br> (Sagaing 8 tsps, <br> Magway 10 tsps)+(9 <br> tsp.in Shan State <br> South \& 5 tsp in <br> Shan State North) | No.of Health <br> Talk (times | 128 |  | 127 |  | $99 \%$ |

## 6. BCG Immunization

BCG immunization was started in 1951 to those who were tuberculin test negative. In 1963, Freeze Dried BCG Vaccine was introduced. Direct BCG vaccination was implemented in 1969. BCG Vaccination has become part of the Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI) and the BCG team of NTP has been integrated into Regional and State Health Department since 1978.
Table 30. BCG coverage (2005-2013)

| State/Region | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ayeyarwaddy <br> Region | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Bago Region <br> (Bago) | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ | $81 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| Bago Region <br> (Pyay) | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| Chin State | $99 \%$ | $119 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Kachin State | $89 \%$ | $108 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Kayah State | $81 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Kayin State | $60 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Magway Region | $85 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $110 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| Mandalay Region | $68 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| NayPyiTaw <br> Council Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $91 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| Mon State | $86 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| Rakhine State | $106 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $107 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| Sagaing Region | $88 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Shan State <br> (Kengtong) | $42 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Shan State <br> (Lashio) | $60 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Shan State <br> (Taunggyi) | $84 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| Taninthayi <br> Region | $93 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| Yangon Region | $61 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $103 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Country | $76 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## 7. Budget and external technical support

### 7.1. Government budget for NTP

Government budget was only 14 million Kyats in 1995-1996, and increased to 3776 million Kyats in 2012-2013. Government commitment for purchasing drugs especially second line anti-TB was very high and it was 2550 million Kyats in 2013-2014.

Table 31. Government budget for NTP

| Year | Regular Budget <br> (Kyats in thousands) | Drugs purchase <br> (Kyats in thousands) | Total <br> (Kyats in thousands) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1995-1996$ | 13,711 | 782 | 14,493 |
| $1996-1997$ | 14,527 | 1,614 | 16,141 |
| $1997-1998$ | 16,017 | 5,000 | 21,017 |
| $1998-1999$ | 18,777 | 19,600 | 38,377 |
| $1999-2000$ | 20,509 | 25,000 | 45,509 |
| $2000-2001$ | 62,747 | 30,000 | 92,747 |
| $2001-2002$ | 68,470 | 35,000 | 103,470 |
| $2002-2003$ | 109,649 | 35,000 | 109,349 |
| $2003-2004$ | 129,300 | 35,000 | 144,667 |
| $2004-2005$ | 119,955 | 35,000 | 164,300 |
| $2005-2006$ | 361,974 | 55,000 | 174,955 |
| $2006-2007$ | 373,126 | 55,000 | 416,974 |
| $2007-2008$ | 400,146 | 74,700 | 447,826 |
| $2008-2009$ | 465,190 | 74,700 | 474,846 |
| $2009-2010$ | 574,785 | 90,011 | 555,201 |
| $2010-2011$ | 993,564 | 94,396 | 669,181 |
| $2011-2012$ | 996,995 | 58,251 | 751,905 |
| $2012-2013$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 5 , 9 7 6}$ | 50,025 | $1,047,020$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 5 0 , 9 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 7 6 , 9 1 7}$ |  |

Figure 25. Government budget for National Tuberculosis Programme (1995-2014)


Table 32. External Financial Support for NTP (2013)

| 2013 | Global <br> Fund | WHO | JICA/JGA | GDF | UNITAID | 3MDG | USAID |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| First line TB drugs (including <br> Paediatric TB drugs) | $5,626,161$ |  |  | 935,000 | 197,913 |  |  |
| Human Resource | 341,298 |  |  |  |  | 6,000 |  |
| Routine programme management <br> and supervision activities | $2,342,135$ | 55,200 | 92,569 |  |  | 137,812 | 90,000 |
| Laboratory supplies and equipment <br> for smear, culture and DST | $2,211,282$ | 7,000 | 38,233 |  |  |  |  |
| PPM: Public-Private Mix DOTS | 38,086 | 5,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collaborative TB/HIV activities | 260,543 | 5,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Second line drugs for MDR TB | $3,296,373$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management of MDR TB | 611,451 | 10,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community involvement | 218,586 | 6,250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACSM: Advocacy, communication <br> and social mobilization | 108,088 | 10,030 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operational research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surveys | 38,520 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other technical assistant | 83,907 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $15,735,193$ | 137,000 | 935,000 | 130,802 | 197,913 | 261,573 | 440,000 |

## 8. Constraints

1. Pursuing high-quality DOTS expansion and enhancement

- Human resource necessity and staff motivation
- Limitation in reaching to the un-reach
- Huge disease burden and co-infection

2. Addressing TB/HIV, MDR-TB and other challenges

- Rapid scaling up of TB/HIV causes weak coordination at Regional/State level and below
- Utilization of IPT was low
- Emerging Drug-resistant TB
- Limited funding for Infection Control for health facilities and congregate settings

3. Contributing to health system strengthening

- Limitation in health financing and health work force
- Limited service delivery in hard to reach area

4. Engaging all care providers

- Limitation to scale up PPM-DOTS
- Weak mechanism in reporting of PPM-DOTS
- Case holding was one of the challenges in PPM-DOTS

5. Empowering people with TB, and communities

- Low community awareness
- No SOP, guideline for community involvement
- No Sustainability in community participation
- Limited in appropriate materials for ACSM

6. Enabling and promoting research

- Limited funding for Operational Research


## 9. Progress towards MDGs

### 9.1 Millennium Development Goal, targets and indicators for tuberculosis

Goal 6 - Combat HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases
Goal of the National Tuberculosis Programme (NTP) - to reduce morbidity, mortality and transmission of TB until it is no longer a public health problem and to prevent the development of drug resistant TB
Target 6.c Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the incidence of malaria and other major diseases

Indicator 6.9 - Prevalence and death rates associated with tuberculosis

| Tuberculosis Indicator 6.9 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuberculosis prevalence rate per <br> 100,000 population per year | 922 | 525 | 506 | 489 | 473 |
| Tuberculosis death rate per 100,000 <br> population per year | 133 | 49 | 48 | 48 | 49 |
| Tuberculosis incidence rate per 100,000 <br> population per year | 404 | 384 | 381 | 377 | 373 |

Indicator 6.10 - Proportion of tuberculosis cases detected and cured under DOTS

| Tuberculosis Indicator 6.10 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuberculosis detection rate under DOTS | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 |
| Tuberculosis treatment success rate <br> under DOTS | 86 | 86 | 85 | 85 |

## 10. Case Finding and Case Holding (2013)

This annual report was based on the quarterly and annual reports received from Region/State TB Centres, other reporting units such as PPM hospitals and implementing partners, Local NGOs and INGOs during 2013.

### 10.1 Case Finding

NTP targeted to achieve at least $70 \%$ case detection of estimated new smear positive in the community. In 2013, NTP covered the whole country populations in 330 DOTS townships. The estimated number of new smear positive TB patients in 2013 for the whole country was 54,106 . NTP could notify 49,721 cases of smear positive including

42,595 cases of new smear positive. Case detection rate for smear positive cases for 2013 was $78.7 \%$ over 319 reporting townships including partners' contribution.

The case detection rates (CDRs) of 6 Regions and 4 States, including Naypyitaw Council, went beyond the target of $70 \%$. Only Chin State fell into the group of CDR $<40 \%$. Kayah State increase CDR to $51 \%$ compared to 2012 which only $31 \%$ with the effort of both NTP and implementing partners.

Of all 34,466 TB cases (all forms) reported by partners (MMA, PSI, MSF-Holland, MSF-Switzerland, MDM \& AHRN) and other reporting units (2 TB specialist hospitals, 1 HIV hospital, 19 PPM hospitals \& Mandalay Jail hospital), 10,250 (29.7\%) were new smear positive cases, contained in 11,890 (34.5\%) smear positive TB cases.

Figure 26. CDR of Regions \& States by NTP alone and NTP with Partners (2013)


Table 33. Case Detection Rate by Regions and States for 2013

| Regions and States | CDR for 2013 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | NTP only | NTP + other reporting <br> Units |
| Kachin State | $69 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Kayah State | $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Chin State | $28 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Sagaing Region | $43 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Magway Region | $49 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| Mandalay Region | $50 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Shan State (Tauggyi) | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Shan State (Kengtong) | $84 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Shan State (Lashio) | $59 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Kayin State | $72 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Tanintharyi Region | $61 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Bago Region | $67 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Mon State | $72 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Rakhine State | $59 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Yangon Region | $66 \%$ | $109 \%$ |
| Ayeyarwaddy Region | $68 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| NayPyiTaw Council Area | $70 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Union | $60 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

In 2011, among 319 reporting townships, 141 townships (44\%) achieved the target of $\geq 70 \%$ with the effort of NTP and partners. If NTP alone, only (94) townships (29\%) attained the target.

Figure 27. Proportion of new smear positive TB cases detected in Region/State out of NTP's total new smear positive TB cases in 2013


Figure 28. Proportion of all smear positive TB cases detected in Region/State out of NTP's total smear positive TB cases in 2013


Table 34. Categories of Townships in States \& Regions by CDR (2013)

| No. | States and Region | No. of township with CDR |  |  |  |  | Total no. of township | No. of tsp. from which reports not received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 70 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60- \\ & 69 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50- \\ & 59 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40- \\ & 49 \% \end{aligned}$ | <40\% |  |  |
| 1. | Kachin State | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 5 |
| 2. | Kayah State | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 |
| 3. | Chin State | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 0 |
| 4. | Sagaing Region | 6 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 37 | 0 |
| 5. | Magway Region | 6 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 25 | 0 |
| 6. | Mandalay Region | 12 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 28 | 0 |
| 7. | Shan State (Taunggyi) | 5 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 21 | 0 |
| 8. | Shan State <br> (Kyaingtong) | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 |
| 9. | Shan State (Lashio) | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 24 | 6 |
| 10. | Kayin State | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| 11. | Tanintharyi Region | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 0 |
| 12. | Bago Region | 15 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 28 | 0 |
| 13. | Mon State | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 14. | Rakhine State | 7 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 0 |
| 15. | Yangon Region | 33 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 45 | 0 |
| 16. | Ayeyarwaddy Region | 16 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 0 |
| 17. | NayPyiTaw <br> Council Area | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| Total |  | 141 | 35 | 35 | 39 | 69 | 330 | 11 |

Townships which report not received.
Kachin State: 1. N'gyanyan 2.Hsawlaw 3.Khaunglanbu 4.Naungmon 5. Sumprabum
Shan (Lashio) State: 1.Kongyan 2.Panwine 3.Mongmaw 4.Manphant 5.Narphant 6.Pangyan

The proportion of sputum smear positive pulmonary TB cases among all pulmonary TB cases was $54 \%$ and the ratio of new sputum smear positive TB cases to new smear negative TB cases was 0.98:1 (Country figure). If only NTP data were analyzed, proportion of new smear positive to new smear negative was increase to ( $57 \%$ ), the ratio was $1: 1$.

The proportion of sputum smear positive pulmonary TB cases out of all TB cases was lower than $40 \%$ in Kachin, Kayah, Kayin, Chin, Mon \& Shan (Lashio) States, and Bago and Tanintharyi Regions. They detected and treated more sputum smear negative TB cases, and it is needed to assess if the smear positive TB cases are declining or not. The quality of township laboratories should also be checked in those areas.

Among all notified smear positive TB cases, new smear positive cases occupied $85.7 \%$, and relapse, defaulter and failure cases did $9.8 \%$, $1 \%$ and $3.6 \%$ respectively. Yangon Region could detect $20.9 \%$ of new smear positive cases out of NTP's total new smear positive cases, followed by Ayeyarwaddy Region of 13.7\%, then by Bago Region of $10.4 \%$ and Mandalay Region of $9.2 \%$. Therefore, three biggest Regions of Myanmar, Yangon, Ayeyarwaddy and Mandalay contributed to $43.8 \%$ of new smear positive TB cases.

Table 35. Contribution of new sputum smear positive and all TB cases by Regions \& States to NTP's total (2013)

| No. | State / Regions | DOTS covered <br> Townships <br> in each <br> Region / State | New smear (+) <br> patients out of <br> NTP's total new <br> smear (+) TB cases | All forms of TB <br> cases out of <br> NTP's all TB <br> cases |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1. | Kachin State | $18 / 18=100 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2. | Kayah State | $7 / 7=100 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| 3. | Chin State | $9 / 9=100 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| 4. | Sagaing Region | $37 / 37=100 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| 5. | Magway Region | $25 / 25=100 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ |
| 6. | Mandalay Region | $28 / 28=100 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| 7. | Shan State (Taunggyi) | $21 / 21=100 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| 8. | Shan State (Kengtong) | $10 / 10=100 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| 9. | Shan State (Lashio) | $24 / 24=100 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| 10. | Kayin State | $7 / 7=100 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| 11. | Tanintharyi Region | $10 / 10=100 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| 12. | Bago Region | $14 / 14=100 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| 13. | Mon State | $10 / 10=100 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| 14. | Rakhine State | $20.9 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |  |
| 15. | Yangon Region | $17 / 17=100 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ |
| 16. | Ayeyarwaddy Region | $26 / 26=100 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ |
| 17. | NayPyiTaw Council Area | $8 / 8=100 \%$ |  | $2.2 \%$ |

Table 36. Categories of CDR by Regions and States (2013)

| $\geq 70 \%$ | $60-69 \%$ | $50-59 \%$ | $40-49 \%$ | $<40 \%$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country <br> Naypyitaw, <br> Yangon, <br> Bago, <br> Ayeyarwaddy, <br> Tanintharyi, <br> Mon, <br> Kayin, <br> Shan <br> (Kyaingtong), <br> Kachin, <br> Shan (Lashio), | Rakhine, <br> Mandalay, | Sagaing, <br> Magway, <br> Kayah, <br> Shan(Taunggyi) | Chin |  |  |
| 10 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | 17 |

Regions and States with CDR of less than $50 \%$ should be supportively supervised more than before. Accelerated Case Finding such as initial home visit and contact tracing, sputum collection points in hard to reach areas, community based TB care activities and mobile team activities should be conducted in order to improve case findings.

Countrywide Case Notification Rate (CNR) for all forms of TB cases was 297/ 100,000 population, and that for new smear positive TB cases was 89 per 100,000 population.

By Regions and States, CNR for all TB cases was the highest in Tanintharyi Region (372/100,000 pop.), followed by Kachin State (341/100,000 pop.), Yangon Region (333/100,000 pop.), and Mon State (327/ 100,000 pop.).

Regarding CNR for new smear positive cases, it was high in Yangon Region with (185/100,000 pop.), Kachin State with (95/100,000 pop.), Shan (Kengtong) State with (94/100,000 pop.) and Mon State with 93/100,000 population. CNR for new smear positive cases less than 50/100,000 population was only see in Chin State with 32/100,000 population.

Figure 29. Case Notification Rate (CNR) of All form TB cases per 100000 population by Regions \& States (2013)


Figure 30. Case Notification Rate (CNR) of New smear positive TB cases per 100000 population by Regions \& States (2013)


## Age and sex distribution of new sputum smear positive TB cases

The age and sex distribution of new smear positive TB cases reported to NTP in 2013 displayed that $43 \%$ of those fell in the group of $25-44$ years. Male to Female ratio was 2:1.

Figure 31. Age \& Sex distribution of New Smear Positive TB Patients (2013)


Table 37. Age and sex specific case notification rates of new smear positive cases (2013)

| Age <br> group | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TB patients/ pop. * | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CNR/ } \\ & 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | TB patients/ pop. ** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CNR/ } \\ & 100,0 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | TB patients/ pop. *** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CNR/ } \\ & 100,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| 0-14 | 137/7,934,144 | 2 | 188/7,693,345 | 2 | 325/15,627,489 | 2 |
| 15-24 | 3,041/4,489,681 | 68 | 2,372/4,279,423 | 55 | 5,413/8,769,104 | 62 |
| 25-34 | 5,990/3,824,543 | 157 | 3,074/3,870,714 | 79 | 9,064/7,695,257 | 118 |
| 35-44 | 6,424/2,969,365 | 216 | 2,630/3,101,380 | 85 | 9,054/6,070,745 | 149 |
| 45-54 | 5,859/2,066,678 | 283 | 2,524/2,187,795 | 115 | 8,383/4,254,473 | 197 |
| 55-64 | 4,125/1,330,276 | 310 | 1,974/1,490,586 | 132 | 6,099/2,820,862 | 216 |
| 65+ | 2,715/1,140,236 | 238 | 1,542/1,418,460 | 109 | 4,257/2,558,696 | 166 |
| Total | 28,291/23,754,924 | 119 | 14,304/24,041,703 | 59 | 42,595/47,796,627 | 89 |

* All denominators are populations in thousand. (Source: 2008 Statistical Year Book, Ministry of National Planning \& Economics Department, Central Statistical Organization)

Figure 32. New Smear Positive TB case notification rate/100,000 population by age and sex groups (2013)


Case Notification Rate of new smear positive TB patients was the highest in the age group of 55-64 years in both sexes.

## Categories of anti-TB treatment regimen

Patients treated with Cat I regimen were $70.5 \%$ (101,642/144,127), Cat II of $8.6 \%$ (12,405/144,127) and Cat III 20.9\% (30,080/144,127).

Figure 33. Proportion of total TB patients treated with different regimens (2013)


Figure 34. New smear positive TB patients of NTP and Other Units (2006-2013)


By looking at the graph, other units including partners' contribution was increase steadily since 2009; however total new smear positive cases finding was not change so much.

Figure 35. All forms of TB patients of NTP and Other Units (2006-2013)


The trend of all forms of TB cases by NTP and Partners was increased steadily from 2008 to 2012. The trend is found to be peak in 2012. The cause might be due to over-diagnosis of childhood TB which was approximately $30 \%$ among all TB cases. It was slightly decreased in 2013 ( $25 \%$ of childhood TB cases among all TB cases) after advocacy meeting with paediatricians for diagnosis of childhood TB.

Table 38. Notified New Smear Positive TB Patients and all types of TB patients (2006-2012)

| Regions/ States | New Smear Positive TB Patients |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All Types of TB Patients |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |  |
| Kachin | 1383 | 1372 | 1165 | 1255 | 1186 | 1068 | 1011 | 1059 | 3959 | 4408 | 4471 | 5169 | 5255 | 5266 | 5235 | 5000 |
| Kayah | 143 | 127 | 152 | 131 | 127 | 116 | 98 | 149 | 863 | 565 | 679 | 1177 | 871 | 591 | 721 | 743 |
| Chin | 187 | 143 | 154 | 151 | 121 | 109 | 119 | 142 | 1095 | 1018 | 1219 | 1213 | 1163 | 1083 | 971 | 1229 |
| Sagaing | 2439 | 3662 | 2818 | 2909 | 2685 | 2760 | 2493 | 2357 | 9373 | 9702 | 8605 | 8116 | 8261 | 8234 | 8299 | 6727 |
| Magway | 2171 | 2230 | 2236 | 2052 | 1976 | 1914 | 1949 | 2102 | 7894 | 8546 | 7932 | 7900 | 7208 | 7253 | 6812 | 6661 |
| Mandalay | 3735 | 3871 | 3650 | 3360 | 3481 | 3609 | 3565 | 2982 | 10793 | 12355 | 12234 | 11991 | 11303 | 11019 | 11445 | 9274 |
| Shan (Taunggyi) | 699 | 797 | 773 | 780 | 802 | 932 | 906 | 1056 | 2493 | 2771 | 2490 | 2524 | 2510 | 2919 | 3051 | 3309 |
| Shan (Kengtong) | 545 | 545 | 555 | 483 | 582 | 462 | 584 | 559 | 1508 | 1630 | 1495 | 1511 | 2066 | 2084 | 1862 | 1676 |
| Shan (Lashio) | 875 | 939 | 1084 | 1140 | 1254 | 1179 | 1233 | 1152 | 2924 | 3859 | 3701 | 3781 | 3922 | 4089 | 4220 | 4469 |
| Kayin | 840 | 1012 | 1095 | 1061 | 1019 | 831 | 1168 | 1054 | 3382 | 3920 | 4092 | 3940 | 4709 | 4145 | 3876 | 3290 |
| Tanintharyi | 829 | 842 | 822 | 885 | 824 | 895 | 895 | 833 | 4898 | 5312 | 5399 | 6092 | 5163 | 5021 | 5478 | 4847 |
| Bago (Bago) | 1945 | 1992 | 1894 | 1764 | 1749 | 1740 | 1885 | 1826 | 5831 | 6000 | 5203 | 5008 | 5583 | 6284 | 7149 | 7164 |
| Bago (Pyay) | 1539 | 1642 | 1715 | 1588 | 1440 | 1511 | 1592 | 1552 | 5789 | 4973 | 5122 | 4965 | 4403 | 4656 | 5432 | 5722 |
| Mon | 1704 | 1660 | 1800 | 1758 | 1637 | 1539 | 1543 | 1626 | 5107 | 5755 | 7026 | 6508 | 6291 | 6031 | 6563 | 7010 |
| Rakhine | 1845 | 1816 | 2230 | 2199 | 2292 | 2083 | 1881 | 1990 | 4403 | 5962 | 5473 | 6698 | 6737 | 6253 | 4812 | 5284 |
| Yangon | 7803 | 9164 | 8788 | 8329 | 8296 | 7672 | 7249 | 6774 | 23979 | 25854 | 24434 | 22598 | 22873 | 22547 | 21863 | 20107 |
| Ayeyarwaddy | 5472 | 5327 | 4966 | 4507 | 4943 | 4721 | 4336 | 4435 | 13228 | 13527 | 12864 | 11593 | 12656 | 13468 | 13742 | 13174 |
| NayPyiTaw |  |  |  |  |  | 105 | 270 | 697 |  |  |  |  |  | 383 | 740 | 2010 |
| TOTAL | 34154 | 37141 | 35897 | 34352 | 34414 | 33235 | 32777 | 32345 | 107519 | 116157 | 112439 | 110784 | 110974 | 111326 | 112271 | 107696 |
| Other Units | 6087 | 5447 | 5351 | 7037 | 7904 | 9089 | 10132 | 10250 | 16074 | 17390 | 16300 | 23239 | 26429 | 31838 | 35878 | 34466 |
| GRAND Total | 40241 | 42588 | 41248 | 41389 | 42318 | 42335 | 42909 | 42595 | 123593 | 133547 | 128739 | 134023 | 137403 | 143164 | 148149 | 142162 |

Table 39. Categories of Treatment Regimens

| Years | Category I |  |  |  | Category II |  |  |  |  | Category III |  |  | Total Cat.$I+I I+\text { III }$ | Proportion of relapse among all smear positive | Proportion of failure among all smear positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sputum smear positive | Severe form |  | Total | Relapse | Treatment after default | Treatment after Failure | Other | Total | Less severe form |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  |  | Smear negative | EP |  |  |  |  |  |  | Smear negative | EP |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 16923 | 2608 | 313 | 19844 | 2600 | 907 | 386 |  | 3893 | 6157 | 1962 | 8119 | 31856 | 13 | 2 |
| 2001 | 20697 | 4604 | 485 | 25786 | 3072 | 1042 | 363 |  | 4477 | 9166 | 3383 | 12549 | 42812 | 13 | 2 |
| 2002 | 24203 | 8063 | 866 | 33132 | 3661 | 1242 | 697 |  | 5600 | 10796 | 9866 | 20662 | 59394 | 13 | 2 |
| 2003 | 27295 | 13537 | 1693 | 42525 | 4453 | 1454 | 964 |  | 6871 | 12179 | 16185 | 28364 | 77760 | 13 | 3 |
| 2004 | 31551 | 21098 | 2938 | 55587 | 4820 | 1293 | 1522 |  | 7635 | 13627 | 23267 | 36894 | 100116 | 13 | 4 |
| 2005 | 38598 | 23164 | 6234 | 67996 | 4817 | 976 | 2024 |  | 7817 | 13309 | 26158 | 39467 | 115280 | 11 | 4 |
| 2006 | 40742 | 30031 | 5620 | 76393 | 5229 | 1007 | 2852 |  | 9088 | 13924 | 29141 | 43065 | 128546 | 11 | 6 |
| 2007 | 43230 | 29177 | 6602 | 79009 | 4750 | 757 | 1208 | 2795 | 9510 | 13077 | 33986 | 47063 | 135582 | 10 | 3 |
| 2008 | 41839 | 27725 | 6364 | 75928 | 4509 | 633 | 1140 | 2954 | 9236 | 17306 | 28897 | 46203 | 131367 | 9 | 2 |
| 2009 | 42122 | 29744 | 6479 | 78345 | 4753 | 606 | 1349 | 3323 | 10031 | 22865 | 26088 | 48953 | 137329 | 10 | 3 |
| 2010 | 43061 | 35312 | 7220 | 85593 | 4658 | 523 | 1536 | 3969 | 10686 | 23086 | 21369 | 44458 | 140737 | 9 | 3 |
| 2011 | 43070 | 35668 | 7391 | 86129 | 4820 | 551 | 1565 | 4433 | 11369 | 27785 | 21055 | 48840 | 146338 | 10 | 3 |
| 2012 | 43650 | 34836 | 7615 | 86101 | 4703 | 540 | 1697 | 4603 | 11543 | 38830 | 14311 | 53141 | 150785 | 8 | 3 |
| 2013 | 43203 | 28730 | 6341 | 78274 | 4997 | 522 | 1784 | 5102 | 12405 | $19240$ <br> (Cat I NSN less severe) | 4128 <br> (Cat I EP less severe) | 30080 <br> (Cat III childhood TB) | 144127 | 10 | 4 |

NSN -new smear negative

## Laboratory performance

Laboratory performance was found to be increased year by year with limited human resources. In 2013, approximately 400,000 presumptive TB cases were examined for sputum microscopy. Among them, about 60,000 smear positive cases (15\%) could be detected. Sputum positivity rates ranged from $8 \%$ to $33 \%$. It was the highest in Yangon Region (33\%), followed by Naypyitaw Council Area (20\%), Tanintharyi Region (19\%) and Shan State (Kengtong), Rakhine State and Ayeyarwaddy Region (18\%).

Smear positivity rate among follow up sputum examination cases was $7 \%$ which was more or less the same with previous year (6.5\%).

Figure 36. Laboratory Performance (2000-2013)


Table 40. TB Suspects Notified in Regions and States (2012, 2013)

| Region/State | 2012 |  |  | 2013* |  |  | Compared to 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | No. of presumptive TB cases | \% <br> came <br> for <br> Dx. | Population | No. of presumptive TB cases | \% <br> came <br> for <br> Dx. |  |
| Kachin State | 1,464,154 | 9,031 | 62 | 1465364 | 8772 | 60 | decreased |
| Kayah State | 299,679 | 1,829 | 61 | 285371 | 1903 | 67 | increased |
| Chin State | 493,684 | 1,707 | 35 | 478958 | 1660 | 35 |  |
| Sagaing Region | 5,212,668 | 29,834 | 57 | 5193199 | 29011 | 56 | decreased |
| Magway <br> Region | 4,148,020 | 16,895 | 41 | 4059425 | 19164 | 47 | increased |
| Mandalay Region | 6,370,123 | 35,791 | 56 | 5672704 | 40366 | 71 | increased |
| Shan State (Taunggyi) | 2,066,678 | 9,067 | 44 | 2068600 | 11085 | 54 | increased |
| Shan State (Kengtong) | 693,542 | 3,006 | 43 | 636719 | 2935 | 46 | increased |
| Shan State (Lashio) | 2,181,745 | 8,857 | 41 | 1849708 | 9258 | 50 | increased |
| Kayin State | 1,435,686 | 6,763 | 47 | 1389274 | 7538 | 54 | increased |
| Tanintharyi Region | 1,340,978 | 7,945 | 59 | 1301784 | 7203 | 55 | decreased |
| Bago Region (Bago) | 2,856,857 | 11,199 | 39 | 2874449 | 30117 | 105 | Increased |
| Bago Region (Pyay) | 2,010,935 | 10,777 | 54 | 2874440 | 30117 | 105 | Increased |
| Mon State | 2,127,556 | 16,435 | 77 | 1934427 | 16829 | 87 | Increased |
| Rakhine State | 3,225,070 | 11,744 | 36 | 2141928 | 12573 | 59 | increased |
| Yangon Region | 5,969,277 | 47,508 | 80 | 3213668 | 39434 | 123 | increased |
| Ayeyarwaddy Region | 6,316,979 | 25,063 | 40 | 6030053 | 32196 | 53 | increased |
| Nay Pyi Taw | 317,847 | 29 | 1 | 6249174 | 3479 | 6 | decreased |
| Other Units |  | 63,050 |  |  | 120921 |  |  |
| Country | 48,531,478 | 316,530 | 65 | 47796627 | 394444 | 83 | Increased |

Townships from which reports were not received:
Kachin State: 1. N'gyanyan 2.Hsawlaw 3.Khaunglanbu 4.Naungmon 5. Sumprabum
Shan (Lashio) State: 1.Kongyan 2.Panwine 3.Mongmaw 4.Manphant 5.Narphant 6.Pangyan
Presumptive TB examination rate was increased in most region/state, except
Kachin State, Sagaing and Tanintharyi Regions, and Naypyitaw Council Area.
Therefore, nationwide presumptive TB examination rate was also increased to $83 \%$ in 2013.

## Sputum conversion rate of new smear positive pulmonary TB cases

In 2013, the sputum conversion rate was calculated only from first 3 quarters of 2013 as reporting format was changed in early 2014 in which sputum conversion report was not included. The sputum conversion rate of new smear positive TB cases in 2013 was $85 \%(27577 / 32426)$ at the end of initial intensive phase ( 2 or 3 month) over the whole country. The remaining positive rate was $5 \%$ (1609/32026) and proportion of sputum examination not done at 2-3 months was $10 \%$ (3240/32026).

Sputum conversion rate < 85\% was found in Mandalay and Tanintharyi Regions, Kachin, Kayah, Shan (Kengtong), Shan (Lashio) and Rakhine States. However, the rate was not very much decreased.

The regions/states where remaining smear positive at 3 month above 5\% were Tanintharyi, Magway, Mandalay Regions, Shan (Kengtong), Kachin, Kayah, Rakhine State and Naypyitaw Council Area.

### 10.2 Treatment outcome of TB patients ( 2012 cohort)

Treatment outcome of the TB patients (2012 cohort) were evaluated from 319 townships (NTP). Cure rate and treatment success rate (TSR) of new sputum smear positive TB patients for Country (National Figure) were 73.8\% (30,262/41,033) and $85.4 \%(35,033 / 41,033)$ for 2012 cohort. Looking at NTP data only, cure rate was $76.2 \%$ $(30,867 / 23508)$ with TSR of $86.4 \%(26,671 / 30,867)$.

Table 41. Categories of TSR (new smear positive TB patients) of townships by Region/State (2012 cohort) (Country)

| No. | Regions/States | No. of township with TSR |  |  |  |  | Total no. o townships | No. of tsps. from which reports not received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 85 \%$ | 75-84\% | 60-74\% | 50-59\% | <50\% |  |  |
| 1. | Kachin State | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 5 |
| 2. | Kayah State | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 |
| 3. | Chin State | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 4. | Sagaing Region | 28 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 |
| 5. | Magway Region | 20 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| 6. | Mandalay Region | 17 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| 7. | Shan State (Taunggyi) | 13 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
| 8. | Shan State (Kyaingtong) | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 |
| 9. | Shan State (Lashio) | 8 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 6 |
| 10. | Kayin State | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 11. | Tanintharyi Region | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 12. | Bago Region | 22 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| 13. | Mon State | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 14. | Rakhine State | 10 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| 15. | Yangon Region | 31 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 45 | 0 |
| 16. | Ayeyarwaddy Region | 19 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 |
| 17. | NayPyiTaw <br> Council Area | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 202 \\ (63.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | 86 | 24 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (1.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 330 | 12 |

Townships from which reports were not received:
Kachin State: 1. N'gyanyan 2.Hsawlaw 3.Khaunglanbu 4.Naungmon 5.Sumprabum
Shan State (Lashio): 1.Kongyan 2.Panwine 3.Mongmaw 4.Manphant 5.Narphant 6.Pangyan
Shan State (Kengtong) 1. Matman

In 2012 cohort, 318 townships reported to NTP. It was found that 202 townships (63.5\%) achieved the target of TSR $\geq 85 \%$. However, there were 6 townships (1.9\%) which had TSR < 50\% in 3 States (Kachin, Kayah, Shan State (Lashio)) and Yangon Region. 110 townships (34.6\%) gained TSR of between 60-84\%.

Table 42. Categories of cure rates of new sputum smear positive TB patients of townships by Region/State (2011 cohort) (COUNTRY)

| No. | Regions/States | No. of townships with CR |  |  |  |  | No. of tsps from which reports received | No. of tsps. from which reports not received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 85 \%$ | 75-84\% | 60-74\% | 50-59\% | <50\% |  |  |
| 1. | Kachin State | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 5 |
| 2. | Kayah State | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 |
| 3. | Chin State | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 |
| 4. | Sagaing Region | 12 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 37 | 0 |
| 5. | Magway Region | 7 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| 6. | Mandalay Region | 3 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| 7. | Shan State (Taunggyi) | 7 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 0 |
| 8. | Shan State (Kengtong) | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 1 |
| 9. | Shan State (Lashio) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 18 | 6 |
| 10. | Kayin State | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 11. | Tanintharyi Region | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 12. | Bago Region | 3 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 28 | 0 |
| 13. | Mon State | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 14. | Rakhine State | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 17 | 0 |
| 15. | Yangon Region | 7 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 45 | 0 |
| 16. | Ayeyarwaddy <br> Region | 2 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 0 |
| 17. | NayPyiTaw <br> Council Area | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Total |  | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \\ (19.8 \%) \end{array}$ | 109 | 93 | 31 | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ (6.9 \%) \end{array}$ | 318 | 12 |

Townships from which reports were not received:
Kachin State: 1. N'gyanyan 2.Hsawlaw 3.Khaunglanbu 4.Naungmon 5. Sumprabum
Shan (Lashio) State: 1.Kongmyan 2.Panwine 3.Mongmaw 4.Manphant 5.Narphant 6.Pangyan
Shan (Kengtong) State: 1.Matman

When CRs of townships were reviewed, only $19.8 \%$ of townships (63/318) achieved the $85 \%$ target while 22 townships ( $6.9 \%$ ) were having CR of $<50 \%$. The townships which have CR < 50\% were found in Kayah, Chin, Shan (Lashio), Shan (Taungyi), Shan (Kengtong), Rakhine states and Sagaing, Bago, Ayeyarwaddy and Yangon regions. Among 318 reporting townships 233 townships had CR of between 5084\%.

Table 43. Categories of CR and TSR (new sputum smear positive TB patients) of Regions/States (2012 cohort)

| $\geq 85 \%$ |  | 75-84\% |  | 60-74\% |  | 50-59\% |  | <50\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CR | TSR | CR | TSR | CR | TSR | CR | TSR | CR | TSR |
|  | Yangon, Bago, Ayeyarwaddy, <br> Sagaing, <br> Magway, <br> Mon, Kayin, <br> Mandalay, <br> Naypyitaw, Shan (Taunggyi), Chin | Chin, <br> Sagaing, <br> Magway, <br> Kayin, <br> Mon, <br> Yangon | Country <br> Shan <br> (Lashio), <br> Tanintharyi, <br> Shan <br> (Kyaingtong), <br> Kachin <br> Kayah, <br> Rakhine $\geq$ | Country <br> Kachin <br> Kayah, <br> Mandalay, <br> Shan <br> (Taungyi), <br> Shan <br> (Kengtong), <br> Shan <br> (Lashio), <br> Tanintharyi, <br> Bago, <br> Rakhine, <br> Ayeyarwaddy, <br> Naypyitaw |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |

Nationwide TSR of new smear positive TB patients was 85.4\% (2012 cohort). Although none of the Regions and States achieved the cure rate target of $85 \%$, total 11 regions/states including Naypyitaw Council Area could achieve TSR of $\geq 85 \%$.

Regarding the unfavourable outcomes, defaulter rate for new smear positive TB cases in 2012 cohort was $5 \%(1,958 / 41,033)$ and treatment failure rates were $4 \%$ ( $1,461 / 41,033$ ) which were increased compared to 2011 cohort. Case fatality rate (CFR) of new smear positive case was $5 \%(1948 / 41,033)$ and it was the same with 2011 cohort.

Figure 37. Treatment Success Rate of New Smear Positive by PPM Hospitals


Regarding treatment outcome of new smear positive patients in 2012 cohort, East YGH, 1000 bedded hospital (Naypyitaw), Central Jail Mandalay, New YGH, Htantabin TB hospital, No (1) MBH (Mandalay Nantwin) and 300 bedded teaching hospital (Mandalay) could achieve TSR of $\geq 85 \%$.

Case fatality rate was highest in Mingalardon Specialist Hospital (32\%) due to TB/HIV co infection and followed by Aung San TB Hospital (23\%) and Insein General Hospital (20\%). Treatment failure rate was the highest in Thingangyun Sanpya Hospital (23\%) followed by No (1) MBH (Pyinoolwin) and Aung San Hospital with 16\% and 15\% respectively. It was also noted that defaulter rate was highest in Pathein General Hospital (20\%), and second highest was Therketa Specialist Hospital (15\%).

Figure 38. Treatment Success Rate of New Smear Positive Cases by partners


In 2012 cohort of implementing partners, only MMA and MDM achieved TSR of $\geq 85 \%$. PSI achieved TSR 84\%, MSF-CH got 83\% in Dawei, however, only 73\% in Insein Prison. TSR of MSF-H in Rakhine State was $<50 \%$ due to social conflicts in this state.

Figure 39. Treatment Success Rate of New Smear Positive TB patients by Regions and States (2012 cohort)


Table 44. Treatment outcome of TB patients with known HIV status \& unknown HIV Status (2012 cohort)

| Type of TB patients |  | Total no. <br> evaluated | Cured | Com- <br> pleted | Deaths | Failure | Defaulter | Transferred <br> out | Total no. <br> evaluated |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| New (+) | HIV (+) | 1953 | 1200 | 171 | 236 | 125 | 172 | 49 | 1953 |
|  | Unknown <br> SIV status | 39080 | 29062 | 4600 | 1712 | 1336 | 1786 | 584 | 39080 |
|  | Total | 41033 | 30262 | 4771 | 1948 | 1461 | 1958 | 633 | 41033 |
|  | HIV (+) | 3092 |  | 2251 | 442 | 56 | 241 | 102 | 3092 |
|  | Unknown <br> HIV status | 38237 |  | 32738 | 2083 | 235 | 2552 | 629 | 38237 |
|  | Total | 41329 |  | 34989 | 2525 | 291 | 2793 | 731 | 41329 |
|  | HIV (+) | 313 | 160 | 42 | 64 | 18 | 12 | 17 | 313 |
|  | Unknown <br> HIV status | 4227 | 2630 | 474 | 407 | 330 | 266 | 120 | 4227 |
|  | Total | 4540 | 2790 | 516 | 471 | 348 | 278 | 137 | 4540 |


| Type of TB patients |  | Total no. evaluated | Cured | Completed | Deaths | Failure | Defaulter | Transferred out | Total no. evaluated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other | HIV (+) | 946 |  | 539 | 236 | 16 | 110 | 45 | 946 |
|  | Unknown HIV status | 3741 | 297 | 2609 | 387 | 67 | 297 | 84 | 3741 |
|  | Total | 4687 | 297 | 3148 | 623 | 83 | 407 | 129 | 4687 |
| PC | HIV (+) | 273 |  | 191 | 38 |  | 21 | 23 | 273 |
|  | Unknown HIV status | 31092 |  | 29814 | 124 | 0 | 947 | 207 | 31092 |
|  | Total | 31365 |  | 30005 | 162 |  | 968 | 230 | 31365 |
| TBM | HIV (+) | 28 |  | 21 | 4 |  | 2 | 1 | 28 |
|  | Unknown HIV status | 402 | 0 | 324 | 41 | 0 | 30 | 7 | 402 |
|  | Total | 430 |  | 345 | 45 |  | 32 | 8 | 430 |
| TAD | HIV (+) | 57 | 16 | 9 | 17 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 57 |
|  | Unknown HIV status | 457 | 220 | 92 | 48 | 27 | 54 | 16 | 457 |
|  | Total | 514 | 236 | 101 | 65 | 31 | 63 | 18 | 514 |
| TAF | HIV (+) | 149 | 78 | 17 | 10 | 16 | 19 | 9 | 149 |
|  | Unknown HIV status | 1535 | 736 | 145 | 158 | 286 | 156 | 54 | 1535 |
|  | Total | 1684 | 814 | 162 | 168 | 302 | 175 | 63 | 1684 |
| EP | HIV (+) | 1604 |  | 1157 | 244 | 28 | 121 | 54 | 1604 |
|  | Unknown HIV status | 12239 |  | 11161 | 405 | 21 | 487 | 165 | 12239 |
|  | Total | 13843 |  | 12318 | 649 | 49 | 608 | 219 | 13843 |
| Hilar <br> Lymph Node enlargeme nt | HIV (+) | 48 |  | 41 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 48 |
|  | Unknown HIV status | 8857 |  | 8493 | 19 | 7 | 246 | 92 | 8857 |
|  | Total | 8905 |  | 8534 | 22 | 7 | 249 | 93 | 8905 |
| Total | HIV (+) | 8463 | 1454 | 4439 | 1294 | 263 | 710 | 303 | 8463 |
|  | Unknown HIV status | 139867 | 32945 | 90450 | 5384 | 2309 | 6821 | 1958 | 139867 |
|  | Total | 148330 | 34399 | 94889 | 6678 | 2572 | 7531 | 2261 | 148330 |

Remark- TB/HIV outcome reports were not received from (4) regions \& (5) states (Yangon, Tanintharyi, Sagaing, Bago regions and Kayah, Rakhine, Shan(Lashio, Taunggyi, Kengton) states).

## 11. Evaluation of Regional and State level TB control achievement

### 11.1 Kachin State



Kachin State has 4 Districts including 18 townships with approximately 1.5 million. There were 1 state TB team, 4 district TB teams (Myitkyina, Bahmaw, Putao, Moenyin) and 1 township TB team (Shwegu). In 2013, Reports were received from 5 townships (Hsawlaw, N Jan Yan, Khaunglanbu, Nongmun and Sumprabum). Reporting efficiency was 72\% (13/18). Kachin State achieved CDR of $90 \%$ and TSR of $80 \%$ in 2013 with the efforts of NTP and partners. The implementing partners conducting TB control activities in Kachin State are MSF H, MDM, AHRN and PSI. Townships that achieve targets of both CDR $>70 \%$ and TSR >85\% include Bahmaw, Shwegu and Moenyin. Presumptive TB examination rate was 599/100,000 population and sputum positivity rate was $14 \%$. The defaulter rate, case fatality rate and failure rate were $7 \%, 5 \%$ and $4 \%$ respectively. The defaulter rate higher than $5 \%$ was found in (5) townships namely Mansi, Moenyin, Pharkant, Myitkyina and Tanai. The failure rate more than $2 \%$ was found in (7) townships - Bahmaw, Moemauk, Pharkant, Moegaung, Tanai, Myitkyina and Putao. Case fatality rate more than $5 \%$ was seen in (2) townships; Shwegu and Moenyin.

TB/HIV was also one of the challenges in Kachin State and collaborative activities were implementing in Bahmo and Myitkyina. Total 769 TB patients were diagnosed as HIV positive from these 2 townships and $86.3 \%$ (664/769) was taking CPT and $65.4 \%$ (503/769) received ART. There were 34 confirmed MDR-TB cases in 2013 and 2 of them were put on treatment for second line anti TB treatment.

Other Challenges in Kachin State included human resource shortage especially laboratory technicians and there was no drug store in Myitkyina TB Centre.

### 11.2 Kayah State



Kayah State has 2 districts with 7 townships and approximately 0.3 million population. Kayah State CDR was only $51 \%$ and TSR for 2012 cohort was $84 \%$ and CR was $73 \%$. Bawlakhae was the only township which achieved target of CDR $>70 \%$ and TSR $\geq 85 \%$. Townships with CDR $<50 \%$ were Phasaung, Phruso and Shadaw. Presumptive TB examination rate was 667 per 100,000 in 2013 with sputum positivity rate $9 \%$.

Defaulter rate, failure rate and case fatality rate were $3 \%, 4 \%$ and $6 \%$ respectively for the whole state. Only Phruso Township had highest failure rate and case fatality rate 20\%.

Partners in Kayah State were World Vision Myanmar, PSI and MWAF. Partners worked only in Loikaw and Demawso Townships. State TB Officer Post and Team Leader Post are still vacant in 2013. Human resources limitation, communication and transportation difficulties are the main constraints in TB control activities in Kayah State.

### 11.3 Chin State



Chin State has 3 districts and 9 townships with 0.48 million population. Five townships (Falam, Hakha, Htantalan, Tiddim, Tunzan) were controlled by Sagaing Regional TB officer, 3 townships (Mindat, Kanpetlet and Matupi) were under Magway Regional TB officer and one township (Palatwa) was covered by Rakhine State TB officer.

CDR was very low; $28 \%$ for the whole state and even with partners, CDR was only $31 \%$. CR and TSR was $78 \%$ and $88 \%$ for the whole state including partners' data. PSI was the only implementing partner at Chin State. There was no township which achieve CDR $>70 \%$. 6 townships achieved TSR $\geq 85 \%$ except Hakha (77\%), Tiddim (76\%) and Mindat ( $83 \%$ ). Defaulter rate was $4 \%$ for the whole state and townships with high defaulter rate were Tiddim -11\%, Hakha - 8\% and Matupi - $7 \%$.

No State TB officer was assigned and team leader post was vacant in Mindat. There were inaccessible villages and hard to reach areas in Chin State. Moreover, high BHS turnover was challenge for CDR achievement in Chin State TB control activity.

### 11.4 Sagaing Region



Sagaing Regional TB center covers 9 districts with 37 townships and 5.1 million populations. Nanyun townshiop in northern Sagaing Region is managed by Kachin State TB officer. Sagaing region achieved CDR 43\%, CR 81\% and TSR 90\%. With partners' contribution, CDR was $52 \%$ and TSR - $89 \%$. Presumptive TB examination rate was 559/100,000 population and sputum positivity rate was $9 \%$.Case notification rate of new smear positive TB cases was 54/100,000 population and that of all forms was 186/100,000 population. Townships with TSR < 85\% included Shwebo, Budalin, Banmauk, Taze, Tigyaing, Katha, Phaungbyi, Minkin and Kyunhla. Implementing partners are PSI, MMA and MRCS. PSI's contribution for case finding was highest and it was $16 \%$ for smear positive TB cases and $29 \%$ for all forms of TB cases.

Defaulter rate in Sagaing region was 1\%. Katha Township had high defaulter rate (8\%). Kalay, Mawlaik 4\%, Monywa, Kanbalu 3\%. Case fatality rate for Sagaing region was $5 \%$ and it was high up to $14 \%$ in layshi and $12 \%$ in Pinlebu, Minkin and Phaungbyin.

In Monywa Township, 349 TB patients had recoreded HIV status. Among them, 10.3\% (36/349) was HIV positive, 91.7\% (33/36) got CPT and 75.0\% (27/33) got ART. Approximately 600 patients were tested with GeneXpert and among them 20 patients were Rifampicin resistance. Among 58 notified MDR-TB patients, 22 patients were put on second line anti TB treatment during 2013.

Like other regions and states, human resource requirement was challenges for expansion of activities. There was also low case detection and low community participation.

### 11.5 Magway Region



Magway Region TB center covers 5 districts with 25 townships in Magway Region and approximately 4 million populations. There are 2 district TB teams and 3 township TB teams in Magway. CDR of Magway Region was $49 \%$ for NTP and CR was $80 \%$ and TSR was $88 \%$ and failure rate was $3 \%$. After combining partners' effort, CDR increased to $58 \%$ but TSR was the same - 88\%.

Defaulter rate was $3 \%$ and there are 4 townships (Magway, Chauk, Natmauk, Kanma) with high defaulter rate ( $>5 \%$ ). Failure rate was highest in Saytoketaya and Thayet with $10 \%$ and $9 \%$ in Yesagyo. Region wise failure rate was $3 \%$. Case fatality rate for the
whole region was $5 \%$ and it was high in Htilin with $13 \%$, Kanma with $11 \%$ and $10 \%$ in Thayet, Minbu and Pakokku.

Presumptive TB examination rate was $472 / 100,000$ population and sputum positivity rate was $14 \%$. CNR for new smear positive TB cases was 61 per 100,000 while that for all forms of TB cases was 186 per 100,000 populations.

Total 100 patients were tested with GeneXpert among them 15 patients were detected as Rifampicin resistance. In 2013, there were 24 confirmed MDR-TB cases and 13 patients were put on second line anti TB treatment.

There was increasing TB case load in Magway and Pakokku. Although TB/HIV collaborative activities were implementing, there was low number of TB-HIV patients who received ART. PSI and MMA, MHAA, MRCS are implementing partners in Magway region.

### 11.6 Mandalay Region



Mandalay Regional TB Centre covers 7 districts composed of 28 townships. Its population was about 5.6 millions. Reporting efficacy was $100 \%$ in Mandalay Region. CDR of Mandalay region was $50 \%$ for NTP alone and became increased to $67 \%$ after adding partners' contribution.CR $-76 \%$ and TSR $-86 \%$. There are 13 townships with CDR $\leq 60 \%$. MMA, PSI, UNION, MHAA, MRCS, MMCWA are implementing partners in Mandalay region.

In Mandalay Region, Maharaungmyay, Amarapura, Aungmyaytharzan, Chanayetharzan, Pyigyitagon, Kyaukpadaung and Sintgu townships achieved both CDR of $\geq 70 \%$ and TSR of $\geq 85 \%$.

Defaulter rate for the whole region was $2 \%$. Townships with defaulter rate of $>5 \%$ were Taungtha, Sintgu and Yamethin. Failure rate was $4 \%$ and case fatality rate was $6 \%$. Mogok Township was highest case fatality rate and failure rate with $15 \%$ and $18 \%$ respectively. Presumptive TB examination rate for the whole region was 712/100,000 and sputum positivity rate was $9 \%$. Total number of confirmed MDR - TB cases were 120 and 91 cases were being put on treatment.

TB/HIV collaborative activities was implementing in 9 townships. From these townships, $72.2 \%$ (3153/4370) cases were documented for HIV testing. HIV status positive cases were $16.0 \%$ ( $505 / 3153$ ) and $94.7 \% ~(478 / 505)$ received CPT but only $21.2 \% ~(107 / 505)$ received ART.

Barriers for target achievement in Mandalay Region were weak initial home visits, low case detection, TB/HIV problems, work burden of MDR-TB providers and TB coordinators, human resource shortage, frequent turn-over of trained staff and inconsistent population data to be used for target setting.

### 11.7 Shan State (Taunggyi)



Shan State (Taunggyi) TB team covers 3 districts with 21 townships. There are 2 district teams and 5 township TB teams. Shan State (Taungyi) did not achieve CDR targets and CDR for 2013 was $50 \%$ even with partners' involvement. TSR for the whole State was $85 \%$ and thirteen townships could achieve TSR $\geq 85 \%$. Partners in this state are UNION, PSI, MMA and MWAF. Townships that achieved CDR of $\geq 70 \%$ and TSR of $\geq 85 \%$ were Laikha, Mongshu, Pinlaung and Kunhein Townships.

Presumptive TB examination rate was 536 per 100,000 populations and sputum positivity rate was $10 \%$ since 2011. The defaulter rate was $3 \%$, failure rate was $4 \%$ and case fatality rate was $6 \%$. Case fatality rate was as high as $25 \%$ in kyeethi, followed by Ywangan with $21 \%$ and Linhkay with $12 \%$. Hopone had high unfavorable outcome of failure and case fatality rate with $17 \%$ and $10 \%$ respectively.

Regarding TB/HIV collaborative activities, it was started in this state since 2005. $67.6 \%$ (658/973) cases had documented HIV status and of them 17.2\% (113/658) were recorded as HIV positive. A good things is that among them $95.6 \%$ (108/113) received CPT and $85.0 \%(96 / 113)$ received HAART for HIV. There were 16 notified MDR-TB cases in 2013 and 11 was already put on second line treatment.

Major problem in Shan State (Taungyi) was low case detection due to sparsely populated, many hard-to-reached and uncovered areas. In addition, shortage of human resource including frequent transfer of trained person, vacant posts of team leader and laboratory technicians.

### 11.8 Shan State (Kengtong)



Shan (Kengtong) TB center covers 4 districts with 10 townships. There are 2 district TB teams. Total population in this area is more than sixty-two thousands population. Shan State (Kengtong) could achieve CDR of $90 \%$ with partners' contribution, although TSR was not changed a lot with or without partners' data, with $82 \%$ and $81 \%$ respectively. Presumptive TB examination rate was 473/ 100,000 population and sputum positivity rate was $18 \%$.

Two townships (Mongsat and Monpyak) could achieve both targeted CDR of >70\% and TSR of $\geq 85 \%$. Townships that achieved CDR of $<40 \%$ were Mongkhat, Mongyan and Matman. Although State TSR was $81 \%$ only, Monghsat and Monpyak could achieve TSR of $88 \%$ and $97 \%$ respectively.

The defaulter rate for the whole state was $8 \%$ and as high as $29 \%$ in Mongkhat and there was no township less $5 \%$. Failure rate for the whole state was $5 \%$ with highest in Kengtong (8\%) followed by Mongton with failure rate of $6 \%$.

There was 363 registered TB cases tested for HIV and of which $18 \%$ (66/363) was diagnosed as HIV positive. 47 out of 66 HIV positive patients received CPT treatment (71\%) and $53 \%$ ( $35 / 66$ ) was received ART treatment. Total 6 MDR-TB cases were detected in 2013 but treatment was not started yet. PSI and MWAF were working together for TB control.

State TB Officer was vacant and $X$ ray technicians are vacant in 5 townships and 4 vacant posts for lab technicians. There was less collaboration with NGOs for TB control activities and presence of uncovered area and hard to reach area especially in special
region which needs to advocate and conduct awareness raising activities in those population.

### 11.9 Shan State (Lashio)



Shan State TB center in Lashio Township covers 6 districts and 24 townships with more than 1.8 million populations. There are 2 district TB teams and 6 townships TB teams. CDR for the whole state was $78 \%$ although TSR could not achieve target and it was only $77 \%$ with partners. TSR is slightly decreased in 2013 from 80\% in 2012 to $77 \%$. Implementing partners were MWAF, MMA, PSI, MSF-H, AHRN \& Cesvi.

Presumptive TB examination rate was $501 / 100,000$ population and sputum positivity rate was $14 \%$. Regarding case holding, state wide defaulter rate was $12 \%$, with highest in Laukai $35 \%$, followed by Muse $24 \%$. Case fatality rate and failure rate was $4 \%$ and failure rate was more than $2 \%$ in 6 townships (Kunlon, Mongmeik, Namtu, Naungcho, Lashio and Kuitai).

TB/HIV collaborative activities were carried out in Lashio. MDR-TB treatment was started with the implementing partner MSF-H. There were 54 confirmed MDR-TB cases notified to NTP and of which 20 patients received second line treatment at Lashio NTP and MSF H.

There was unreported cases in Border area (between Muse, Myanmar \& Unan, China) since these area has no TB team \& no focal medical officer. Another constraint was poor case holding due to drug abuse, security issue and language barrier.

### 11.10 Kayin State



Kayin State TB Control Activities was under Mon State TB Officer and covered by Mon State TB center located in Mawlamyine. There are 2 district TB teams and 3 townships TB teams. Population in Kayin State was approximately 1.4 million. DOTS was implementing in 17 townships.

In 2013, Kayin State achieved both targeted CDR and TSR with CDR of 78\% and TSR of $85 \%$ including partners' contribution. However, only two townships (Hpa-an and Hlaingbwe) achieve both CDR and TSR targets. CDR > 40\% township was Thandaung township. No township in Kayin State reached TSR $<70 \%$. Partners in Kayin State are MCWA, PSI, MMA and IOM.

Defaulter rate for the whole state was $6 \%$ and it was highest in Kyainseikkyi with $12 \%$, followed by Myawady with $11 \%$. Failure rate was only $1 \%$ for state but it was as high as $6 \%$ in Myawady. Case fatality rate was highest in Papun (Kamamaung) with $15 \%$ and case fatality rate for the Kayin State was $4 \%$. Presumptive TB examination rate was 542/100,000 population in 2013. Sputum positivity rate for the whole state was $16 \%$ in 2013.

TB/HIV collaborative activities township, Hpa-an, reported $5.6 \%$ (77/1383) of registered TB patients had recorded HIV status and of which $17 \%$ (13/77) patients were HIV sero positive and of which $77 \%$ (10/13) received CPT. However, no patients received for ART treatment. In Kayin State, there were 18 confirmed MDR-TB patients were detected but treatment had not been started for all patients till the end of 2013.

Regarding human resource issue, there was vacant Grade II lab technician post in Hpa-an District TB Centre. Border township, Myawaddy, was high defaulter rate and low TSR.

### 11.11 Tanintharyi Region



Tanintharyi regional TB center covers 3 districts with 10 townships with population approximately 1.3 million. CDR was $61 \%$ for NTP alone and increased to $72 \%$ after including partners' results. No township could achieve targeted CDR and TSR of $>70 \%$ and $\geq 85 \%$. However, 4 townships (Dawei with $145 \%$, Myeik with $107 \%$, Kawthaung with $114 \%$ and Bokpyin with $91 \%$ ) were achieve CDR $>70 \%$.

There were 4 implementing partners (MWAF, PSI, MSF-CH and World Vision) working along with NTP. TSR for whole region was $81 \%$ and became $82 \%$ after partners' treatment outcome. Defaulter rate \& Failure rate for the whole region were $6 \%$ \& $5 \%$ respectively. Defaulter rate was higher than 5\% in 6 townships and highest (20\%) in Bokpyinn township. Failure rate was higher than $2 \%$ in 8 townships. TB/HIV collaborative activities were implementing in Dawei, Myeik and Kawthaung Townships. There were 29 confirmed MDRTB cases in Tanintharyi Region.

TB team leader (Medical Officer) was needed in Kawthaung. In Myeik, nurse, X-ray technician and clerk were vacant. Problems were migrant population \& border area TB Control, scale up TB/HIV and MDR-TB management and collaboration with NGO for TB control in hard to reach area.

### 11.12 Bago Region



Bago Region TB center located in Bago township. Bago Region has 4 Districts with 28 townships. It has 4 district TB teams and 5 townships TB teams. The population of Bago Region was approximately 4.8 million. CDR was $67 \%$ for NTP alone but it increases to $83 \%$ after adding partners. Both CR was $74 \%$ and TSR was $88 \%$ respectively with partners' contribution. Implementing partners (MMCWA, PSI and MMA) working along with NTP.

Twelve townships (Bago, Kyauktaga, Waw, Taungoo, Yedashe, Paungde, Shwedaung, Tharyarwady, Zigon, Okpo, Nattalin and Lapdan) achieved both CDR >70\% and TSR $\geq 85 \%$. Presumptive TB examination rate was 626/100,000 population and sputum positivity rate was $17 \%$. Region wide defaulter rate and failure rate were the same with $3 \%$. TB/HIV collaborative activities were implementing in Bago and Pyay townships. Total 1114 TB patients had HIV test recorded and 16.8\% (187/1114) was diagnosed as HIV positive. There were 43 MDR-TB patients waiting to receive second line treatment.

Problems of Bago Region were human resource requirement especially laboratory technicians and difficult waste disposal in Bago and Pyay TB center and incinerator is needed to support. There is inadequate space for GeneXpert installation in Taungoo TB clinic and new building is required.

### 11.13 Mon State



Mon State TB Centre covers both Mon State and Kayin State: 2 districts in Mon State with 10 townships and 3 districts in Kayin State with 7 townships. There are 2 district TB teams and 5 townships TB teams in Mon State. Population in Mon State was approximately 2.2 million. Mon State achieved CDR 89\% with partners' involvement in TB control activities. NTP alone TSR was $87 \%$ and with partners, TSR was reduced to $86 \%$.

Defaulter rate was $5 \%$ and failure rate was $3 \%$. There were 3 townships with defaulter rate $\geq 5 \%$; Chaung zone ( $7 \%$ ), Thaton (14\%) and Kyaikto ( $6 \%$ ).. Presumptive TB examination rate was 786 per 100,000 populations. Sputum positivity rate for the whole state was $11 \%$. Implementing partners in Mon State were MMCWA, IOM, World Vision, PSI and MMA.

TB/HIV collaborative activities have been implemented in Mawlamyaing Out of 15 confirmed MDR-TB patients, 12 patients were being received treatment in 2013.

Team leader (medical officer) post was vacant in Mawlayine, team leader (HA) post was vacant in Mudon. Grade II lab technicians were vacant in Mawlamyine, Chaungzone and Bilin townships, junior TB worker in Thanbyuzayat and Mudon and statistical clerk was vacant in Mawlamyine and Thaton.

### 11.14 Rakhine State



Rakhine State TB center was situated in Sittwe, covering 17 townships with population approximately 3.2 million. There are 3 district TB teams and 8 townships TB teams. Implementing partners (PSI, MMA and MSF-H) were working along with NTP in TB control activities. CDR was $59 \%$ with NTP alone and increased to $65 \%$ with partners' contribution. State TSR was $85 \%$ with NTP alone, but it decreased to $84 \%$ with partners' data. Implementing partners are Malteser International, MMA, MSF-H and PSI. Presumptive TB examination rate for 2013 was $391 / 100,000$ population and positivity rate was $18 \%$.

Although Rakhine State could not reach targeted CDR of $>70 \%$, Sittwe Township could achieve CDR of $152 \%$. There were two townships (Pauktaw and Maungdaw) with CDR of $<40 \%$. Five townships (Mraukoo, Minbya, Manaung, Thandwe and Taungup) achieved targeted CDR $>70 \%$ and TSR $\geq 85 \%$. Highest TSR township was Manaung with TSR of $98 \%$, followed by Ponngyun, Kyauktaw with $96 \%$ and Buthidaung with $94 \%$.

Defaulter rate for the whole state was $6 \%$ with highest in Pauktaw (16\%) and total 7 townships (Sittwe, Pauktaw, Yatheedaung, Maungdaw, Kyaukphyu, Ann, Taunggoke) were defaulter rate $>5 \%$. Failure rate and case fatality rate were $4 \%$ and failure rate was as high as $14 \%$ in Maungdaw township and case fatality rate was $9 \%$ in Myaepon, followed by $8 \%$ in Gwa and 6\% in Maungdaw.

There were 27 confirmed MDR-TB cases notified to Rakhine State TB centre and of which 2 MDR-TB patients were put on second line treatment at Yangon. Total 25 confirmed MDR-TB cases were waiting for second line treatment.

Human resource shortage was challenging for current implementing and scaling up activities and TB-HIV collaborative activity was also weak in Rakhine.

### 11.15 Yangon Region

## Yangon Region (Eastern District)



Yangon Region (Western District)



TSR with partners
$\square 85 \%$ and more
$\square 75-84 \%$
$\square 60.74 \%$
$\square \begin{aligned} & 50-59 \% \\ & -50 \%\end{aligned}$


## Yangon Region (Northern District)



CDR with partners


Yangon Region (Southern District)


CDR with partners


TSR with partners

| $\square$ |
| :--- |
| $\square 5 \%$ and more |
| $\square$ |
| $\square 5-84 \%$ |
| $\square$ |
| $60-74 \%$ |
| $\square$ |
| $50-59 \%$ |
| $\square$ |

Yangon Regional TB Centre covers 4 districts with 45 townships. Total population of the Region was more than 6 million. It achieved CDR of 109\%, CR and TSR were $77 \%$ and $85 \%$ including partners' contribution. NTP only could achieve CR of $84 \%$ and $88 \%$, but for case finding, NTP only could achieve CDR of only $66 \%$.

33 out of 45 townships achieved targeted CDR $>70 \%$, but Cocogyun township was zero case report. Targeted TSR of $\geq 85 \%$ was achieved by 31 townships and TSR < 70\% was 3 townships (Dagon Seikkan, Kyimyindine and Dallah). Trend of new smear positive and new smear negative was decreasing and EP TB cases have been decreasing since 2007. Presumptive TB examination rate was 1295/100,000 population and sputum positivity rate was 33\% in 2013.

Defaulter rate for the whole region was 3\%. It was highest in Kyimyindine with 12\% and followed by Dagon (South), Thingangyun and Kamayut with 9\% and 8\% respectively. Although region wide failure rate was $4 \%$, Pabedan and Pazundaung was failure rate $14 \%$ and $11 \%$ and Kyauktada, Insein and Taikkyi was $10 \%$. Case fatality rate for the whole region was $4 \%$ and as high as $15 \%$ in Dallah, $9 \%$ in Seikkyikhanaungto, $8 \%$ and $7 \%$ respectively in Twantay and Kayan.

TB/HIV collaborative activities report was received from 44 townships. Total 1233 Rifampicin resistance cases were detected by GeneXpert. In 2013, total 440 MDR-TB cases were put on MDR-TB treatment.

Partners in Yangon Region are MWAF, MMCWA, MMA, MRCS, PSI, MSF H, MSF CH, MDM, World Vision Myanmar, FHI360 and JICA.

Human resource limitation was still challenge in Yangon region. Medical officers, nurses, Laboratory technicians and counselors are not sufficient and there was also frequent changing of Township TB coordinators. Medical Drug store room and laboratories space was narrow. Other challenges were local drug sellers were not active in some townships and frequent turnover in volunteer trained by partners, and capacity for research.

## Tuberculosis Diagnostic Centres (Yangon)

There are two diagnostic and referral centres (Latha and UTI Aungsan) in Yangon Region. The attendants to those centres were recorded and reported in following tables.

Table 45. Performance of TB Diagnostic Centres (Latha and Aungsan) in Yangon Region in 2013
Latha TB Diagnostic Centre

| Month | Category 1 |  |  | Category 2 |  |  |  | T/in |  | Category 3 |  | Follow up | Non TB | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pos | Neg | EP | R | D | F | O | P | EP | P | EP |  |  |  |
| January | 65 | 85 | 48 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 321 | 562 | 1131 |
| February | 67 | 97 | 46 | 27 | 2 | 7 | 29 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 219 | 580 | 1081 |
| March | 60 | 91 | 49 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 227 | 592 | 1069 |
| April | 66 | 78 | 32 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 197 | 641 | 1048 |
| May | 66 | 98 | 67 | 22 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 280 | 727 | 1297 |
| June | 43 | 107 | 61 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 200 | 595 | 1063 |
| July | 54 | 125 | 48 | 23 | 2 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 250 | 696 | 1227 |
| August | 46 | 142 | 61 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 249 | 780 | 1332 |
| September | 66 | 111 | 53 | 31 | 4 | 3 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 268 | 820 | 1387 |
| October | 65 | 151 | 47 | 34 | 6 | 6 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 268 | 821 | 1431 |
| November | 55 | 128 | 51 | 24 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 317 | 686 | 1302 |
| December | 66 | 114 | 56 | 25 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 808 | 303 | 1407 |
| Total | 719 | 1327 | 619 | 289 | 26 | 54 | 250 | 30 | 8 | 43 | 3 | 3604 | 7803 | 14775 |

## AungSan TB Diagnostic Centre

| Month | Category 1 |  |  | Category 2 |  |  |  | T/in |  | Category 3 |  | Follow up | Non TB | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pos | Neg | EP | R | D | F | 0 | P | EP | P | EP |  |  |  |
| January | 83 | 82 | 11 | 19 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 286 | 641 | 1160 |
| February | 90 | 119 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 229 | 532 | 1032 |
| March | 79 | 80 | 15 | 24 | 7 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 260 | 663 | 1160 |
| April | 85 | 91 | 11 | 20 | 3 | 3 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 226 | 545 | 1017 |
| May | 88 | 108 | 11 | 20 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 243 | 602 | 1107 |
| June | 89 | 98 | 11 | 19 | 4 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 239 | 476 | 970 |
| July | 98 | 106 | 13 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 287 | 758 | 1315 |
| August | 118 | 107 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 305 | 734 | 1338 |
| September | 99 | 116 | 13 | 27 | 6 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 340 | 763 | 1402 |
| October | 104 | 96 | 14 | 39 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 340 | 836 | 1458 |
| November | 95 | 10 | 16 | 37 | 4 | 13 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 337 | 812 | 1444 |
| December | 95 | 112 | 20 | 27 | 3 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 411 | 643 | 1347 |
| Total | 1123 | 1125 | 160 | 268 | 43 | 76 | 210 | 16 | 11 | 71 | 21 | 3503 | 8005 | 14750 |

### 11.16 Ayeyarwaddy Region


CDR
$\square \mathbf{7 0 \%}$ and More
$\square \mathbf{6 0 \% - 6 9 \%}$
$\square \mathbf{5 0 \% - 5 9 \%}$
$\square 40 \%-49 \%$
$\square<40 \%$


Ayeyarwaddy Regional TB centre located in Pathein covers 5 districts with 26 townships. Total population of the Region was approximately 6.3 million. NTP alone achieved CDR of $68 \%$ but with partners' contribution, CDR increases to $79 \%$. Region wide TSR was $87 \%$ with or without partners' data. Partners in Ayeyarwaddy region are MWAF, PSI and MMA. Reporting efficacy was $100 \%$. Presumptive TB examination rate was 515 per 100, 000 population and sputum positivity rate was $18 \%$.

Up to 12 townships (Danuphyu, Hinthada, Kyaunggon, Laymyetnar, Mawgyun, Myanaung, Nyaungdon, Pantanaw, Pathein, Thabaung, Wakema and Yekyi) could achieve both targeted CDR and TSR.

Defaulter rate for the whole region was $5 \%$, but it was as high as $21 \%$ in Zalun, $14 \%$ in Myaungmya and $11 \%$ in Pyapon. Region wide failure rate was $2 \%$ and the highest was Pyapon with 5\% and followed by Pantanaw, Wakema and Laymyetna with 4\%. Case fatality rate for this region was 5\%, and it was noted as 10\% in Mawgyun and 9\% in Laputta.

TB/HIV collaborative activities have been implemented in Ayeyarwaddy Region and $11.7 \%(1,555 / 13,271)$ registered TB patients tested for HIV. Among them, 20.5\% (318/1555) was recorded as documented HIV positive and $48.4 \%$ (154/318) of them received CPT and 8.5\% (27/318) received ART treatment. There were 45 confirmed MDR-TB cases in 2013.

Ayeyarwaddy Region faced with human resource limitation and needs to fill lab technician vacant post. Infection control measures were still not satisfactory. There was also needed to strengthen recording and reporting system.

### 11.17 Naypyitaw Council



Naypyitaw Council has 2 districts and 8 townships with approximately 1 million populations. Naypyitaw Council could achieve CDR of $83 \%$ and TSR of $85 \%$. Townships achieved CDR >70\% were Zayarthiri, Pyinmana and Lewe, and that of $<40 \%$ were Dekhinathiri and Zabuthiri. There were 5 townships with TSR $\geq 85 \%$ and no township was less than TSR 70\%.

Presumptive TB examination rate was increasing and it was 365/100,000 population in 2013 and sputum positivity rate was $20 \%$. Pyinmanar Township was high failure rate with $10 \%$ and for the whole Naypyitaw Council was $5 \%$.

TB/HIV collaborative activities were implementing in Pyinmana Township since 2009. In 2013, total 298 TB patients had recorded HIV status and $14.8 \%$ (44/298) was HIV positive. All of them received CPT, however only $11.4 \%$ ( $5 / 44$ ) received ART. There were 24 confirmed MDR-TB cases and of which 12 patients were put on treatment. At the end of 2013, there were 8 confirmed MDR-TB patients in waiting list.

There is no Regional TB Officer post in Naypyitaw Council and District TB team leader is covering the whole council. Refresher training for BHS also needed. It will also need to set up TB Laboratory at Pobbathiri, Dakhinathiri and Zabbuthiri Townships.

## 12. Possible actions to be taken for solving the problems

## A. Case detection rate less than $70 \%$

- To promote community awareness by widespread health education concerning TB with the support of IEC materials
- To identify TB suspected patients in community and refer for proper investigations
- To educate family members of TB patients and promote contact tracing
- To advocate general practitioners and local NGOs to involve in TB control
- To advocate community and registered TB patients to involve in TB control
- To promote early case referral for diagnosis and treatment from GPs
- To assess the laboratory performance, to ensure 3 sputum smear examinations are being done for all chest symptomatic
- To ensure that all smear positive TB patients in the laboratory register are registered and treated
- To ensure that sputum microscopy is done by trained laboratory technician is accessible to patients
- To improve laboratory quality assurance system by close supervision of TMO
- To establish sputum collection points in hard to reach areas
- To improve the skills of health staff who diagnose the TB patients
- To promote TB suspect identification and referral by BHS
- To identify TB suspected patients as early as possible
- To decentralize the sputum microscopy according to the geographical variation
- To initiate active case finding using mobile teams equipped with diagnostic facilities
- To add partners' contribution when case detection is evaluated
B. CDR more than $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ and Cure rate less than $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$
- To assess any migrant population in the area
- To assess laboratory quality assessment system which is implementing or not
- To ensure that TB patients reside in the respective township are being treated
- To treat TB patients till cured with DOT
- To do regular sputum follow-up examination during the treatment
- To check the township actual population
- To consider HIV co-infection
- To conduct epidemiological surveillance
- To strengthen health education session for TB patients at the time of registration for treatment and during follow-up visits
C. Cure rate of new smear posivite TB cases less than $85 \%$
- To ensure that every dose of medication is directly observed i.e. to assign DOT provider for every TB patient put on treatment
- To provide TB counseling to TB patients especially for treatment adherence
- To take accurate history taking for the most effective treatment
- To intensify the follow-up sputum examination during and at the end of treatment
- To give refresher training for BHS
- To consider HIV co- infection and strengthen TB/HIV collaboration
- To use quarterly cohort review meeting for early identification of missed dose patients
- To closely monitor the performance of partners at all level and take timely action especially for partners treating TB/HIV
D. Cure rate $>85 \%$ with Case detection rate less than $40 \%$
- To maintain CR and raise the CDR as suggestion A.
- To check data quality
- To check laboratory quality
- To identify more TB suspected cases
E. Sputum positivity rate less than $10 \%$
- To check quality of laboratory performance whether lab. technician strictly follows the SOP on sputum microscopy
- To ensure that 3 sputum specimens are examined for all TB suspects
- To check whether the TB suspect is correct or not
- To check quality of stains and microscopes using in that microscopy centre
- To improve the accessibility of TB suspects to sputum microscopy centres


## F. Sputum Positivity Rate more than $10 \%$

- To evaluate the prevalence of TB in that particular township
- To improve the accessibility of TB suspects to sputum microscopy centres
- To check whether PPs under PPM are using Chest $X$ Ray before sputum examination


## G. Sputum conversion rate less than $\mathbf{8 0 - 8 5 \%}$ in new smear positive TB cases

- To check whether categorization of TB patients based on proper history taking is correct or not
- To check whether that every dose of medication is directly observed
- To ensure sputum microscopy accuracy with quality assurance system
- To monitor the drug resistant TB situation
- To check correctness of TB-07, Block 5
- To explain all the staff involving in TB control about the importance of follow-up sputum examination in TB control
- To provide qualified DOT to every patient


## H. Case fatality rate more than 5\% in new smear positive TB cases

- To identify and refer TB suspect as early as possible
- To ensure that every dose of medication is directly observed
- To consider HIV prevalence among TB patients
- To advocate and encourage local PPs to refer promptly
- To find out other causes of death other than TB


## I. Treatment failure rate more than $5 \%$ in new smear positive TB cases

- To check whether categorization of TB patients based on proper history taking is correct or not
- To ensure the quality of anti-TB drugs, stored in appropriate condition and being used before their expiry date
- To ensure that every correct dose of medication is directly observed, especially in initial phase
- To consider the level of primary drug resistance in the community
- To check laboratory quality


## J. Defaulter rate more than 10\% in new smear positive TB cases

- To consider for migrant population
- To strengthen DOT by supervision and close monitoring
- To educate TB patients concerning TB disease, its treatment and follow-up
- To provide adherence counseling as necessary
- To instruct the DOT supervisors and providers how to take action for patient with missed dose
- To find the patients with missed dose within 1 week (not to miss more than 1-2 doses) and put under DOT again.


## K. Transferred out rate more than 5\% in new smear positive TB cases

- To ensure that defaulted TB patients are not counted as transferred out cases
- To strengthen the system of proper referral
- To ask for the treatment outcome of transferred out patients from the transferred townships
L. Cure rate less than $85 \%$ but Treatment Success Rate more than $85 \%$ in new smear positive cases
- To intensify follow-up sputum examination as 2nd, 5th and 6th month of treatment in new smear positive TB patients
- To explain all the staff involving in TB control the crucial importance of follow-up sputum examination in TB control
- To make sure defaulted TB patients are not counted as completed TB patients and misuse of anti-TB drugs


## 13. Recommendations

1. To strengthen township health system: e.g. To decentralize DOTS services to appropriate SHU/RHCs, capacity building of BHS
2. To establish standard organization set up at all levels
3. To fill up the important vacant posts
4. To ensure adequacy of resources for TB control
5. To evaluate and scale up the prevention and control activities for TB/HIV co-infection and MDR-TB
6. To enhance accelerated TB case finding especially in hard to reach area and plan for scale up
7. To scale up on Public-Private Mix and strengthen the public-public Mix
8. To cover all public and private laboratories including PPM hospitals and private hospitals under the external quality assurance system of NTP
9. To strengthen coordination mechanism related to TB control at all levels
10. To strengthen monitoring, supervision and evaluation on TB control activities
11. To promote Operational Research
12. To strengthen data quality and verification at all levels

## 14. Conclusion

NTP, Myanmar has covered all the townships since November, 2003. NTP achieved case detection rate $78.8 \%$ and treatment success rate $85 \%$ in 2013 and has reached the global TB control targets since 2006. The achievement should be sustained by implementing innovative approaches in line with Stop TB Strategies and Millennium Development Goals according to the accessibility status of different location in the country.

Case finding activities will also be improved by innovative approaches. NTP has planned to carry out accelerated case finding activities starting from 2014 onwards with the support of 3 MDG fund. These activities will include mobile team activities especially in hard to reach areas and mines; screening of TB among clinically high risk groups in PPM hospitals and screening of TB in Maternal, Newborn and Child Health (MNCH) services. In conclusion, strong political commitment, health system strengthening and partnership are important to maintain the achievement and reaching the MDGs.

Balance of Anti-TB Drugs at NTP Central Drug Store (2013)
Annex 1-a

| SN | Item Description | Basic Unit | Opening <br> Balance | Received | Issued | Closing balance | Expire Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anti TB 1st line | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Patient kit ( I \& III) | kit | 29879 | 84399 | 103922 | 10356 | Jun-15 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4FDC (HRZE) } \\ & (75 / 150 / 400 / 275) \mathrm{mg} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | tab | 0 | 4093920 | 3120192 | 973728 | Apr-16 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3FDC (HRE) } \\ & (75 / 150 / 275) \mathrm{mg} \end{aligned}$ | tab |  | 3476928 | 3476928 | 0 |  |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2FDC (HR) } \\ & (75 / 150) \mathrm{mg} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | tab | 568512 | 1738464 | 677376 | 1629600 | Feb-16 |
| 5 | ETB 100mg | tab | 7500 | 240000 | 128500 | 119000 | Jan-16 |
| 6 | ETB 400mg | tab | 0 | 324800 | 324800 | 0 |  |
| 7 | INH 100mg | tab | 1031500 | 0 | 103600 | 927900 | Nov-15 |
| 8 | INH 300mg | tab | 1041600 | 101472 | 1143072 | 0 |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paed: HRZ } \\ & (30 / 60 / 150) \mathrm{mg} \end{aligned}$ | tab |  | 7378560 | 4004196 | 3374364 | Feb-15 |
| 10 | Paed: HR (30/60)mg | tab |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 11 | Paediatric HR (60/60)mg | tab | 1045464 | 20714400 | 12946920 | 8812944 | Feb-15 |
| 12 | PZA 400mg | tab |  | 1344000 | 290304 | 1053696 | Apr-16 |
| 13 | Streptomycin 1G inj | vial | 98000 | 828300 | 781800 | 144500 | Jun-17 |
|  | Anti TB 2nd line (SLD) | X |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 14 | Amikacin $500 \mathrm{mg} / 2 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{inj}$ : | vial | 55770 | 115720 | 148890 | 22600 | Feb-16 |
| 15 | Capreomycin 1g, inj: | vial |  | 1670 | 770 | 900 | Mar-15 |
| 16 | Cycloserine250mg | tab | 479700 | 1437800 | 961600 | 955900 | Feb-15 |
| 17 | Ethionamide 250mg | tab | 477000 | 1350400 | 946300 | 881100 | Nov-16 |
| 18 | Kanamycin 1G injection | vial |  | 28908 | 28100 | 808 | Jan-16 |
| 19 | Levofloxacin 250mg | tab | 350600 | 1221900 | 1151100 | 421400 | Feb-15 |
| 20 | PAS sodium Granules $60 \% 100 \mathrm{~g}$ | jar | 5351 | 10863 | 11794 | 4420 | Jun-16 |
| 21 | PAS powder / sac | sach |  | 26312 | 1012 | 25300 | Jun-15 |
| 22 | PZA 500mg | tab | 186000 | 1768000 | 1188000 | 766000 | Dec-16 |
| 23 | Water for injection 5ml | vial | 37000 | 763600 | 747900 | 52700 | Mar-16 |
|  | Consumable items | x |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 24 | Syrine \& Needles | pcs | 59700 | 709000 | 768700 | 0 |  |

## Balance of Anti-TB Drugs at NTP Upper Myanmar Drug Store (2013)

Annex 1-b

| SN | Item Description | Basic Unit | Opening Balance | Received | Issued | Closing balance | Expire Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anti TB 1st line | x |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Patient kit ( I \& III) | kit | 10389 | 39310 | 41335 | 8364 | Dec-14 |
| 2 | 4FDC (HRZE) <br> (75/150/400/275)mg | tab | 216720 | 1337040 | 1273584 | 280176 | Feb-16 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3FDC (HRE) } \\ & (75 / 150 / 275) \mathrm{mg} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | tab | 74592 | 1174656 | 751968 | 497280 | May-16 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2FDC (HR) } \\ & (75 / 150) \mathrm{mg} \end{aligned}$ | tab | 368928 | 1134672 | 1433040 | 70560 | Jan-15 |
| 5 | ETB 100mg | tab | 62500 | 28000 | 86500 | 4000 | Jan-16 |
| 6 | ETB 400 mg | tab | 311808 | 70558 | 371366 | 11000 | Feb-16 |
| 7 | INH 100mg | tab | 266500 | 0 | 201500 | 65000 | Nov-15 |
| 8 | INH 300mg | tab |  | 611520 | 152544 | 458976 | Jul-14 |
| 9 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Paed: HRZ } \\ (30 / 60 / 150) \mathrm{mg} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | tab | 0 | 1294776 | 839916 | 454860 | Feb-15 |
| 10 | Paed: HR (30/60)mg | tab |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 11 | Paediatric HR (60/60)mg | tab | 1375920 | 3765552 | 3550176 | 1591296 | Feb-15 |
| 12 | PZA 400mg | tab |  | 221088 | 15456 | 205632 | Apr-16 |
| 13 | Streptomycin 1G inj | vial | 63900 | 230500 | 228200 | 66200 | Jun-17 |
|  | Anti TB 2nd line (SLD) | X |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 14 | Amikacin $500 \mathrm{mg} / 2 \mathrm{ml}$ inj: | vial | 24200 | 37400 | 38500 | 23100 | Feb-16 |
| 15 | Capreomycin 1g, inj: | vial | 100 | 770 | 870 | 0 |  |
| 16 | Cycloserine250mg | tab | 85100 | 220100 | 143000 | 162200 | Jul-14 |
| 17 | Ethionamide 250mg | tab | 91400 | 217300 | 144300 | 164400 | Feb-15 |
| 18 | Kanamycin 1G injection | vial |  | 10000 | 3940 | 6060 | Jan-16 |
| 19 | Levofloxacin 250mg | tab | 82900 | 332200 | 236400 | 178700 | Nov-14 |
| 20 | PAS sodium Granules $60 \% 100 \mathrm{~g}$ | jar | 2000 | 840 | 2166 | 674 | Apr-16 |
| 21 | PAS powder / sac | sach |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 22 | PZA 500mg | tab | 134000 | 127100 | 226100 | 35000 | Jun-16 |
| 23 | Water for injection 5ml | vial | 63500 | 235600 | 226950 | 72150 | Mar-16 |
|  | Consumable items | x |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 24 | Syrine \& Needles | pcs | 61900 | 245700 | 274500 | 33100 | May-18 |

Balance of Anti-TB Drugs at NTP Lower Myanmar Drug Store (2013) Annex 1-c

| SN | Item Description | Basic Unit | Opening Balance | Received | Issued | Closing balance | Expire Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anti TB 1st line | x |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Patient kit ( I \& III) | kit | 10864 | 79704 | 77011 | 13557 | Mar-15 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 4FDC (HRZE) } \\ & \text { (75/150/400/275)mg } \end{aligned}$ | tab | 234528 | 2532096 | 2099328 | 667296 | Feb-15 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3FDC (HRE) } \\ & (75 / 150 / 275) \mathrm{mg} \end{aligned}$ | tab | 32928 | 2638272 | 2131584 | 539616 | Dec-15 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2FDC (HR) } \\ & \text { (75/150) } \mathrm{mg} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | tab | 465696 | 205632 | 393120 | 278208 | Jan-15 |
| 5 | ETB 100mg | tab | 66000 | 100500 | 121500 | 45000 | Jan-16 |
| 6 | ETB 400mg | tab | 161280 | 330860 | 492140 | 0 |  |
| 7 | INH 100mg | tab | 97900 | 103600 | 167600 | 33900 | Nov-15 |
| 8 | INH 300mg | tab | 360869 | 565152 | 782885 | 143136 | Oct-14 |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paed: HRZ } \\ & (30 / 60 / 150) \mathrm{mg} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | tab | 51156 | 2788716 | 2442216 | 397656 | Jan-15 |
| 10 | Paed: HR (30/60)mg | tab |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 11 | Paediatric HR (60/60)mg | tab |  | 7953708 | 6114024 | 1839684 | Feb-15 |
| 12 | PZA 400mg | tab | 31800 | 81312 | 78840 | 34272 | Apr-16 |
| 13 | Streptomycin 1G inj | vial | 77590 | 570000 | 498490 | 149100 | Jun-17 |
|  | Anti TB 2nd line (SLD) | x |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 14 | Amikacin 500mg/2ml inj: | vial | 17280 | 204170 | 168640 | 52810 | Feb-16 |
| 15 | Capreomycin 1g, inj: | vial |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 16 | Cycloserine250mg | tab | 54300 | 642500 | 521800 | 175000 | Jun-14 |
| 17 | Ethionamide 250mg | tab | 76300 | 625500 | 525400 | 176400 | Feb-15 |
| 18 | Kanamycin 1G injection | vial | 320 | 8200 | 5080 | 3440 | Jan-16 |
| 19 | Levofloxacin 250mg | tab | 54400 | 797000 | 704700 | 146700 | Nov-14 |
| 20 | PAS sodium Granules 60\% 100g | jar | 2145 | 14420 | 14532 | 2033 | Apr-16 |
| 21 | PAS powder / sac | sach |  | 25300 | 5200 | 20100 | Jun-15 |
| 22 | PZA 500mg | tab | 72000 | 1000900 | 864900 | 208000 | Feb-16 |
| 23 | Water for injection 5 ml | vial | 64800 | 522271 | 422471 | 164600 | Sep-15 |
|  | Consumable items | X |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 24 | Syrine \& Needles | pcs | 61800 | 440200 | 451100 | 50900 | May-18 |


| No. | Items | Opening balance (1-1-2013) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Received } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | Issued <br> 2013 | Closing balance (31-12-2013) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Fuchsin Basic (25 gm) | 725 | 0 | 638 | 87 |
| 2. | Phenol Crystals (500 gm) | 133 | 96 | 229 | 0 |
| 3. | Methylated Spirit (Cans) | 1 | 100 | 78 | 23 |
| 4. | Microscopes (C×21 Olympus) | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | Binocular Microscope Nikkon E100 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 6. | Microscope Glass Slides 3600/unit | 238 | 0 | 222 | 16 |
| 7. | Dry Cell | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 8. | Inverter with dry cell battery | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 9. | Xylene (1 Litre) | 32 | 0 | 29 | 3 |
| 10. | Objective lens (100 ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | 82 | 0 | 6 | 76 |
| 11. | Methylene Blue ( 25 gm ) | 670 | 1200 | 163 | 1707 |
| 12. | Sulphuric Acid (2.5 Litre) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13. | Sulphuric Acid (1 Litre) | 1245 | 1185 | 925 | 1505 |
| 14. | Sulphuric Acid (500 ml) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15. | Sputum Containers (bags of 1000) | 120 | 655 | 675 | 100 |
| 16. | Immersion Oil (1 Litre) | 8 | 73 | 12 | 69 |
| 17. | Methanol (1 Litre) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18. | Methanol (2.5 Litre) | 230 | 0 | 208 | 22 |
| 19. | Glycerol (1 Litre) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20. | Glycerol ( 500 ml ) | 14 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| 21. | Sodium hydroxide ( 500 gm ) | 23 | 0 | 19 | 4 |
| 22. | Auromine O | 317 | 0 | 85 | 232 |
| 23. | B.P Phenyl | 4443 | 0 | 936 | 3507 |

Annex-3

| No. | Designation | Pay | Sanctio <br> n | Posted | Vacant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Deputy Director (TB) | 160000-2000-170000 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2. | Medical Superintendent | 160000-2000-170000 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 3. | Lecturer/TB specialist | 160000-2000-170000 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4. | Assistant Director (TB) | 140000-2000-150000 | 1 | 1+3* |  |
| 5. | Microbiologist | 140000-2000-150000 | 2 | 2* | 2 |
| 6. | Regional/State TB Officer | 140000-2000-150000 | 6 | $6+7^{*}$ |  |
| 7. | Medical Officer | 120000-2000-130000 | 56 | 46+1* | 10 |
| 8. | Administrative Officer | 120000-2000-130000 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 9. | Superintendent | 85000-1000-90000 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 10. | District Community Health Nurse | 85000-1000-90000 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 11. | Assistant Statistical Officer | 79000-1000-84000 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 12. | Health Assistant | 79000-1000-84000 | 80 | 70 | 10 |
| 13. | Sister | 79000-1000-84000 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 14. | Public Health Sister | 79000-1000-84000 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 15. | Medical technician | 79000-1000-84000 | 1 | 1+2* | 0 |
| 16. | Radiology technician | 79000-1000-84000 | 9 | 8 | 1 |
| 17. | Radiographer | 79000-1000-84000 | 2 | $1+1^{*}$ | 1 |
| 18. | BC (Budget/Admin) | 79000-1000-84000 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 19. | BCG supervisor | 79000-1000-84000 | 14 | 11 | 3 |
| 20. | Blue staff | 73000-1000-78000 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 21. | LHV | 73000-1000-78000 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| 22. | Trained nurse | 73000-1000-78000 | 122 | 100 | 22 |
| 23. | Grade 1 lab: technician | 73000-1000-78000 | 11 | 11+6* |  |
| 24. | Grade 1 X-ray technician | 73000-1000-78000 | 8 | $7+{ }^{*}$ | 1 |
| 25. | Assistant statistician | 73000-1000-78000 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| 26. | BCG technician | 73000-1000-78000 | 60 | 26 | 34 |
| 27. | UD (Budget/Admin) | 73000-1000-78000 | 11 | 9+2* | 2 |
| 28. | Grade 2 lab technician | 67000-1000-72000 | 200 | 144 | 56 |
| 29. | LD (Budget/Admin) | 67000-1000-72000 | 35 | 27 | 8 |
| 30. | Compounder | 67000-1000-72000 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| 31. | Grade 2 X-ray technician | 67000-1000-72000 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 32. | Steward | 67000-1000-72000 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 33. | Typist | 67000-1000-72000 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| 34. | Jr. TB worker | 67000-1000-72000 | 123 | 60 | 63 |
| 35. | Statistical clerk | 67000-1000-72000 | 100 | 74 | 26 |
| 36. | Driver | 61000-1000-66000 | 48 | 7 | 41 |
| 37. | Clinic assistant | 61000-1000-66000 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 38. | Lab. boy and Lab: assistant | 55000-1000-60000 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| 39. | Peon | 55000-1000-60000 | 15 | 6 | 9 |
| 40 | X-ray van assistant | 55000-1000-60000 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 41. | X-ray department assistant | 55000-1000-60000 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 42. | Gardener and Plumber | 55000-1000-60000 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 43. | Night Watch | 55000-1000-60000 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| 44. | Sweeper and Manual worker | 55000-1000-60000 | 43 | 25 | 18 |
| Total |  |  | 1028 | 697+25* | 331 |

CASE FINDING \& ACTIVITIE(D.O.T.S - Townships)


[^0] Shan (Lashio) State (6)Tsps

| NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME CASE FINDING ACTIVITIES (2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nex |  |
| Sr. | TOWNSHIP | Population | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Estimated } \\ \text { New S(+) } \\ \text { cases } \end{array}$ | PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ExtraPulmonaryTuberculosis |  | Total | other |  | TOTAL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SMEAR POSTTVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SmearNegative |  | Total | Pimary complex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prev | vouslyt | ated ca | ases |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | New Cases |  |  | CDR | Relapses |  | T'after Default ${ }^{\text {T }}$ T'after failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | M | F | T |  | M | F | M | F | M | F |  | M | F |  | M | F |  |  | M | F |  | M | F | M | F | TOTAL |
| Kachin State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Bahmo | 114270 | 120 | 63 | 23 | 86 | 72\% | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 96 | 112 | 47 |  | 159 | 119 | 86 | 163 | 112 | 275 | 13 | 6 | 477 | 277 | 754 |
| 2 | Mansi | 74308 | 78 | 28 | 11 | 39 | 50\% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 21 | 15 | 36 | 40 | 37 | 9 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 99 | 71 | 170 |
| 3 | Momauk | 94098 | 99 | 22 | 13 | 35 | 35\% | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 43 | 27 | 9 | 36 | 37 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 34 | 1 | 1 | 110 | 62 | 172 |
| 4 | Shwegu | 83235 | 87 | 41 | 20 | 61 | 70\% | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 65 | 11 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 18 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 90 | 43 | 133 |
| 5 | Mohynin | 208386 | 219 | 72 | 49 | 121 | 55\% | 13 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 146 | 46 | 21 | 67 | 15 | 13 | 42 | 24 | 66 | 7 | 0 | 204 | 110 | 314 |
| 6 | Phakant | 163173 | 171 | 83 | 34 | 117 | 68\% | 15 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 148 | 46 | 22 | 68 | 70 | 67 | 26 | 19 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 253 | 150 | 403 |
| 7 | Mogaung | 148674 | 156 | 71 | 40 | 111 | 71\% | 15 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 146 | 23 | 13 | 36 | 28 | 25 | 12 | 20 | 32 | 11 | 7 | 171 | 114 | 285 |
| 8 | Tanai | 37977 | 40 | 49 | 19 | 68 | 171\% | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 78 | 43 | 25 | 68 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 20 | 8 | 1 | 115 | 68 | 183 |
| 9 | Myitkyina | 237178 | 249 | 230 | 105 | 335 | 135\% | 34 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 25 | 17 | 429 | 371 | 176 | 547 | 302 | 198 | 122 | 76 | 198 | 121 | 46 | 1213 | 628 | 1841 |
| 10 | Chipway | 19494 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 17 |
| 11 | Hsawlaw | 7183 | 8 | Nr . | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | N Jan Yan | 9500 | 10 | Nr. | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Waingmaw | 123276 | 129 | 24 | 20 | 44 | 34\% | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 53 | 122 | 53 | 175 | 149 | 95 | 47 | 53 | 100 | 27 | 17 | 376 | 240 | 616 |
| 14 | PutaO | 93483 | 98 | 23 | 12 | 35 | 36\% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 41 | 16 | 7 | 23 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 66 | 38 | 104 |
| 15 | Khaunglanbu | 15532 | 16 | Nr . | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Machanbaw | 8245 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 58\% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| 17 | Nogmun | 12544 | 13 | Nr . | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Sumprabum | 14808 | 16 | Nr . | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 1465364 | 1539 | 710 | 349 | 1059 | 69\% | 100 | 32 | 14 | 2 | 60 | 26 | 1293 | 842 | 395 | 1237 | 782 | 561 | 481 | 364 | 845 | 199 | 83 | 3188 | 1812 | 5000 |

* Note* (Nr.) Report had not been received from (5) tow nships
Nr. 5 Tsp; 1.N'ganyan. 2. Hsaw law, 3 Khaunglanbu 4.Nogmun, 5. Sumprabum






| Sr. | TOWNSHIP | Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \hline \text { New S(+) } \\ \hline \text { cases } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Extra <br> Pulmonary <br> Tuberculosis |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | SMEAR POSTIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Smear Negative |  | Total | Primary complex |  |  |  | other |  | TOTAL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Previously treated cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | New Cases |  |  | CDR | Relapses |  | T'after Default |  | T'after failure |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | M | F | T |  | M | F | M | F | M | F |  | M | F |  | M | F | M | F |  | M | F | M | F | TOTAL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Kunlon | 61814 | 65 | 27 | 5 | 32 | 49\% | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 43 | 31 | 14 | 45 | 23 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 97 | 29 | 126 |
| 2 | Hopan | 25374 | 27 | 33 | 27 | 60 | 225\% | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 11 | 8 | 19 | 60 | 31 | 15 | 11 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 128 | 82 | 210 |
| 3 | Kyaukme | 171355 | 180 | 123 | 73 | 196 | 109\% | 14 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 51 | 37 | 88 | 3 | 4 | 57 | 51 | 108 | 5 | 4 | 254 | 176 | 430 |
| 4 | Hsipaw | 165143 | 173 | 71 | 49 | 120 | 69\% | 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 33 | 21 | 54 | 16 | 16 | 65 | 86 | 151 | 0 | 1 | 203 | 178 | 381 |
| 5 | Mabein | 36058 | 38 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 29\% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 7 | 25 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 38 | 19 | 57 |
| 6 | Manton | 43438 | 46 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 15\% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 14 |
| 7 | Mongmeik | 59384 | 62 | 43 | 28 | 71 | 114\% | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 84 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 42 | 105 |
| 8 | Namtu | 49147 | 52 | 25 | 12 | 37 | 72\% | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 56 | 17 | 73 | 23 | 19 | 12 | 10 | 22 | 4 | 3 | 122 | 65 | 187 |
| 9 | Nyaungcho | 129853 | 136 | 31 | 7 | 38 | 28\% | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 44 | 19 | 6 | 25 | 18 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 86 | 28 | 114 |
| 10 | Lashio | 285706 | 300 | 139 | 64 | 203 | 68\% | 26 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 265 | 253 | 126 | 379 | 154 | 121 | 53 | 55 | 108 | 53 | 28 | 702 | 406 | 1108 |
| 11 | Namsam | 75830 | 80 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 13\% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 14 | 32 |
| 12 | Mongmaw | Nr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Theinni | 52647 | 55 | 36 | 18 | 54 | 98\% | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 50 | 32 | 82 | 27 | 21 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 125 | 78 | 203 |
| 14 | Mongreh | 49084 | 52 | 18 | 4 | 22 | 43\% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 25 | 10 | 35 | 16 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 34 | 96 |
| 15 | Manphant | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Pangyan | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Narphant | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Panwaing | Nr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Tanyan | 127576 | 134 | 36 | 25 | 61 | 46\% | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 79 | 31 | 24 | 55 | 11 | 6 | 38 | 23 | 61 | 2 | 1 | 132 | 83 | 215 |
| 20 | Laukkai | 79084 | 83 | 27 | 14 | 41 | 49\% | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 48 | 105 | 84 | 189 | 12 | 4 | 34 | 19 | 53 | 17 | 5 | 199 | 129 | 328 |
| 21 | Kongyan | Nr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Muse | 148388 | 156 | 72 | 21 | 93 | 60\% | 11 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 118 | 39 | 13 | 52 | 6 | 14 | 40 | 32 | 72 | 2 | 0 | 175 | 89 | 264 |
| 23 | Kuitai | 182021 | 191 | 36 | 20 | 56 | 29\% | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 80 | 63 | 51 | 114 | 5 | 1 | 116 | 75 | 191 | 10 | 2 | 244 | 159 | 403 |
| 24 | Namkham | 107806 | 113 | 25 | 15 | 40 | 35\% | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 48 | 32 | 80 | 35 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 122 | 74 | 196 |
|  | Total | 1849708 | 1942 | 759 | 393 | 1152 | 59\% | 116 | 44 | 25 | 7 | 38 | 17 | 1399 | 846 | 494 | 1340 | 418 | 302 | 459 | 387 | 846 | 115 | 49 | 2776 | 1693 | 4469 |

* Note* (Nr.) Report had not been received from (6) tow nships
Nr. (6) tsp: 1.Manphant, 2.Panw aing, 3.Mongmaw , 4.Kongyan, 5.Narphant, 6.Pangyan



| 医 | ल्ल̆ | ํํ | N | 잉 | ⿹ㅣㅇ | र्⿳亠丷厂犬 |  |  |  |  | 伿 | 矣 |  | O | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 侖 | $\stackrel{8}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | 운 | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | $\bar{\square}$ | N | － | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 은 | ＋ | N | N |  | 츤 | － |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\sim$ | － | ®ั | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | O | －0\％ | $\stackrel{\text { en }}{ }$ | \％ | ® |  |  | ल్ల్ల | \％ |
| ल | － | － | $\sim$ | － | c | の | ¢ | $\sim$ | m | क 6 | － |  |  |  | $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ | － | $\infty$ |  |  |  | 앙 | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| ¢ | $\bigcirc$ | － | ㅇ | 8 | $\sim$ | へ | $\infty$ | － |  | $\infty$ | － |  | － | $\stackrel{3}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ |
| $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ | － | $\wedge$ | － | ＋ | 15 | 앙 | ® |  |  | $\infty$ | j |
| － | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | c | $\wedge$ | $\bigcirc$ | 앙 |  | $\bigcirc$ | m | ¢ 6 | O |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | \％ |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\sim}$ | ¢ | ㅇ | \％ | \％ | 2 | ＇ | ¢ ¢ | is | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | \％ |  |  |  | ¢ | \％ |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\sim}$ | $\because$ | ¢ | 8 | ल | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | 앙 | \％${ }^{\circ}$ | ¢ | \％ | \％ | O |  |  |  | ® |
| ¢ | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{ }{-}$ | ® | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{ }$ | － | 9 | N | ＋ |  |  | Э | 8 | \％ |
| 안 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | \％ | ก | ल | \％ | $\stackrel{8}{9}$ | ／ | ¢ | － | ～ | \％ | ¢ |  |  | \％ |
| － | \％ | O | $\check{6}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ® | － | ¢ | ¢ | \％ | ¢ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 。 | \％ | ＋ | \％ | ＂ |
| + + | O | $\stackrel{\circ}{7}$ | ® | $\sim$ | $\infty$ | $\pm$ |  | ¢ | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ | $\bigcirc$ | d |  |  | ＋ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\sim}$ |
| － | － | $\sim$ |  | － | － | $\sim$ | No | － | － | － |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| $\infty$ | － | － | N | － | $\sim$ | － | \％ 0 | － | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | ¢ |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | － |
| － | － | － | － | 0 | 0 | － | － 0 | － | 0 | 0 | － |  |  | － | $\cdots$ |
| － |  |  | － | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |
| F | 앙 | F | － | ， | － | $\stackrel{m}{\square}$ | ¢ | f |  | ¢ |  |  |  | － | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | \％io | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ |  | ஃ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | \％ |
| ¢ |  | 응 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | \％ | 융 |
| \％ | F | 夺 | 아 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ | ＇ | \％ |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | \％ | N |  | \％ | O－ | \％ |  | ल |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | \％ | 응 |
| \％ | $\stackrel{8}{\square}$ | $\bar{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 츤 }}{ }$ | ल | $\stackrel{\sim}{c}$ | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\sim}$ | 요 | N ${ }_{\sim}^{\circ}$ | ＊ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ¢ | － |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 冒 } \\ & \stackrel{i}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { an }} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} \hline 0.5 \\ 0 \\ 0 & \stackrel{5}{0} \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | － | $\stackrel{\substack{\underset{\sim}{c} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { Nem } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { a } \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { o } \\ \stackrel{5}{7} \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\pi}{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ |  |


| Sr. | TOWNSHIP | Population | Estimated New S(+) cases | PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Extra Pulmonary Tuberculosis |  | Total |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | SMEAR POSITIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Smear } \\ \text { Negative } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total | Primary complex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | New Cases |  |  | CDR | Previously treated cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Relapses | T'after Default T'after failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | M | F | T |  | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |  | M |  | F | M | F | M |  | F |  | M | F | M | F | TOTAL |
|  | Mon State |  |  |  | $93$ |  |  | $116 \%$ | $55$ | $17$ | 5 |  |  |  | $414$ | $243$ | $137 \mid$ | $380$ | 124 | 103 | 32 | 28 | 60 | 18 | Annex 4(township list) |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mawlamyaing | 273784 | 287 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 719 | 385 | 1104 |
| 2 | Chanungzon | 157753 | 166 | 62 | 29 | 91 | 55\% | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 95 | 43 | 27 | 70 | 88 | 49 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 200 | 114 | 314 |
| 3 | Kyaikmaraw | 216101 | 227 | 81 | 44 | 125 | 55\% | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 137 | 79 | 62 | 141 | 291 | 227 | 12 | 14 | 26 | 3 | 1 | 474 | 352 | 826 |
| 4 | Mudon | 215484 | 226 | 74 | 29 | 103 | 46\% | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 115 | 72 | 43 | 115 | 114 | 75 | 74 | 58 | 132 | 3 | 2 | 345 | 211 | 556 |
| 5 | Thanbyuzayat | 176653 | 185 | 85 | 35 | 120 | 65\% | 8 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 140 | 67 | 59 | 126 | 267 | 228 | 4 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 437 | 343 | 780 |
| 6 | Ye | 254253 | 267 | 118 | 65 | 183 | 69\% | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 11 | 222 | 126 | 121 | 247 | 244 | 158 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 524 | 368 | 892 |
| 7 | Thaton | 253013 | 266 | 149 | 87 | 236 | 89\% | 27 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 287 | 144 | 88 | 232 | 75 | 71 | 19 | 11 | 30 | 3 | 1 | 427 | 272 | 699 |
| 8 | Belin | 180960 | 190 | 96 | 53 | 149 | 78\% | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 167 | 147 | 148 | 295 | 129 | 120 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 393 | 331 | 724 |
| 9 | Kyaikto | 168131 | 177 | 83 | 31 | 114 | 65\% | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 128 | 39 | 26 | 65 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 167 | 78 | 245 |
| 10 | Paung | 245796 | 258 | 108 | 63 | 171 | 66\% | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 | 228 | 151 | 379 | 163 | 117 | 17 | 10 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 523 | 347 | 870 |
|  | Total | 2141928 | 2249 | 1097 | 529 | 1626 | 72\% | 136 | 48 | 12 | 4 | 40 | 22 | 1888 | 1188 | 862 | 2050 | 1511 | 1159 | 183 | 163 | 346 | 42 | 14 | 4209 | 2801 | 7010 |
|  | Rakhine Stat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Sittwe | 259437 | 272 | 153 | 84 | 237 | 87\% | 21 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 206 | 67 | 59 | 126 | 65 | 54 | 32 | 36 | 68 | 16 | 4 | 369 | 248 | 617 |
| 2 | Ponngyun | 147448 | 155 | 47 | 29 | 76 | 49\% | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 91 | 87 | 92 | 179 | 33 | 33 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 58 | 52 | 241 | 218 | 459 |
| 3 | Kyauktaw | 217512 | 228 | 81 | 42 | 123 | 54\% | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 70 | 46 | 116 | 40 | 44 | 13 | 10 | 23 | 11 | 6 | 219 | 153 | 372 |
| 4 | MraukOo | 220414 | 231 | 104 | 87 | 191 | 83\% | 12 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 210 | 79 | 69 | 148 | 18 | 23 | 14 | 25 | 39 | 7 | 1 | 237 | 209 | 446 |
| 5 | Minbya | 201781 | 212 | 115 | 81 | 196 | 93\% | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 210 | 32 | 28 | 60 | 9 | 18 | 18 | 13 | 31 | 7 | 2 | 189 | 148 | 337 |
| 6 | Myaepon | 144362 | 152 | 45 | 37 | 82 | 54\% | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 88 | 28 | 18 | 46 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 8 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 99 | 78 | 177 |
| 7 | Pauktaw | 183993 | 193 | 21 | 20 | 41 | 21\% | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 53 | 26 | 18 | 44 | 15 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 77 | 63 | 140 |
| 8 | Yatheedaung | 169352 | 178 | 60 | 45 | 105 | 59\% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 112 | 36 | 32 | 68 | 21 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 125 | 93 | 218 |
| 9 | Maungdaw | 552993 | 581 | 117 | 49 | 166 | 29\% | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 191 | 38 | 31 | 69 | 49 | 36 | 11 | 8 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 237 | 130 | 367 |
| 10 | Buthidaung | 316750 | 333 | 108 | 66 | 174 | 52\% | 13 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 88 | 77 | 165 | 40 | 34 | 6 | 13 | 19 | 26 | 22 | 281 | 219 | 500 |
| 11 | Kyaukphyu | 171724 | 180 | 83 | 39 | 122 | 68\% | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 139 | 50 | 53 | 103 | 45 | 36 | 27 | 30 | 57 | 4 | 1 | 222 | 163 | 385 |
| 12 | Yanbye | 114708 | 120 | 33 | 22 | 55 | 46\% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 59 | 24 | 13 | 37 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 77 | 56 | 133 |
| 13 | Manaung | 64296 | 68 | 41 | 17 | 58 | 86\% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 22 | 10 | 32 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 75 | 41 | 116 |
| 14 | Ann | 114485 | 120 | 53 | 14 | 67 | 56\% | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 71 | 51 | 33 | 84 | 20 | 16 | 26 | 21 | 47 | 6 | 1 | 159 | 86 | 245 |
| 15 | Thandwe | 124844 | 131 | 65 | 43 | 108 | 82\% | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 32 | 23 | 55 | 5 | 6 | 55 | 45 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 165 | 123 | 288 |
| 16 | Taunggoke | 146505 | 154 | 80 | 54 | 134 | 87\% | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 158 | 43 | 31 | 74 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 17 | 39 | 1 | 3 | 183 | 131 | 314 |
| 17 | Gwa | 63064 | 66 | 39 | 16 | 55 | 83\% | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 23 | 19 | 42 | 11 | 5 | 23 | 28 | 51 | 2 | 1 | 99 | 71 | 170 |
|  | Total | 3213668 | 3374 | 1245 | 745 | 1990 | 59\% | 93 | 42 | 10 | 12 | 60 | 25 | 2154 | 796 | 652 | 1448 | 413 | 379 | 281 | 273 | 554 | 156 | 102 | 3054 | 2230 | 5284 |


| Sr. | TOWNSHIP | Population | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Estimated } \\ \text { New S(+) } \\ \text { cases } \end{array}$ | PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Extra <br> Pulmonary <br> Tuberculosis |  | Total | other |  | TOTAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | SMEAR POSTIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Smear } \\ \text { Negative } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total | Primary complex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CDR |  | Previously treated cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | F Case | T |  | $\frac{\text { Relaa }}{\mathrm{M}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pses } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\|T\| ' a f t e r \mid}{\|c\|}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{erDefault}}{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \|t\| \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | M | F |  | M | F |  |  | M | F |  | M | F | M | F | TOTAL |
| Yangon Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | East District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Botataung | 40279 | 68 | 27 | 19 | 46 | 67\% | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 57 | 36 | 20 | 56 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 87 | 56 | 143 |
| 2 | Dawbon | 77985 | 133 | 70 | 40 | 110 | 83\% | 25 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 53 | 31 | 84 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 12 | 21 | 6 | 5 | 167 | 104 | 271 |
| 3 | Dagon(N) | 180599 | 307 | 115 | 62 | 177 | 58\% | 24 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 05 | 55 | 219 | 117 | 53 | 170 | 26 | 15 | 17 | 21 | 38 | 28 | 10 | 334 | 172 | 506 |
| 4 | Dagon(S) | 281081 | 478 | 280 | 136 | 416 | 87\% | 54 | 26 | 5 | 2 | 226 | $26 \quad 11$ | 540 | 216 | 135 | 351 | 80 | 60 | 45 | 41 | 86 | 48 | 18 | 754 | 429 | 1183 |
| 5 | MingalarTN | 128626 | 219 | 64 | 37 | 101 | 46\% | 29 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 05 | $5 \quad 2$ | 149 | 163 | 104 | 267 | 36 | 24 | 18 | 21 | 39 | 36 | 9 | 352 | 208 | 560 |
| 6 | Okkala(N) | 273506 | 465 | 181 | 67 | 248 | 53\% | 34 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 320 | 190 | 99 | 289 | 23 | 23 | 34 | 36 | 70 | 53 | 32 | 535 | 275 | 810 |
| 7 | Okkala(S) | 156157 | 265 | 135 | 42 | 177 | 67\% | 26 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 03 | $3 \quad 3$ | 221 | 86 | 59 | 145 | 19 | 12 | 18 | 17 | 35 | 10 | 9 | 297 | 154 | 451 |
| 8 | Thaketa | 205225 | 349 | 168 | 69 | 237 | 68\% | 34 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 28 | 85 | 307 | 94 | 50 | 144 | 35 | 26 | 25 | 29 | 54 | 19 | 6 | 388 | 203 | 591 |
| 9 | Thingangyun | 192422 | 327 | 126 | 52 | 178 | 54\% | 29 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 04 | 46 | 237 | 145 | 109 | 254 | 39 | 25 | 27 | 34 | 61 | 41 | 25 | 416 | 266 | 682 |
| 10 | Yankin | 98114 | 167 | 85 | 41 | 126 | 76\% | 19 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 19 | 19 | 38 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 22 | 6 | 3 | 157 | 99 | 256 |
| 11 | Tarmwe | 157797 | 268 | 80 | 45 | 125 | 47\% | 29 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 171 | 88 | 61 | 149 | 21 | 18 | 27 | 29 | 56 | 13 | 9 | 263 | 174 | 437 |
| 12 | Pazundaung | 47661 | 81 | 40 | 21 | 61 | 75\% | 9 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 05 | 51 | 83 | 30 | 32 | 62 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 17 | 6 | 3 | 107 | 80 | 187 |
| 13 | Dagon(E) | 116784 | 199 | 137 | 63 | 200 | 101\% | 27 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 2 | $2 \quad 2$ | 241 | 118 | 61 | 179 | 28 | 29 | 17 | 12 | 29 | 33 | 18 | 366 | 191 | 557 |
| 14 | Dagon Seikkan | 112091 | 191 | 62 | 42 | 104 | 55\% | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 58 | 34 | 92 | 18 | 13 | 16 | 9 | 25 | 26 | 11 | 192 | 112 | 304 |
|  | Total | 2068327 | 3516 | 1570 | 736 | 2306 | 66\% | 360 | 137 | 34 | 4 | 4.73 | 73 45 | 2959 | 1413 | 867 | 2280 | 355 | 283 | 278 | 287 | 565 | 332 | 164 | 4415 | 2523 | 6938 |
| West District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | KAMAYUT | 63654 | 108 | 42 | 40 | 82 | 76\% | 10 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 104 | 55 | 28 | 83 | 7 | 4 | 19 | 19 | 38 | 7 | 3 | 145 | 101 | 246 |
| 2 | KYAUKTADA | 28227 | 48 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 63\% | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 10 | 39 | 25 | 13 | 38 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 62 | 31 | 93 |
| 3 | KY INMY INDINE | 103586 | 176 | 101 | 53 | 154 | 87\% | 19 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 22 | 191 | 68 | 38 | 106 | 16 | 10 | 22 | 21 | 43 | 7 | 6 | 240 | 139 | 379 |
| 4 | SANCHUNG | 79404 | 135 | 62 | 42 | 104 | 77\% | 15 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 23 | 133 | 58 | 32 | 90 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 12 | 23 | 12 | 3 | 166 | 107 | 273 |
| 5 | SEIKKAN | 1523 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 232\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| 6 | DAGON | 22516 | 38 | 22 | 5 | 27 | 71\% | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 30 | 15 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 51 | 16 | 67 |
| 7 | PABADAN | 30252 | 51 | 23 | 18 | 41 | 80\% | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 30 | 15 | 45 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 67 | 50 | 117 |
| 8 | BAHAN | 78923 | 134 | 55 | 36 | 91 | 68\% | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 15 | $5 \quad 4$ | 117 | 47 | 33 | 80 | 9 | 7 | 18 | 13 | 31 | 9 | 3 | 155 | 101 | 256 |
| 9 | MAYANGON | 156228 | 266 | 100 | 54 | 154 | 58\% | 30 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 01 | 13 | 203 | 137 | 80 | 217 | 19 | 14 | 36 | 33 | 69 | 19 | 9 | 345 | 205 | 550 |
| 10 | LATHA | 27643 | 47 | 14 | 7 | 21 | 45\% | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 30 | 13 | 9 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 51 | 22 | 73 |
| 11 | LANMADAW | 34450 | 59 | 32 | 11 | 43 | 73\% | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 01 | 10 | 54 | 33 | 16 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 86 | 38 | 124 |
| 12 | HLAING | 119969 | 204 | 133 | 86 | 219 | 107\% | 28 | 14 | 3 | 31 | 14 | 4.1 | 270 | 110 | 67 | 177 | 41 | 22 | 32 | 26 | 58 | 26 | 8 | 377 | 225 | 602 |
| 13 | AHLONE | 51338 | 87 | 35 | 24 | 59 | 68\% | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 75 | 25 | 15 | 40 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 14 | 27 | 4 | 2 | 91 | 64 | 155 |
|  | Total | 797713 | 1356.112 | 643 | 388 | 1031 | 76\% | 149 | 63 | 19 | \| 4 | $4) 19$ | $19 \mid 15$ | 1300 | 619 | 350 | 969 | 110 | 76 | 183 | 164 | 347 | 101 | 41 | 1843 | 1101 | 2944 |


| Sr. | TOWNSHIP | Population | Estimated New S(+) cases | SMEAR POSTIVE PULMONARY TUBERCULO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Smear Negative |  | Total | Primary complex |  | Extra Pulmonary Tuberculosis |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CDR | Previously treated cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | New Cases |  |  |  | Relapses |  | T'after Default ${ }^{\text {T }}$ T'after failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | M | F | T |  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  | M | F | M |  |  | F |  | M | F | M | F | TOTAL |
| South District Annex 4(township list) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | SEIKKYIKANAUNG' T | 31811 | 54 | 36 | 8 | 44 | 81\% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 52 | 27 | 16 | 43 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 83 | 42 | 125 |
| 2 | DALLAH | 156364 | 266 | 89 | 38 | 127 | 48\% | 10 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 154 | 134 | 82 | 216 | 21 | 17 | 50 | 37 | 87 | 27 | 6 | 338 | 190 | 528 |
| 3 | CoCo Gyun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | KAWHMU | 123992 | 211 | 31 | 20 | 51 | 24\% | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 62 | 36 | 20 | 56 | 26 | 13 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 6 | 5 | 112 | 71 | 183 |
| 5 | KYAUKTAN | 166068 | 282 | 66 | 43 | 109 | 39\% | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 117 | 67 | 50 | 117 | 27 | 29 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 7 | 7 | 183 | 145 | 328 |
| 6 | KUNGGANGONE | 116147 | 197 | 68 | 32 | 100 | 51\% | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 36 | 16 | 52 | 30 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 154 | 72 | 226 |
| 7 | KAYAN | 170290 | 289 | 78 | 44 | 122 | 42\% | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 138 | 37 | 21 | 58 | 40 | 22 | 17 | 11 | 28 | 5 | 4 | 186 | 109 | 295 |
| 8 | TWANTAY | 216388 | 368 | 113 | 47 | 160 | 43\% | 18 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 199 | 64 | 38 | 102 | 52 | 48 | 26 | 20 | 46 | 11 | 2 | 293 | 167 | 460 |
| 9 | THONGWA | 161800 | 275 | 98 | 42 | 140 | 51\% | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 154 | 43 | 17 | 60 | 12 | 6 | 16 | 13 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 186 | 83 | 269 |
| 10 | THANLYIN | 192995 | 328 | 233 | 107 | 340 | 104\% | 34 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 397 | 156 | 88 | 244 | 31 | 19 | 40 | 34 | 74 | 34 | 7 | 540 | 266 | 806 |
|  | Total | 1335855 | 2271 | 812 | 381 | 1193 | 53\% | 94 | 51 | 14 | 1 | 25 | 6 | 1384 | 600 | 348 | 948 | 245 | 177 | 182 | 146 | 328 | 103 | 35 | 2075 | 1145 | 3220 |
| North District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | MINGALADON | 189968 | 323 | 268 | 114 | 382 | 118\% | 60 | 18 | 11 | 3 | 24 | 9 | 507 | 286 | 119 | 405 | 40 | 30 | 43 | 34 | 77 | 36 | 14 | 768 | 341 | 1109 |
| 2 | SHWEPYITHA | 240886 | 410 | 162 | 90 | 252 | 62\% | 40 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 324 | 189 | 100 | 289 | 32 | 28 | 24 | 30 | 54 | 19 | 7 | 481 | 272 | 753 |
| 3 | HLAINGTHAYA | 396124 | 673 | 392 | 214 | 606 | 90\% | 68 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 708 | 424 | 323 | 747 | 124 | 102 | 53 | 59 | 112 | 75 | 31 | 1140 | 759 | 1899 |
| 4 | INSEIN | 238928 | 406 | 241 | 123 | 364 | 90\% | 46 | 19 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 449 | 289 | 153 | 442 | 27 | 19 | 51 | 55 | 106 | 54 | 20 | 719 | 398 | 1117 |
| 5 | TAIKKYI | 244769 | 416 | 153 | 100 | 253 | 61\% | 31 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 324 | 173 | 140 | 313 | 75 | 63 | 13 | 15 | 28 | 27 | 9 | 487 | 352 | 839 |
| 6 | HTANTABIN | 126131 | 214 | 71 | 26 | 97 | 45\% | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 107 | 46 | 23 | 69 | 13 | 12 | 17 | 13 | 30 | 4 | 3 | 159 | 79 | 238 |
| 7 | HMAWBI | 191920 | 326 | 145 | 50 | 195 | 60\% | 14 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 228 | 104 | 64 | 168 | 68 | 41 | 18 | 15 | 33 | 7 | 6 | 364 | 187 | 551 |
| 8 | HLEGU | 199432 | 339 | 59 | 36 | 95 | 28\% | 16 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 121 | 126 | 74 | 200 | 72 | 46 | 11 | 10 | 21 | 23 | 5 | 311 | 177 | 488 |
|  | U.T.I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | NTP( Diagnostic ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 11 |
|  | Total | 1828158 | 3108 | 1491 | 753 | 2244 | 72\% | 283 | 102 | 31 | 8 | 62 | 39 | 2769 | 1641 | 1000 | 2641 | 451 | 342 | 230 | 232 | 462 | 245 | 95 | 4434 | 2571 | 7005 |
| Grand Total |  | 6030053 | 10251 | 4516 | 2258 | 6774 | 66\% | 886 | 353 | 98 | 17 | 179 | 105 | 8412 | 4273 | 2565 | 6838 | 1161 | 878 | 873 | 829 | 1702 | 781 | 335 | 12767 | 7340 | 20107 |



| Sr. | TOWNSHP | Population | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { New S(+) } \\ \text { cases } \end{array}$ | PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Extra Pulmonary Tuberculosis |  | Total |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | SMEAR POSTIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smear } \\ & \text { Negative } \end{aligned}$ |  | Total | Primary complex |  |  |  | other |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | New Cases |  |  | CDR | Previously treatedcases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | M | F | T |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { M }}$ | F | Tater | I F | M | F |  | M | F |  | M | F |  |  | M | F |  | M | F | M | F | TOTAL |
| Nay Pyi Taw Council |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Oaktaratheri | 58171 | 61 | 27 | 11 | 38 | 62\% | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 18 | 12 |  | 30 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 61 | 32 | 93 |
| 2 | Dekhinatheri | 28998 | 30 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 26\% | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 33 |
| 3 | Poatpatheri | 80682 | 85 | 37 | 18 | 55 | 65\% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 64 | 28 | 9 | 37 | 6 | 4 | 20 | 17 | 37 | 4 | 0 | 101 | 51 | 152 |
| 4 | Zamutheri | 73213 | 77 | 16 | 10 | 26 | 34\% | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 39 | 16 | 5 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 55 | 24 | 79 |
| 5 | Zayjartheri | 76818 | 81 | 100 | 24 | 124 | 154\% | 7 | 3 | 4 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 143 | 107 | 21 | 128 | 13 | 14 | 73 | 38 | 111 | 20 | 5 | 327 | 107 | 434 |
| 6 | Pyinmana | 159429 | 167 | 113 | 57 | 170 | 102\% | 13 | 3 | 6 | 61 | 8 | 4 | 205 | 76 | 40 | 116 | 8 | 10 | 59 | 61 | 120 | 18 | 13 | 301 | 189 | 490 |
| 7 | Tatkone | 204625 | 215 | 84 | 27 | 111 | 52\% | 7 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 132 | 53 | 24 | 77 | 15 |  | 63 | 31 | 94 | 15 | 7 | 247 | 97 | 344 |
| 8 | Lewei | 269916 | 283 | 116 | 49 | 165 | 58\% | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 180 | 61 | 30 | 91 | 12 | 12 | 41 | 30 | 71 | 13 | 6 | 255 | 130 | 385 |
|  | Total | 951852 | 999 | 499 | 198 | 697 | 70\% | 45 | 17 | 13 | 3 | 31 | 10 | 817 | 361 | 142 | 503 | 61 | 51 | 283 | 184 | 467 | 79 | 32 | 1372 | 638 | 2010 |


| Block 2 |  | AGE AND SEX DISTRIBUTION OF NEW SMEAR POSITIVE TB PATIENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual 2013 |  |  |
| Sr.No | Region/State | AGE GROUP ( YEAR ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0-14 |  | 15-24 |  | 25-34 |  | 35-44 |  | 45-54 |  | 55-64 |  | 65 or more |  | TOTAL |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | T |
| 1 | Kachin State | 6 | 9 | 106 | 74 | 180 | 79 | 187 | 58 | 124 | 57 | 68 | 46 | 39 | 26 | 710 | 349 | 1059 |
| 2 | Kayah State | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 21 | 9 | 28 | 11 | 24 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 109 | 40 | 149 |
| 3 | Chin State | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 16 | 10 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 9 | 13 | 8 | 84 | 58 | 142 |
| 4 | Sagaing Region | 7 | 10 | 131 | 98 | 325 | 137 | 354 | 115 | 349 | 148 | 251 | 116 | 209 | 107 | 1626 | 731 | 2357 |
| 5 | Magway Region | 12 | 8 | 108 | 101 | 255 | 130 | 281 | 127 | 266 | 129 | 258 | 124 | 186 | 117 | 1366 | 736 | 2102 |
| 6 | Mandalay Region | 6 | 7 | 250 | 151 | 478 | 204 | 491 | 195 | 391 | 154 | 251 | 112 | 182 | 110 | 2049 | 933 | 2982 |
| 7 | Shan State (Taunggyi) | 4 | 6 | 77 | 61 | 143 | 84 | 157 | 41 | 147 | 62 | 127 | 39 | 65 | 43 | 720 | 336 | 1056 |
| 8 | Shan State (Kengtong) | 2 | 4 | 39 | 52 | 87 | 43 | 97 | 43 | 80 | 22 | 45 | 11 | 22 | 12 | 372 | 187 | 559 |
| 9 | Shan State (Lashio) | 3 | 9 | 100 | 82 | 174 | 90 | 171 | 77 | 140 | 64 | 111 | 43 | 60 | 28 | 759 | 393 | 1152 |
| 10 | Kayin State | 0 | 0 | 51 | 40 | 116 | 68 | 145 | 67 | 181 | 77 | 111 | 72 | 81 | 45 | 685 | 369 | 1054 |
| 11 | Tanintharyi Region | 3 | 10 | 40 | 51 | 103 | 41 | 126 | 48 | 126 | 47 | 95 | 49 | 58 | 36 | 551 | 282 | 833 |
| 12 | Bago Region | 12 | 13 | 178 | 158 | 434 | 241 | 572 | 206 | 443 | 214 | 369 | 156 | 265 | 117 | 2273 | 1105 | 3378 |
| 13 | Mon State | 3 | 5 | 86 | 76 | 184 | 89 | 250 | 96 | 250 | 106 | 189 | 86 | 135 | 71 | 1097 | 529 | 1626 |
| 14 | Rakhine State | 6 | 8 | 124 | 90 | 201 | 156 | 240 | 142 | 300 | 135 | 219 | 109 | 155 | 105 | 1245 | 745 | 1990 |
| 15 | Yangon Region | 11 | 23 | 655 | 455 | 1022 | 535 | 1044 | 391 | 910 | 366 | 524 | 288 | 350 | 200 | 4516 | 2258 | 6774 |
| 16 | Ayeyarwady Region | 10 | 16 | 231 | 208 | 451 | 301 | 577 | 313 | 677 | 322 | 550 | 252 | 359 | 168 | 2855 | 1580 | 4435 |
| 17 | Naypyitaw council area | 7 | 2 | 46 | 42 | 150 | 57 | 108 | 39 | 89 | 29 | 66 | 21 | 33 | 8 | 499 | 198 | 697 |
| 18 | Other Unit | 45 | 57 | 794 | 617 | 1652 | 805 | 1580 | 651 | 1346 | 573 | 862 | 435 | 496 | 337 | 6775 | 3475 | 10250 |
|  | Country | 137 | 188 | 3041 | 2372 | 5990 | 3074 | 6424 | 2630 | 5859 | 2524 | 4125 | 1974 | 2715 | 1542 | 28291 | 14304 | 42595 |
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| Sr.No | S/R \& Other unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual 2013 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PC and TBM, Hilar cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | PC |  |  | Total | EP (including TBM \& Hil |  |  | Total | TBM |  |  | Total | Hilar Lymphadenopathy |  |  | Total |
|  |  | 0-4 | 5-14 | $\geq 15$ |  | 0-4 | 5-14 | $\geq 15$ |  | 0-4 | 5-14 | $\geq 15$ |  | 0-4 | 5-14 | $\geq 15$ |  |
| 1 | Kachin State | 745 | 596 | 2 | 1343 | 376 | 251 | 218 | 845 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 21 | 367 | 233 | 17 | 617 |
| 2 | Kayah State | 96 | 86 | 0 | 182 | 60 | 71 | 24 | 155 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 51 | 66 | 0 | 117 |
| 3 | Chin State | 369 | 309 | 0 | 678 | 56 | 50 | 34 | 140 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 25 |
| 4 | Sagaing Region | 645 | 799 | 103 | 1547 | 116 | 166 | 323 | 605 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 21 | 60 | 67 | 34 | 161 |
| 5 | Magway Region | 478 | 595 | 1 | 1074 | 225 | 359 | 539 | 1123 | 16 | 9 | 19 | 44 | 188 | 277 | 25 | 490 |
| 6 | Mandalay Region | 411 | 488 | 0 | 899 | 538 | 657 | 876 | 2071 | 17 | 16 | 26 | 59 | 437 | 546 | 48 | 1031 |
| 7 | Shan State (Taunggyi) | 288 | 430 | 2 | 720 | 90 | 229 | 242 | 561 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 20 | 57 | 163 | 22 | 242 |
| 8 | Shan State (Kengtong) | 163 | 199 | 5 | 367 | 20 | 28 | 43 | 91 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 9 | Shan State (Lashio) | 295 | 428 | 6 | 729 | 254 | 291 | 270 | 815 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 20 | 119 | 135 | 3 | 257 |
| 10 | Kayin State | 231 | 454 | 0 | 685 | 21 | 33 | 66 | 120 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 15 | 10 | 17 | 1 | 28 |
| 11 | Tanintharyi Region | 767 | 919 | 744 | 2430 | 183 | 264 | 173 | 620 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 69 | 111 | 11 | 191 |
| 12 | Bago Region | 795 | 1026 | 4 | 1825 | 116 | 196 | 278 | 590 | 9 | 6 | 15 | 30 | 100 | 165 | 1 | 266 |
| 13 | Bago Region (Pyay) | 904 | 922 | 5 | 1831 | 17 | 48 | 158 | 220 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 23 | 7 | 19 | 4 | 29 |
| 14 | Mon State | 947 | 1718 | 5 | 2670 | 53 | 119 | 174 | 346 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 50 | 107 | 10 | 167 |
| 15 | Rakhine State | 317 | 435 | 0 | 752 | 100 | 155 | 231 | 486 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 16 | 75 | 74 | 12 | 161 |
| 16 | Yangon Region | 860 | 1184 | 5 | 2049 | 65 | 160 | 1237 | 1462 | 26 | 15 | 49 | 90 | 19 | 46 | 80 | 145 |
| 17 | Ayeyarwady Region | 1011 | 1452 | 11 | 2474 | 282 | 459 | 723 | 1464 | 22 | 13 | 17 | 52 | 251 | 388 | 51 | 690 |
| 18 | Naypyitaw council area | 52 | 60 | 0 | 112 | 177 | 122 | 218 | 517 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 20 | 109 | 117 | 1 | 227 |
| 19 | Other Unit | 2399 | 3415 | 50 | 5864 | 1171 | 622 | 1998 | 3791 | 2 | 5 | 108 | 115 | 1109 | 497 | 93 | 1699 |
|  | Total | \#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\# | 943 | 28231 | 3920 | 4280 | 7825 | \#\#\#\#\# | 151 | 130 | 298 | 579 | 3090 | 3041 | 415 | 6545 |

NOTIRED TB PATIENTS ACCORDING TO CATEGORY OF REGIMENS

LABORATORY PERFORMANCE (2013)

| Block 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual 2013 |  |  |  |  |  | Annex-8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr.No | S/R \& Other Units |  | A |  | B |  |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  |
|  |  | Number of suspects(Dx) examined by microscopy for case finding |  |  | Number of smear positive p detected out of suspcts (Dx) |  |  | Number of patients examined by microscopy for follow-up |  |  | Number of smear positive |  |  | Sputum |
|  |  |  |  |  | out of follow-up patients |  |  |  |  |  | positivity |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | rate |  |  |  |
|  |  | NTP | MMA | PSI |  |  | NTP | MMA | PSI | NTP | MMA | PSI | NTP | MMA | PSI |  |
| 1 | Kachin State | 8772 | 0 | 0 | 1237 | 0 | 0 | 6114 | 0 | 0 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 14\% |
| 2 | Kayah State | 1903 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 791 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 9\% |
| 3 | Chin State | 1648 | 12 | 0 | 135 | 8 | 0 | 723 | 6 | 0 | 57 | 6 | 0 | 8\% |
| 4 | Sagaing Region | 27088 | 165 | 1758 | 2562 | 19 | 190 | 11183 | 12 | 983 | 654 | 1 | 59 | 9\% |
| 5 | Magway Region | 16399 | 153 | 2612 | 2283 | 16 | 313 | 9628 | 52 | 1190 | 558 | 6 | 57 | 14\% |
| 6 | Mandalay Region | 33733 | 1185 | 5448 | 3162 | 262 | 592 | 18408 | 886 | 2608 | 1317 | 83 | 282 | 9\% |
| 7 | Shan State (Taunggyi) | 11085 | 0 | 0 | 1152 | 0 | 0 | 4452 | 0 | 0 | 341 | 0 | 0 | 10\% |
| 8 | Shan State (Kengtong) | 2935 | 0 | 0 | 534 | 0 | 0 | 2495 | 0 | 0 | 277 | 0 | 0 | 18\% |
| 9 | Shan State (Lashio) | 9258 | 0 | 0 | 1314 | 0 | 0 | 6038 | 0 | 0 | 432 | 0 | 0 | 14\% |
| 10 | Kayin State | 7538 | 0 | 0 | 1194 | 0 | 0 | 6022 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 0 | 16\% |
| 11 | Tanintharyi Region | 7203 | 0 | 0 | 1391 | 0 | 0 | 5308 | 0 | 0 | 524 | 0 | 0 | 19\% |
| 12 | Bago Region | 23622 | 1120 | 5375 | 3912 | 184 | 547 | 18698 | 1093 | 2557 | 765 | 41 | 159 | 17\% |
| 13 | Mon State | 15889 | 22 | 918 | 1790 | 7 | 74 | 10277 | 25 | 778 | 464 | 4 | 11 | 11\% |
| 14 | Rakhine State | 5449 | 0 | 7124 | 993 | 0 | 1131 | 3685 | 0 | 4638 | 714 | 0 | 231 | 18\% |
| 15 | Yangon Region | 39434 | 0 | 0 | 13163 | 0 | 0 | 42172 | 0 | 0 | 4163 | 0 | 0 | 33\% |
| 16 | Ayeyarwady Region | 26986 | 1209 | 4001 | 4827 | 329 | 509 | 22079 | 115 | 2754 | 1154 | 16 | 162 | 18\% |
| 17 | Naypyitaw | 2934 | 81 | 464 | 601 | 11 | 56 | 3533 | 3 | 111 | 282 | 2 | 15 | 20\% |
| 18 | Other Unit | 83191 | 8336 | 29394 | 9683 | 1355 | 3560 | 42008 | 4214 | 15525 | 2872 | 341 | 1309 | 12\% |
|  | Country | 325067 | 12283 | 57094 | 50104 | 2191 | 6972 | 213614 | 6406 | 31144 | 15401 | 500 | 2285 | 15\% |
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SPUTUM CONVERSION OF NEW POSITIVE PULMONARY TB PATIENTS

| \％S8 | 9ZャてE | \％S | 6091 | \％0 | 8LعE | \％SL | 6SZもて | OャZย | 9Zャてを | Kılunos |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \％62 | 8GLL | \％S | $1 \angle \varepsilon$ | \％8 | 919 | \％ 1 L | เヤGS | 0ع乙। | 8GLL | st！un 1ә૫ıO | 61 |
| \％88 | カレG | \％L | $\angle \varepsilon$ | \％6 1 | 96 | \％69 | SGE | 92 | カレS | Met！ d $^{\text {den }}$ | 81 |
| \％88 | 8てゅ¢ | \％ع | トレレ | \％トレ | $\angle 9 \varepsilon$ | \％8L | †992 | 982 | 8乙七¢ | ио！бәч Кремикәкв | $\angle 1$ |
| \％ 16 | くヤ6も | \％S | 0ع乙 | \％ 01 | 96t | \％18 | 9668 | Gこ乙 | ＜$\downarrow$ 6ヵ | uo！¢əy uoбue人 | 91 |
| \％08 | 6LG1 | \％ I | S91 | \％ 1 L | SLZ | \％ع9 | 886 | LG1 | 6LS 1 | әృеıS әu！पүеપ | SI |
| \％88 | 6611 | \％ع | レー | \％ 0 － | 9トレ | \％8L | レー6 | LOL | 6611 | әlels uow | ャレ |
| \％88 | LLIL | \％t | $\varepsilon \downarrow$ | \％て | Sロレ | \％9L | ャ68 | S6 | LLII | （KeKd）uo！bәy obeg | $\varepsilon 1$ |
| \％88 | くレロレ | \％ 1 | て1 | \％】 | 乙9 | \％ヤ8 | 061ト | EG1 | くレロレ | uo！bey obeg | こ1 |
| \％88 | 8 89 | \％L | L | \％ヤレ | 06 | \％89 | 0とゅ | 19 | 8乙9 | uo！̣әу ！イıецłи！̣ue」 | $1 レ$ |
| \％ 28 | L乙8 | \％t | $1 \varepsilon$ | \％8 | 89 | \％6L | LS9 | LL | L乙8 | әlels u！ke\} | OL |
| \％ 18 | 8ع8 | \％S | $8 \varepsilon$ | \％て | †O1 | \％89 | ZLS | ャて！ | 8ع8 | （0！use7）әlels ueys | 6 |
| \％92 | Oヤナ | \％9 | L | \％ع 1 | $\angle \mathrm{S}$ | \％ع9 | $\angle \angle Z$ | 62 | Oヤャ | （6uolбuәy）әıE！S ueus | 8 |
| \％06 | 618 | \％t | 乙ع | \％6 | EL | \％ 18 | 199 | EG | 618 | （ККббune」）әұеıS ueys | L |
| \％ع8 | เ乙と乙 | \％L | EL1 | \％と 1 | \＆6乙 | \％0L | ع®91 | 乙て乙 | เ乙と乙 | ио！бәу кеןериеw | 9 |
| \％98 | 999 1 | \％9 | LOL | \％ 0 － | 691 | \％SL | LSて1 | 6ع1 | 9991 | ио！бәу кембер | G |
| \％68 | EG8 1 | \％t | 18 | \％6 | Z 21 | \％6L | 0くロレ | OEL | ES8 1 | uo！бәу бu！eбes | 七 |
| \％t6 | 68 | \％ヤ | ® | \％6 | 8 | \％S8 | 92 | 1 | 68 | әlels u！पつ | $\varepsilon$ |
| \％ع8 | 601 | \％もレ | GI | \％てよ | ع1 | \％卜 | LL | † | 601 | әృе1S पекех्र | 乙 |
| \％t8 | L18 | \％9 | OG | \％て | 86 | \％てL | 98G | $\varepsilon 8$ | L18 | əાeIS u！પつ®＞્ર | 1 |
| әley |  | （\％）¢łuow ع | цъuow ع | \％ | ON | \％ | ON | sपłuour | ：O snoun ${ }^{\text {chd }}$ u！ |  |  |
| UO！sıənuoj |  |  | †e ən！！！sod |  | U $\varepsilon$ |  | U 乙 | ع 10乙 ләццб！ə ఛе | 1әıs！бәy seseo | әృеıS／uo！̣əy | ou＇s |
| uninds | $7 \forall \perp O \perp$ | 6u！u！eməy | 6u！u！e wəy |  | le uois | U03 un |  | əuop ı0и лeəus | （＋）＾еəus MəN |  |  |

NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME
Annex－10

| EとOLt | \％乙 | عと9 | \％S | 8961 | \％t | 19t1 | \％S | 8t61 | \％S8 | \％て | 12Lt | \％tL | Z9Z0¢ | عとOLt | Kılunos |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99101 | \％乙 | 1こ乙 | \％ | $\angle 69$ | \％t | 9ても | \％S | 09t | \％ 28 | \％91 | 8091 | \％99 | ฤG $\angle 9$ | 99101 | ท！二ก ләч1О | 61 |
| ¢ヵL | \％乙 | St | \％乙 | ャレ | \％S | 98 | \％9 | $\varepsilon t$ | \％S8 | \％01 | 12 | \％92 | ち99 | とゅく | eare ！！ounoo melt | 81 |
| 8عとь | \％卜 | 乙¢ | \％S | と¢乙 | \％乙 | ヶ8 | \％S | عเ乙 | \％L8 | \％カレ | 七6S | \％عL | 281E | 8عとь | ио！ббәу крремлекәк才 | $\angle 1$ |
| 8ヶ¢G | \％ 1 | $\varepsilon 9$ | \％\＆ | 191 | \％t | 2IZ | \％t | 761 | \％88 | \％t | 002 | \％t8 | 8LSt | 8ャعG | uо！бәу uобue人 | 91 |
| 0881 | \％ 1 | 81 | \％9 | こト1 | \％t | 08 | \％t | 89 | \％S8 | \％0乙 | 9 28 | \％S9 | 9こて1 | 0881 |  | St |
| EャG | \％ 1 | 61 | \％S | 12 | \％ | 9t | \％S | 62 | \％98 | \％01 | 9S1 | \％92 | 2く11 | \＆ャG1 | Prets uow | ャレ |
| 26S1 | \％ 1 | 12 | \％ 1 | 61 | \％t | $\angle 9$ | \％S | $\angle 8$ | \％88 | \％S | SعZ | \％とL | と911 | 26S1 | （KeKd）uo！రәy obeg | $\varepsilon 1$ |
| S881 | \％ 1 | LZ | \％t | 92 | \％ 1 | $\varepsilon 乙$ | \％S | 101 | \％88 | \％+1 | GOZ | \％LL | ESカ1 | S881 | uo！бәบ обед | 21 |
| 968 | \％\＆ | ゅて | \％9 | 95 | \％S | 8t | \％S | $\varepsilon \square$ | \％ 18 | \％ 1 － | 66 | \％02 | SZ9 | 568 | ио！бәу ！Клецłu！ue」 | 11 |
| 8915 | \％$\varepsilon$ | S¢ | \％9 | 02 | \％ 1 | 91 | \％t | 6t | \％S8 | \％8 | ¢6 | \％LL | †06 | 8911 | әłels uker | O1 |
| 6てZ1 | \％乙 | GZ | \％て1 | とャレ | \％t | 97 | \％t | ¢ 9 | \％8L | \％ 1 － | $\downarrow$ ャレ | \％ 29 | L28 | 6टZ1 | （O！¢Se7）Flels ueys | 6 |
| ヤ89 | \％卜 | G | \％8 | $\angle t$ | \％S | $\angle 2$ | \％t | SZ | \％て8 | \％81 | SOL | \％t9 | S $\angle \varepsilon$ | †8S |  | 8 |
| 906 | \％ 1 | 1， | \％$\varepsilon$ | SZ | \％t | 98 | \％9 | 8 S | \％98 | \％てト | OLI | \％tL | 999 | 906 |  | $\angle$ |
| ع60¢ | \％ 1 | $6 \varepsilon$ | \％乙 | SL | \％t | S¢1 | \％9 | 261 | \％98 | \％6 | L92 | \％LL | S8عZ | ع60¢ | ио！бәу кеןериеw | 9 |
| 2S61 | \％ 1 | 12 | \％$\varepsilon$ | 6t | \％ع | 29 | \％S | 101 | \％88 | \％8 | 291 | \％08 | LGS1 | 2S61 | ио！бәу кембеш | S |
| 16ちて | \％卜 | L | \％卜 | $\angle \varepsilon$ | \％\＆ | $\angle 9$ | \％S | 8て1 | \％06 | \％8 | OLZ | \％ 18 | こてOZ | 16ヵて | ио！бәу бu！ebes | $\checkmark$ |
| 601 | \％ 1 | 1 | \％t | $\downarrow$ | \％乙 | Z | \％ 1 | 1 | \％ 66 | \％ 1 － | Z1 | \％28 | 68 | 601 | әłE！S U！पつ | $\varepsilon$ |
| 86 | \％\＆ | $\varepsilon$ | \％$\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | \％t | $\checkmark$ | \％9 | 9 | \％カ8 | \％01 | 01 | \％とL | ZL | 86 | әऐels पeरery | Z |
| ELOL | \％\＆ | 92 | \％L | 99 | \％t | カt | \％S | 9t | \％て8 | \％てト | とて1 | \％02 | 802 | ELOL |  | 1 |
| IElO1 | әley | ON | әley | ON | әley | － ON | ә1Ey | － ON | YS1 | әley | －ON | ¢0 | －ON |  | ә1E1S／UO！6） | ON•S |
|  | ләjsued |  | pełjneıəa |  | əın！！eg |  | pə！ |  |  | pelplduoう |  | parns |  | 7V101 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Sr． | Tow nships | Reg．Pts． | Cured |  | Completed |  | TSR | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfered ou |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No． |  |  | No | CR | No | Rate |  | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | eva．Pts． |
| Kachin State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Bahmo | 75 | 68 | 91\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 91\％ | 3 | 4\％ | 2 | 3\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 2 | 3\％ | 75 |
| 2 | Mansi | 29 | 21 | 72\％ | 6 | 21\％ | 93\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 2 | 7\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 29 |
| 3 | Momauk | 21 | 18 | 86\％ | 1 | 5\％ | 90\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 1 | 5\％ | 1 | 5\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 21 |
| 4 | Shw egu | 62 | 50 | 81\％ | 6 | 10\％ | 90\％ | 5 | 8\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 1 | 2\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 62 |
| 5 | Mohynin | 89 | 55 | 62\％ | 20 | 22\％ | 84\％ | 7 | 8\％ | 1 | 1\％ | 5 | 6\％ | 1 | 1\％ | 89 |
| 6 | Phakant | 140 | 79 | 56\％ | 22 | 16\％ | 72\％ | 6 | 4\％ | 5 | 4\％ | 18 | 13\％ | 10 | 7\％ | 140 |
| 7 | Mogaung | 118 | 92 | 78\％ | 5 | 4\％ | 82\％ | 6 | 5\％ | 12 | 10\％ | 1 | 1\％ | 2 | 2\％ | 118 |
| 8 | Tanai | 61 | 31 | 51\％ | 12 | 20\％ | 70\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 2 | 3\％ | 12 | 20\％ | 4 | 7\％ | 61 |
| 9 | My itky ina | 320 | 226 | 71\％ | 33 | 10\％ | 81\％ | 16 | 5\％ | 16 | 5\％ | 25 | 8\％ | 4 | 1\％ | 320 |
| 10 | Chipw ay | 2 | 2 | 100\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 100\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 2 |
| 11 | Hsaw law | Nr ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | N Jan Yan | Nr ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Waingmaw | 49 | 33 | 67\％ | 13 | 27\％ | 94\％ | 2 | 4\％ | 1 | 2\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 49 |
| 14 | PutaO | 47 | 33 | 70\％ | 5 | 11\％ | 81\％ | 1 | 2\％ | 4 | 9\％ | 1 | 2\％ | 3 | 6\％ | 47 |
| 15 | Khaunglanbu | Nr ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Machanbaw | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Nogmun | Nr ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Sumprabum | Nr． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1013 | 708 | 70\％ | 123 | 12\％ | 82\％ | 46 | 5\％ | 44 | 4\％ | 66 | 7\％ | 26 | 3\％ | 1013 |


| N | 0 | の | 0 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | Ь） | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | 15 | $\stackrel{n}{r}$ | $N$ | の | －） | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | 0 | $\stackrel{5}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{1}{8}$ | O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0$ |  | $0$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hat{0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { ô } \end{aligned}$ | $0^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | ó | $0^{0}$ | O | $0$ | $0$ | $0$ | ô | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |
| 0 |  | 0 | $\cdots$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\cdots$ | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | r |
| o |  | $0$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ i \end{array}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { ले } \end{aligned}$ | ó | $\begin{aligned} & 0^{0} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ó | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | － |
| 0 |  | 0 | $\cdots$ | 0 | 0 |  | n | 0 | － | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | － |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $0^{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ i \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \mathrm{o}^{2} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | oi | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $0^{0}$ | $0^{0}$ | ó | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | of | م |
| 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | － |  | － | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $N$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ |  | $0$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | ó | $0^{0}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $0$ | of | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ |
| 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | － |  | $\bullet$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | r |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & r \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ + \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\dot{0}^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | O <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ |  | $0$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ó } \\ & \text { o } \end{aligned}$ | $0^{0}$ | $0_{0}^{0}$ | $\mathrm{ol}^{0}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | of | O $\stackrel{0}{+}$ $\Gamma$ |
| 0 |  | 0 | ค） | ค） | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | r | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{M} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | 1 <br> 0 <br>  | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{0}{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | （1） |
| N | 0 | の | $0$ | $\stackrel{N}{\square}$ | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | ก | の | $N$ | $\infty$ | Ь | の | m | － | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | ¢ |
| N | 0 | の | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & r \end{aligned}$ | Ь | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \infty \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 15 | $\frac{9}{r}$ | $N$ | の | ロ | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | ल | $\stackrel{6}{2}$ | $\stackrel{1}{7}$ | \％ |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{y}{\pi} \\ 3 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \bar{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\sim} \\ \tilde{x} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \tilde{\pi} \\ \dot{0} \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \pi \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 0 \\ \dot{e} \\ \frac{c}{2} \\ \frac{1}{n} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \underset{\pi}{\pi} \\ \stackrel{c}{\omega} \\ \stackrel{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\boxed{0}} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline- \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \frac{\pi}{x} & \frac{\pi}{x} \\ \frac{\pi}{x} & \frac{\pi}{\tau} \end{array}$ |  | E <br> $\overline{0}$ <br> 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{c}{\tilde{n}} \\ & N \\ & \stackrel{c}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \dot{\pi} \\ 0 \\ i \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \frac{0}{c} \\ \underline{\tilde{x}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & 2 \\ & \frac{\pi}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & 3 \\ & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | － |
| － | N | $\cdots$ | － | 10 | 0 | N |  | － | N | ल | － | 15 | 0 | 入 | $\infty$ | の |  |

Annex -10(township list)

Annex -10(township list)

| Sr. | Tow nships | Reg. Pts. | Cured |  | Completed |  | TSR | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfered ou |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  | No | CR | No | Rate |  | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | eva. Pts |


Annex－10（township list）

| $\stackrel{\infty}{1}$ | $\hat{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \mathrm{O} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\top}$ | Q | ㄷ | $\stackrel{\square}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{N}{T}$ | N | か | N | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{N}$ | $\stackrel{10}{ }$ | $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ | 0 | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | \％ | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{m}{7}$ | 「 | N | ค | ल |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oo | $0$ | $0$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $0$ | ò | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | oㅇ | $0$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 仓े | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{o}}$ | $0$ | oे | oे | o | O | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $0$ | oे | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | oे | ০े | oे | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ò | $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | N | T | 0 | － | 1 | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\cdots$ | r | $\bigcirc$ | N | O | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | r | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | N | $\checkmark$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | 9 |
| $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | ò | $\frac{0}{0}$ | ò | of | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | Ó | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{c} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Ò | $\frac{0}{\circ}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \mathrm{c} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ó | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}_{\circ}^{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $0$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \mathrm{o} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\frac{o^{0}}{7}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | $0^{0}$ | $\frac{o^{\circ}}{\square}$ | 앙 | $0^{0}$ | 웅 |
| － | － | N | 10 | － | 0 | O | 10 | － | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | ம） | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | の | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | N | $0$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | の | － | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}^{\circ}$ | $\frac{0}{+}$ | $\frac{0}{\circ}$ | $0$ | 잉 | $\stackrel{\circ}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | ó | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | 仓े | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | oे | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \mathrm{o} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | o | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{o} \\ & \mathrm{o} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{o} \\ \stackrel{0}{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}_{\circ}^{\circ}$ | ò | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{+} \end{aligned}$ |
| － | $10$ | 1 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 0 | N | $\cdots$ | F | $\bigcirc$ | － | 10 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | － | N | $\checkmark$ | $\tau$ | $N$ | 10 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | N | $\stackrel{10}{5}$ | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | － | 0 | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{10}{9}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{o}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{~N}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | oㅇ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\grave{x}^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | oे | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
| 1 | $0$ | $\bullet$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 온 | 0 | $N$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\tau$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | 10 | － | N | N | $0$ | 10 | の | $0$ | 0 | N | の | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7}$ | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ | 6 | N |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \frac{0}{9} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{\infty} \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $8$ | $0$ | $\begin{gathered} 00 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | O8 | $\frac{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $0_{0}^{0}$ | $\frac{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\frac{0}{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 10 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \circ \\ \underset{\infty}{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }_{0}^{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{o}}$ | $\frac{0}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \mathrm{~m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $l_{0}^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ^{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 융 | of | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | مㅇ | $\begin{gathered} \circ \\ \mathrm{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oे } \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ơ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \mathrm{c} \\ & \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \mathrm{\infty} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ò | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 운 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ó } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$ |
| の | 0 | $9$ | $\pi$ | N | $\cdots$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | N | の | の | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | 「 | の | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | $\mathrm{N}$ | ＋ | N | $\bar{m}$ | $N$ | の | $\checkmark$ | 은 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7}$ | $\cdots$ | N |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{9} \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 10 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{o}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\begin{gathered} \circ \\ \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{O} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\circ$ <br> $\circ$ <br> 0 <br> $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\wedge}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{2}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{+} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{o}}$ | $\frac{0}{\mathrm{o}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ơ } \\ & \mathrm{i} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | م̀ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{0}{+}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ |
| $\bar{N}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $6$ | $\hat{m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{N}{\top} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \end{gathered}$ | $8$ | $\hat{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & m \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \infty \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & M \end{aligned}$ | $\infty$ | $F$ |  | $\underset{N}{N}$ | ত | $9$ | $\infty$ | $9$ | ब | $\frac{\infty}{\tau}$ | $\infty$ | $5$ | ल | $6$ | L ¢ N |
| $\stackrel{\infty}{\wedge}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{1}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & n \\ & \Gamma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\Gamma}$ | $\theta$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{N}{r}$ | $\mathrm{N}$ | d | $\hat{o}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{N}$ | $10$ | $\stackrel{O}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $6$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline N \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $6$ | $\hat{N}$ | $\frac{m}{7}$ | 「 | $\mathfrak{N}$ | $9$ | ¢ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \frac{0}{\tau} \\ & \frac{0}{\sigma} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & . \overline{0} \\ & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{7}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\bar{\pi}}{\frac{\pi}{y}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & . \frac{\bar{N}}{1} \\ & \frac{\pi}{N} \\ & \Sigma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{N} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{\sigma}}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \text { } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{1}{\tilde{0}} \\ \stackrel{y}{2} \\ \Sigma \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\lambda} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { त } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \\ & \mathrm{Z} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ? } \\ & \text { I } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \grave{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{n}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ \frac{5}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\pi}{0} \\ \frac{\pi}{0} \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \\ & \bar{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & . \bar{n} \\ & \frac{1}{n} \\ & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & \pi \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{n}{\vec{n}} \\ & \vec{v} \\ & \dot{\lambda} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \frac{1}{7} \\ & \dot{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{0}{0} \\ & =1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\bar{\pi}}{\substack{0 \\ 1}}$ |
| － | N | $\cdots$ | － | $1 \times$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\infty$ | の | 은 | $\tau$ | $N$ | $⿳ 亠 丷 厂$ | $\underset{\tau}{\tau}$ | $\stackrel{10}{\square}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{N}{r}$ | $\infty$ | $9$ | N | $\bar{N}$ | $N$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{1}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\oplus}{N}$ | $\hat{N}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |

Annex -10(township list)

| N | 안 | $\cdots$ | 「 | ले | $\stackrel{10}{7}$ | $\infty$ | - | $\stackrel{m}{\square}$ | ก | $\infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{H} \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ |  | N | N | $\hat{N}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{ }$ | 안 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0_{0}^{0}$ | $0$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | of | ô | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | 웅 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \hat{N} \end{aligned}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ơ } \\ & \text { ले } \end{aligned}$ | oㅇ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ | - |  | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\Gamma}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{0}^{0}$ | ot | $0$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ó | oㅇ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | oi |  |  | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & -1 \end{aligned}$ | ó | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ले } \\ & \text { ले } \end{aligned}$ | ô | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { ले } \end{aligned}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | r | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | $N$ |  | 0 | $\cdots$ | - | 0 | 0 | $\Gamma$ | $\Gamma$ | 0 | คิ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0_{0}^{0}$ | ó | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{0}^{0}$ | of | ò | ô | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{c} \end{aligned}$ | ò | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o} \\ & \frac{0}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ơ | oे | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
| - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | r | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\underset{\sim}{7}$ | N |  | r | 0 | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | ¢ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{o} \\ \stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{gathered}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & o^{0} \\ & r \end{aligned}$ | ó | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \mathrm{o} \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{o} \\ \mathrm{i} \\ \mathrm{~N} \end{gathered}$ | $0$ | $0$ | ô | مㅇ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | o | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | io | of | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 |


Annex -10(township list)


Annex－10（township list）

| Sr． | Tow nships | Reg．Pts． | Cured |  | Completed |  | TSR | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfered ou |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No． |  |  | No | CR | No | Rate |  | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | eva．Pts． |
| Kayin State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Kaw kareik | 126 | 88 | 70\％ | 23 | 18\％ | 88\％ | 7 | 6\％ | 1 | 1\％ | 6 | 5\％ | 1 | 1\％ | 126 |
| 2 | Kyainseikkyi | 74 | 53 | 72\％ | 4 | 5\％ | 77\％ | 8 | 11\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 9 | 12\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 74 |
| 3 | Myaw ady | 201 | 120 | 60\％ | 19 | 9\％ | 69\％ | 14 | 7\％ | 13 | 6\％ | 22 | 11\％ | 13 | 6\％ | 201 |
| 4 | Hpa－an | 529 | 432 | 82\％ | 40 | 8\％ | 89\％ | 11 | 2\％ | 1 | 0\％ | 25 | 5\％ | 20 | 4\％ | 529 |
| 5 | Hlaingbwe | 198 | 178 | 90\％ | 8 | 4\％ | 94\％ | 5 | 3\％ | 1 | 1\％ | 6 | 3\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 198 |
| 6 | Papun（Kamamaung） | 26 | 20 | 77\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 77\％ | 4 | 15\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 2 | 8\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 26 |
| 7 | Thandaung | 14 | 13 | 93\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 93\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 0 | 0\％ | 1 | 7\％ | 14 |
|  | Total | 1168 | 904 | 77\％ | 94 | 8\％ | 85\％ | 49 | 4\％ | 16 | 1\％ | 70 | 6\％ | 35 | 3\％ | 1168 |


| $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{5}}{2}$ | 0 | ¢ | \％ | $\stackrel{N}{5}$ | \％ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline N \\ \end{array}$ | ल | 안 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | ó | $80$ | ले | $6$ | io | oి | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | \％ㅇ |
| m | － | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | 0 | － | 0 |
| ©े | oे | oㅇ | $\infty$ | ol | 人̀ | $80$ | oి | $6$ | io |
| の | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | m | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 으 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\cdots$ | ल |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \mathbf{c} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\circ}{2}$ | $8$ | $80$ | ó | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\frac{2}{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ㅇㅇ |
| 은 | 入 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | の | 0 | $\infty$ | $\sim$ | m | $\cdots$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\circ$ | $80$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { Co }}$ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \circ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| の | N | $\sim$ | － | ＊ | $\checkmark$ | $\infty$ | － | ＋ | $\infty$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 . \\ \hline \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ó | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | ${ }_{0}^{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \hline \infty \end{array}$ | oे | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | oo | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | ลㅇ | $\frac{\circ}{\circ}$ | of | $\circ 8$ | ిం |
| $\infty$ | － | $\cdots$ | m | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{ }$ | － | N | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | oे | $\stackrel{9}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{i}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | oे | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ol} \\ & \mathrm{o} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ò |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \infty \\ \Gamma \end{array}$ | 寸 | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | ल | 0 | ल | $\infty$ | N | ¢ | ल |
| 든 | 10 | ¢ | ㅇ | $5$ | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & N \end{aligned}$ | ¢ | 0 | \％ |
| $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 0 \\ 3 \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \frac{2}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 들 } \\ \frac{2}{2} \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{x}{0}$ | $\begin{gathered} \overrightarrow{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ \vec{\lambda} \end{gathered}$ |  | 产 |
| － | N | $\cdots$ | $\checkmark$ | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\infty$ | の | 은 |

Annex -10(township list)

| Sr. | Tow nships | Reg. Pts. | Cured |  | Completed |  | TSR | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfered ou |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  | No | CR | No | Rate |  | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | eva. Pts. |


| 1 | Bago | 407 | 307 | 75\% | 40 | 10\% | 85\% | 32 | 8\% | 8 | 2\% | 10 | 2\% | 10 | 2\% | 407 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Daik-U | 126 | 71 | 56\% | 44 | 35\% | 91\% | 6 | 5\% | 1 | 1\% | 4 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 126 |
| 3 | Kaw a | 104 | 88 | 85\% | 4 | 4\% | 88\% | 4 | 4\% | 1 | 1\% | 6 | 6\% | 1 | 1\% | 104 |
| 4 | Kyauktaga | 139 | 119 | 86\% | 9 | 6\% | 92\% | 6 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 4 | 3\% | 139 |
| 5 | Nyaunglaybin | 134 | 104 | 78\% | 18 | 13\% | 91\% | 3 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 3\% | 5 | 4\% | 134 |
| 6 | Shw ekyin | 65 | 29 | 45\% | 26 | 40\% | 85\% | 2 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 7 | 11\% | 1 | 2\% | 65 |
| 7 | Thanatpin | 105 | 84 | 80\% | 11 | 10\% | 90\% | 5 | 5\% | 1 | 1\% | 2 | 2\% | 2 | 2\% | 105 |
| 8 | Waw | 138 | 119 | 86\% | 10 | 7\% | 93\% | 5 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 138 |
| 9 | Taunggoo | 147 | 126 | 86\% | 5 | 3\% | 89\% | 8 | 5\% | 3 | 2\% | 4 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 147 |
| 10 | Kyaukkyi | 50 | 37 | 74\% | 5 | 10\% | 84\% | 3 | 6\% | 1 | 2\% | 3 | 6\% | 1 | 2\% | 50 |
| 11 | Oktw in | 113 | 82 | 73\% | 11 | 10\% | 82\% | 5 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 14 | 12\% | 1 | 1\% | 113 |
| 12 | Phyu | 184 | 134 | 73\% | 17 | 9\% | 82\% | 18 | 10\% | 5 | 3\% | 10 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 184 |
| 13 | Htantabin | 54 | 49 | 91\% | 1 | 2\% | 93\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 54 |
| 14 | Yedashe | 119 | 104 | 87\% | 4 | 3\% | 91\% | 3 | 3\% | 3 | 3\% | 4 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 119 |
|  | Total | 1885 | 1453 | 77\% | 205 | 11\% | 88\% | 101 | 5\% | 23 | 1\% | 76 | 4\% | 27 | 1\% | 1885 |


| 1 | Pyay | 206 | 163 | 79\% | 3 | 1\% | 81\% | 15 | 7\% | 18 | 9\% | 2 | 1\% | 5 | 2\% | 206 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Paukkhaung | 115 | 67 | 58\% | 39 | 34\% | 92\% | 5 | 4\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 2 | 2\% | 115 |
| 3 | Paungde | 116 | 103 | 89\% | 2 | 2\% | 91\% | 2 | 2\% | 4 | 3\% | 3 | 3\% | 2 | 2\% | 116 |
| 4 | Padaung | 96 | 49 | 51\% | 28 | 29\% | 80\% | 6 | 6\% | 5 | 5\% | 3 | 3\% | 5 | 5\% | 96 |
| 5 | Shw edaung | 88 | 54 | 61\% | 18 | 20\% | 82\% | 7 | 8\% | 7 | 8\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 88 |
| 6 | Thegon | 108 | 75 | 69\% | 18 | 17\% | 86\% | 6 | 6\% | 7 | 6\% | 2 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 108 |
| 7 | Tharyarw ady | 152 | 131 | 86\% | 5 | 3\% | 89\% | 10 | 7\% | 4 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 152 |
| 8 | Zigon | 67 | 56 | 84\% | 9 | 13\% | 97\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 67 |
| 9 | Minhla | 135 | 93 | 69\% | 21 | 16\% | 84\% | 10 | 7\% | 9 | 7\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 1\% | 135 |
| 10 | Moenyo | 85 | 69 | 81\% | 9 | 11\% | 92\% | 1 | 1\% | 3 | 4\% | 2 | 2\% | 1 | 1\% | 85 |
| 11 | Okpo | 88 | 51 | 58\% | 26 | 30\% | 88\% | 7 | 8\% | 3 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 88 |
| 12 | Gyobingauk | 98 | 74 | 76\% | 17 | 17\% | 93\% | 2 | 2\% | 3 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 98 |
| 13 | Nattalin | 129 | 97 | 75\% | 20 | 16\% | 91\% | 9 | 7\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 129 |
| 14 | Latpadan | 109 | 81 | 74\% | 20 | 18\% | 93\% | 6 | 6\% | 2 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 109 |
|  | Total | 1592 | 1163 | 73\% | 235 | 15\% | 88\% | 87 | 5\% | 67 | 4\% | 19 | 1\% | 21 | 1\% | 1592 |

Annex -10(township list)

| Sr. | Tow nships | Reg. Pts. | Cured |  | Completed |  |  | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfered ou |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  | No | CR | No | Rate | TSR | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | eva. Pts. |

Mon State

| 1 | Maw lamyaing | 257 | 181 | 70\% | 37 | 14\% | 85\% | 12 | 5\% | 4 | 2\% | 19 | 7\% | 4 | 2\% | 257 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chanungzon | 90 | 79 | 88\% | 2 | 2\% | 90\% | 5 | 6\% | 3 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 90 |
| 3 | Kyaikmaraw | 129 | 86 | 67\% | 32 | 25\% | 91\% | 8 | 6\% | 3 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 129 |
| 4 | Mudon | 145 | 116 | 80\% | 11 | 8\% | 88\% | 10 | 7\% | 3 | 2\% | 4 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 145 |
| 5 | Thanbyuzayat | 117 | 105 | 90\% | 2 | 2\% | 91\% | 1 | 1\% | 3 | 3\% | 2 | 2\% | 4 | 3\% | 117 |
| 6 | Ye | 179 | 117 | 65\% | 17 | 9\% | 75\% | 13 | 7\% | 21 | 12\% | 8 | 4\% | 3 | 2\% | 179 |
| 7 | Thaton | 202 | 113 | 56\% | 46 | 23\% | 79\% | 11 | 5\% | 4 | 2\% | 28 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 202 |
| 8 | Belin | 156 | 142 | 91\% | 7 | 4\% | 96\% | 5 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 156 |
| 9 | Kyaikto | 108 | 90 | 83\% | 0 | 0\% | 83\% | 3 | 3\% | 3 | 3\% | 7 | 6\% | 5 | 5\% | 108 |
| 10 | Paung | 160 | 143 | 89\% | 2 | 1\% | 91\% | 11 | 7\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 2 | 1\% | 160 |
|  | Total | 1543 | 1172 | 76\% | 156 | 10\% | 86\% | 79 | 5\% | 46 | 3\% | 71 | 5\% | 19 | 1\% | 1543 |

## Rakhine State

| 1 | Sittw e | 200 | 88 | 44\% | 68 | 34\% | 78\% | 5 | 3\% | 9 | 5\% | 30 | 15\% | 0 | 0\% | 200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Ponngyun | 79 | 76 | 96\% | 0 | 0\% | 96\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 79 |
| 3 | Kyauktaw | 170 | 116 | 68\% | 48 | 28\% | 96\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 4 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 170 |
| 4 | MraukOo | 222 | 107 | 48\% | 95 | 43\% | 91\% | 9 | 4\% | 2 | 1\% | 9 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 222 |
| 5 | Minbya | 147 | 113 | 77\% | 13 | 9\% | 86\% | 6 | 4\% | 10 | 7\% | 4 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 147 |
| 6 | Myaepon | 90 | 46 | 51\% | 34 | 38\% | 89\% | 8 | 9\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 90 |
| 7 | Pauktaw | 45 | 18 | 40\% | 15 | 33\% | 73\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 9\% | 7 | 16\% | 1 | 2\% | 45 |
| 8 | Yatheedaung | 119 | 102 | 86\% | 0 | 0\% | 86\% | 4 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 10 | 8\% | 2 | 2\% | 119 |
| 9 | Maungdaw | 114 | 67 | 59\% | 7 | 6\% | 65\% | 7 | 6\% | 16 | 14\% | 17 | 15\% | 0 | 0\% | 114 |
| 10 | Buthidaung | 137 | 94 | 69\% | 35 | 26\% | 94\% | 4 | 3\% | 3 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 137 |
| 11 | Kyaukphyu | 136 | 96 | 71\% | 11 | 8\% | 79\% | 5 | 4\% | 10 | 7\% | 10 | 7\% | 4 | 3\% | 136 |
| 12 | Yanbye | 41 | 34 | 83\% | 0 | 0\% | 83\% | 2 | 5\% | 4 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 2\% | 41 |
| 13 | Manaung | 43 | 42 | 98\% | 0 | 0\% | 98\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 43 |
| 14 | Ann | 63 | 35 | 56\% | 16 | 25\% | 81\% | 2 | 3\% | 3 | 5\% | 6 | 10\% | 1 | 2\% | 63 |
| 15 | Thandw e | 97 | 80 | 82\% | 9 | 9\% | 92\% | 3 | 3\% | 2 | 2\% | 2 | 2\% | 1 | 1\% | 97 |
| 16 | Taunggoke | 128 | 69 | 54\% | 25 | 20\% | 73\% | 7 | 5\% | 13 | 10\% | 9 | 7\% | 5 | 4\% | 128 |
| 17 | Gw a | 49 | 43 | 88\% | 0 | 0\% | 88\% | 4 | 8\% | 1 | 2\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 49 |
|  | Total | 1880 | 1226 | 65\% | 376 | 20\% | 85\% | 68 | 4\% | 80 | 4\% | 112 | 6\% | 18 | 1\% | 1880 |

Annex -10(township list)

| Sr. | Tow nships | Reg. Pts. | Cured |  | Completed |  | TSR | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfered ou |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  | No | CR | No | Rate |  | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | eva. Pts. |


|  | East District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Botataung | 44 | 40 | 91\% | 0 | 0\% | 91\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 5\% | 1 | 2\% | 44 |
| 2 | Daw bon | 92 | 85 | 92\% | 0 | 0\% | 92\% | 2 | 2\% | 4 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 92 |
| 3 | Dagon(N) | 151 | 117 | 77\% | 17 | 11\% | 89\% | 5 | 3\% | 4 | 3\% | 6 | 4\% | 2 | 1\% | 151 |
| 4 | Dagon(S) | 364 | 290 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% | 80\% | 15 | 4\% | 23 | 6\% | 33 | 9\% | 3 | 1\% | 364 |
| 5 | MingalarTN | 97 | 94 | 97\% | 1 | 1\% | 98\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 97 |
| 6 | Okkala(N) | 221 | 191 | 86\% | 0 | 0\% | 86\% | 7 | 3\% | 13 | 6\% | 8 | 4\% | 2 | 1\% | 221 |
| 7 | Okkala(S) | 105 | 93 | 89\% | 2 | 2\% | 90\% | 3 | 3\% | 2 | 2\% | 1 | 1\% | 4 | 4\% | 105 |
| 8 | Tharkata | 217 | 181 | 83\% | 0 | 0\% | 83\% | 10 | 5\% | 15 | 7\% | 8 | 4\% | 3 | 1\% | 217 |
| 9 | Thingangyun | 113 | 91 | 81\% | 4 | 4\% | 84\% | 6 | 5\% | 3 | 3\% | 9 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 113 |
| 10 | Yankin | 105 | 94 | 90\% | 0 | 0\% | 90\% | 3 | 3\% | 5 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 3\% | 105 |
| 11 | Tarmwe | 103 | 92 | 89\% | 1 | 1\% | 90\% | 3 | 3\% | 5 | 5\% | 2 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 103 |
| 12 | Pazundaung | 37 | 29 | 78\% | 0 | 0\% | 78\% | 1 | 3\% | 5 | 14\% | 1 | 3\% | 1 | 3\% | 37 |
| 13 | Dagon(E) | 165 | 145 | 88\% | 7 | 4\% | 92\% | 3 | 2\% | 6 | 4\% | 2 | 1\% | 2 | 1\% | 165 |
| 14 | Dagon Seikkan | 91 | 76 | 84\% | 4 | 4\% | 88\% | 3 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 6 | 7\% | 1 | 1\% | 91 |
|  | Total | 1905 | 1618 | 85\% | 36 | 2\% | 87\% | 62 | 3\% | 88 | 5\% | 78 | 4\% | 23 | 1\% | 1905 |

West District

| 1 | Kamayut | 62 | 49 | 79\% | 0 | 0\% | 79\% | 3 | 5\% | 5 | 8\% | 5 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Kyauktada | 20 | 18 | 90\% | 0 | 0\% | 90\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 20 |
| 3 | Kyeemy intdaing | 132 | 83 | 63\% | 12 | 9\% | 72\% | 6 | 5\% | 11 | 8\% | 16 | 12\% | 4 | 3\% | 132 |
| 4 | Sanchaung | 74 | 60 | 81\% | 4 | 5\% | 86\% | 2 | 3\% | 2 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 8\% | 74 |
| 5 | Seikkan | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6 | Dagon | 18 | 16 | 89\% | 0 | 0\% | 89\% | 1 | 6\% | 1 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 18 |
| 7 | Pabadan | 27 | 23 | 85\% | 0 | 0\% | 85\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 4\% | 27 |
| 8 | Bahan | 69 | 64 | 93\% | 1 | 1\% | 94\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 69 |
| 9 | Mayangon | 142 | 127 | 89\% | 1 | 1\% | 90\% | 4 | 3\% | 6 | 4\% | 2 | 1\% | 2 | 1\% | 142 |
| 10 | Latha | 16 | 13 | 81\% | 2 | 13\% | 94\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 16 |
| 11 | Lanmadaw | 25 | 20 | 80\% | 1 | 4\% | 84\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 8\% | 1 | 4\% | 1 | 4\% | 25 |
| 12 | Hlaing | 157 | 153 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 1\% | 157 |
| 13 | Ahlone | 54 | 46 | 85\% | 0 | 0\% | 85\% | 1 | 2\% | 5 | 9\% | 1 | 2\% | 1 | 2\% | 54 |
|  | Total | 796 | 672 | 84\% | 21 | 3\% | 87\% | 17 | 2\% | 44 | 6\% | 25 | 3\% | 17 | 2\% | 796 |

Annex -10(township list)

| Sr. | Tow nships | Reg. Pts. | Cured |  | Completed |  | TSR | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfered ou |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  | No | CR | No | Rate |  | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | eva. Pts |

## South District

| 1 | Seikkyikhanaungto | 47 | 26 | 55\% | 15 | 32\% | 87\% | 4 | 9\% | 1 | 2\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Dallah | 123 | 86 | 70\% | 12 | 10\% | 80\% | 18 | 15\% | 1 | 1\% | 4 | 3\% | 2 | 2\% | 123 |
| 3 | Cocogyun | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 4 | Kaw hmu | 42 | 39 | 93\% | 0 | 0\% | 93\% | 2 | 5\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 42 |
| 5 | Kyauktan | 111 | 79 | 71\% | 22 | 20\% | 91\% | 3 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 4\% | 3 | 3\% | 111 |
| 6 | Kungyangone | 85 | 69 | 81\% | 7 | 8\% | 89\% | 5 | 6\% | 2 | 2\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 85 |
| 7 | Kayan | 100 | 88 | 88\% | 5 | 5\% | 93\% | 7 | 7\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100 |
| 8 | Tw antay | 146 | 113 | 77\% | 15 | 10\% | 88\% | 11 | 8\% | 5 | 3\% | 2 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 146 |
| 9 | Thonegw a | 105 | 92 | 88\% | 1 | 1\% | 89\% | 5 | 5\% | 2 | 2\% | 5 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 105 |
| 10 | Thanly in | 196 | 157 | 80\% | 14 | 7\% | 87\% | 3 | 2\% | 8 | 4\% | 8 | 4\% | 6 | 3\% | 196 |
|  | Total | 955 | 749 | 78\% | 91 | 10\% | 88\% | 58 | 6\% | 20 | 2\% | 25 | 3\% | 12 | 1\% | 955 |


| 1 | Mingalardon | 294 | 257 | 87\% | 2 | 1\% | 88\% | 9 | 3\% | 18 | 6\% | 4 | 1\% | 4 | 1\% | 294 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Shw epyithar | 236 | 182 | 77\% | 20 | 8\% | 86\% | 12 | 5\% | 8 | 3\% | 14 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 236 |
| 3 | Hlaingtharyar | 434 | 402 | 93\% | 2 | 0\% | 93\% | 12 | 3\% | 5 | 1\% | 9 | 2\% | 4 | 1\% | 434 |
| 4 | Insein | 275 | 245 | 89\% | 7 | 3\% | 92\% | 12 | 4\% | 7 | 3\% | 4 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 275 |
| 5 | Taikkyi | 172 | 137 | 80\% | 11 | 6\% | 86\% | 7 | 4\% | 17 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 172 |
| 6 | Htantabin | 64 | 62 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 97\% | 1 | 2\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 64 |
| 7 | Hmaw bi | 133 | 112 | 84\% | 10 | 8\% | 92\% | 3 | 2\% | 4 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 3 | 2\% | 133 |
| 8 | Hegu | 72 | 70 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 97\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 72 |
|  | U.T.I | 7 | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 7 |
|  | NTP (Diagnostic) | 5 | 5 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 |
|  | Total | 1692 | 1479 | 87\% | 52 | 3\% | 90\% | 57 | 3\% | 60 | 4\% | 33 | 2\% | 11 | 1\% | 1692 |
|  | Yangon Region | 5348 | 4518 | 84\% | 200 | 4\% | 88\% | 194 | 4\% | 212 | 4\% | 161 | 3\% | 63 | 1\% | 5348 |


| Sr. | Tow nships | Reg. Pts. | Cured |  | Com | ted | TSR | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfered ou |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  | No | CR | No | Rate |  | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | eva. Pts. |

## Ayeyarwaddy Region

|  | Ayeyarw add |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pathein | 352 | 238 | 68\% | 67 | 19\% | 87\% | 9 | 3\% | 7 | 2\% | 22 | 6\% | 9 | 3\% | 352 |
| 2 | Kanyidaung | 70 | 58 | 83\% | 7 | 10\% | 93\% | 2 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 70 |
| 3 | Yekyi | 154 | 95 | 62\% | 48 | 31\% | 93\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 10 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 154 |
| 4 | Kyaunggon | 176 | 137 | 78\% | 24 | 14\% | 91\% | 7 | 4\% | 2 | 1\% | 5 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 176 |
| 5 | Kyonpyaw | 128 | 83 | 65\% | 40 | 31\% | 96\% | 4 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 128 |
| 6 | Ngaputaw | 200 | 148 | 74\% | 31 | 16\% | 90\% | 11 | 6\% | 4 | 2\% | 6 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 200 |
| 7 | Thabaung | 97 | 68 | 70\% | 14 | 14\% | 85\% | 6 | 6\% | 3 | 3\% | 6 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 97 |
| 8 | Hinhada | 395 | 346 | 88\% | 18 | 5\% | 92\% | 11 | 3\% | 3 | 1\% | 9 | 2\% | 8 | 2\% | 395 |
| 9 | Kyankin | 88 | 74 | 84\% | 5 | 6\% | 90\% | 4 | 5\% | 1 | 1\% | 4 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 88 |
| 10 | Myanaung | 108 | 76 | 70\% | 19 | 18\% | 88\% | 4 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 108 |
| 11 | Ingapu | 178 | 134 | 75\% | 15 | 8\% | 84\% | 10 | 6\% | 6 | 3\% | 8 | 4\% | 5 | 3\% | 178 |
| 12 | Zalun | 82 | 38 | 46\% | 20 | 24\% | 71\% | 5 | 6\% | 2 | 2\% | 17 | 21\% | 0 | 0\% | 82 |
| 13 | Laymtethna | 90 | 71 | 79\% | 9 | 10\% | 89\% | 5 | 6\% | 4 | 4\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 90 |
| 14 | Myaungmya | 242 | 170 | 70\% | 21 | 9\% | 79\% | 9 | 4\% | 8 | 3\% | 33 | 14\% | 1 | 0\% | 242 |
| 15 | Laputta | 249 | 174 | 70\% | 33 | 13\% | 83\% | 22 | 9\% | 6 | 2\% | 14 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 249 |
| 16 | Maw gyun | 157 | 134 | 85\% | 2 | 1\% | 87\% | 15 | 10\% | 1 | 1\% | 3 | 2\% | 2 | 1\% | 157 |
| 17 | Wakema | 129 | 83 | 64\% | 33 | 26\% | 90\% | 2 | 2\% | 5 | 4\% | 6 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 129 |
| 18 | Einme | 156 | 114 | 73\% | 16 | 10\% | 83\% | 10 | 6\% | 2 | 1\% | 13 | 8\% | 1 | 1\% | 156 |
| 19 | Pyapon | 198 | 155 | 78\% | 5 | 3\% | 81\% | 13 | 7\% | 1 | 1\% | 21 | 11\% | 3 | 2\% | 198 |
| 20 | Bogalay | 247 | 186 | 75\% | 16 | 6\% | 82\% | 18 | 7\% | 12 | 5\% | 13 | 5\% | 2 | 1\% | 247 |
| 21 | Dedaye | 56 | 26 | 46\% | 23 | 41\% | 88\% | 4 | 7\% | 1 | 2\% | 2 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 56 |
| 22 | Kyaiklatt | 106 | 62 | 58\% | 34 | 32\% | 91\% | 3 | 3\% | 3 | 3\% | 4 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 106 |
| 23 | Maubin | 241 | 158 | 66\% | 49 | 20\% | 86\% | 14 | 6\% | 6 | 2\% | 14 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 241 |
| 24 | Nyaungdon | 159 | 149 | 94\% | 0 | 0\% | 94\% | 9 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 159 |
| 25 | Pantanaw | 161 | 96 | 60\% | 43 | 27\% | 86\% | 9 | 6\% | 6 | 4\% | 7 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 161 |
| 26 | Danuphyu | 119 | 109 | 92\% | 2 | 2\% | 93\% | 6 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 119 |
|  | Total | 4338 | 3182 | 73\% | 594 | 14\% | 87\% | 213 | 5\% | 84 | 2\% | 233 | 5\% | 32 | 1\% | 4338 |


| Naypyitaw council |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Oaktaratheri | 34 | 25 | 74\% | 6 | 18\% | 91\% | 3 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 34 |
| 2 | Dekhinatheri | 16 | 12 | 75\% | 2 | 13\% | 88\% | 1 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 16 |
| 3 | Poatpatheri | 54 | 37 | 69\% | 7 | 13\% | 81\% | 3 | 6\% | 1 | 2\% | 3 | 6\% | 3 | 6\% | 54 |
| 4 | Zamutheri | 42 | 27 | 64\% | 7 | 17\% | 81\% | 1 | 2\% | 2 | 5\% | 2 | 5\% | 3 | 7\% | 42 |
| 5 | Zayyartheri | 124 | 84 | 68\% | 13 | 10\% | 78\% | 9 | 7\% | 7 | 6\% | 4 | 3\% | 7 | 6\% | 124 |
| 6 | Pyinmana | 176 | 139 | 79\% | 7 | 4\% | 83\% | 9 | 5\% | 18 | 10\% | 3 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 176 |
| 7 | Tatkone | 129 | 102 | 79\% | 10 | 8\% | 87\% | 9 | 7\% | 7 | 5\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 129 |
| 8 | Lew ei | 168 | 138 | 82\% | 19 | 11\% | 93\% | 8 | 5\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 1\% | 168 |
|  | Total | 743 | 564 | 76\% | 71 | 10\% | 85\% | 43 | 6\% | 36 | 5\% | 14 | 2\% | 15 | 2\% | 743 |

TREATMENT OUTCOME OF SMEAR NEGATIVE TB PATIENTS (2012 COHORT)
2013 Annual
Annex-11

| Sr.No. | Region/State \& Other Units | SMEAR NEGATIVE TB PATIENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total No. <br> Reg. pts. | Completed |  | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfer |  | Total |
|  |  |  | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate |  |
| 1 | Kachin State | 1300 | 1089 | 84\% | 83 | 6\% | 22 | 2\% | 84 | 6\% | 22 | 2\% |  |
| 2 | Kayah State | 191 | 155 | 81\% | 17 | 9\% | 1 | 1\% | 12 | 6\% | 6 | 3\% | 1300 |
| 3 | Chin State | 247 | 207 | 84\% | 17 | 7\% | 0 | 0\% | 19 | 8\% | 4 | 2\% | 191 |
| 4 | Sagaing Region | 1681 | 1415 | 84\% | 169 | 10\% | 7 | 0\% | 81 | 5\% | 9 | 1\% | 247 |
| 5 | Magway Region | 1818 | 1570 | 86\% | 146 | 8\% | 11 | 1\% | 78 | 4\% | 13 | 1\% | 1681 |
| 6 | Mandalay Region | 2408 | 1968 | 82\% | 271 | 11\% | 23 | 1\% | 113 | 5\% | 33 | 1\% | 1818 |
| 7 | Shan State (Taunggyi) | 661 | 550 | 83\% | 54 | 8\% | 6 | 1\% | 42 | 6\% | 9 | 1\% | 2408 |
| 8 | Shan State (Kengtong) | 469 | 417 | 89\% | 22 | 5\% | 1 | 0\% | 29 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 661 |
| 9 | Shan State (Lashio) | 1113 | 858 | 77\% | 62 | 6\% | 7 | 1\% | 163 | 15\% | 23 | 2\% | 469 |
| 10 | Kayin State | 1356 | 1128 | 83\% | 50 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 130 | 10\% | 48 | 4\% | 1113 |
| 11 | Tanintharyi Region | 1410 | 1150 | 82\% | 69 | 5\% | 5 | 0\% | 170 | 12\% | 16 | 1\% | 1356 |
| 12 | Bago Region | 3481 | 3023 | 87\% | 201 | 6\% | 16 | 0\% | 203 | 6\% | 38 | 1\% | 1410 |
| 13 | Mon State | 2117 | 1862 | 88\% | 109 | 5\% | 6 | 0\% | 116 | 5\% | 24 | 1\% | 3481 |
| 14 | Rakhine State | 1296 | 1116 | 86\% | 69 | 5\% | 6 | 0\% | 95 | 7\% | 10 | 1\% | 2117 |
| 15 | Yangon Region | 7203 | 6493 | 90\% | 270 | 4\% | 52 | 1\% | 287 | 4\% | 101 | 1\% | 1296 |
| 16 | Ayeyarwaddy Region | 4198 | 3483 | 83\% | 259 | 6\% | 8 | 0\% | 369 | 9\% | 79 | 2\% | 7203 |
| 17 | Naypyitaw council area | 408 | 317 | 78\% | 46 | 11\% | 6 | 1\% | 26 | 6\% | 13 | 3\% | 4198 |
| 18 | Other Units | 9972 | 8188 | 82\% | 611 | 6\% | 114 | 1\% | 776 | 8\% | 283 | 3\% | 408 |
|  | Country | 41329 | 34989 | 85\% | 2525 | 6\% | 291 | 1\% | 2793 | 7\% | 731 | 2\% | 9972 |

NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME
TREATMENT OUTCOME OF RELAPSES (2012 COHORT)


| Annual 2013 |  | TREATMENT OUTCOME OF TREATMENT AFTER DEFAULT (2012 COHORT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sr.No. | Region/State \& OtherUnits | TOTAL | TREATMINT AFTER DEFAULT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Cured |  | Completed |  | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfer |  | Total |
|  |  |  | No. | CR | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate |  |
| 1 | Kachin State | 20 | 11 | 55\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 10\% | 3 | 15\% | 4 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 20 |
| 2 | Kayah State | 5 | 2 | 40\% | 2 | 40\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 |
| 3 | Chin State | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 4 | Sagaing Region | 20 | 6 | 30\% | 7 | 35\% | 4 | 20\% | 1 | 5\% | 2 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 20 |
| 5 | Magway Region | 20 | 9 | 45\% | 4 | 20\% | 3 | 15\% | 2 | 10\% | 2 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 20 |
| 6 | Mandalay Region | 29 | 15 | 52\% | 4 | 14\% | 3 | 10\% | 2 | 7\% | 3 | 10\% | 2 | 7\% | 29 |
| 7 | Shan State (Taunggyi) | 20 | 9 | 45\% | 5 | 25\% | 3 | 15\% | 2 | 10\% | 1 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 20 |
| 8 | Shan State (Kengtong) | 14 | 5 | 36\% | 4 | 29\% | 2 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 21\% | 0 | 0\% | 14 |
| 9 | Shan State (Lashio) | 24 | 7 | 29\% | 9 | 38\% | 3 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 21\% | 0 | 0\% | 24 |
| 10 | Kayin State | 8 | 4 | 50\% | 2 | 25\% | 1 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 13\% | 8 |
| 11 | Tanintharyi Region | 23 | 9 | 39\% | 7 | 30\% | 3 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 23 |
| 12 | Bago Region | 39 | 21 | 54\% | 10 | 26\% | 5 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 5\% | 1 | 3\% | 39 |
| 13 | Mon State | 7 | 5 | 71\% | 1 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 7 |
| 14 | Rakhine State | 16 | 3 | 19\% | 4 | 25\% | 3 | 19\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 38\% | 0 | 0\% | 16 |
| 15 | Yangon Region | 120 | 70 | 58\% | 13 | 11\% | 10 | 8\% | 11 | 9\% | 11 | 9\% | 5 | 4\% | 120 |
| 16 | Ayeyarwaddy Region | 35 | 18 | 51\% | 10 | 29\% | 3 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 35 |
| 17 | Naypyitaw council area | 10 | 6 | 60\% | 1 | 10\% | 2 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 10\% | 10 |
| 18 | Other Unit | 104 | 36 | 35\% | 18 | 17\% | 18 | 17\% | 10 | 10\% | 14 | 13\% | 8 | 8\% | 104 |
|  | country | 514 | 236 | 46\% | 101 | 20\% | 65 | 13\% | 31 | 6\% | 63 | 12\% | 18 | 4\% | 514 |



|  |  |  | TREAT | NATI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAL T } \\ & \text { UTCON } \end{aligned}$ | E OF | OSIS <br> THER | PROGR ASES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MME } \\ & 2012 \mathrm{Cc} \end{aligned}$ | HORT) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annua | 2013 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OTHER | ASES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sr.No. | Units | Total | Cu |  | Comp | leted |  |  | Fail |  | Defau | Ited | Trans | er out | Total |
|  |  |  | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate |  |
| 1 | Kachin State | 270 | 52 | 19\% | 165 | 61\% | 22 | 8\% | 7 | 3\% | 19 | 7\% | 5 | 2\% | 270 |
| 2 | Kayah State | 59 | 10 | 17\% | 37 | 63\% | 5 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 5\% | 4 | 7\% | 59 |
| 3 | Chin State | 19 | 0 | 0\% | 14 | 74\% | 3 | 16\% | 1 | 5\% | 1 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 19 |
| 4 | Sagaing Region | 175 | 18 | 10\% | 124 | 71\% | 23 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 5\% | 1 | 1\% | 175 |
| 5 | Magway Region | 208 | 9 | 4\% | 147 | 71\% | 39 | 19\% | 4 | 2\% | 8 | 4\% | 1 | 0\% | 208 |
| 6 | Mandalay Region | 407 | 12 | 3\% | 318 | 78\% | 50 | 12\% | 1 | 0\% | 23 | 6\% | 3 | 1\% | 407 |
| 7 | Shan State (Taunggyi) | 80 | 3 | 4\% | 53 | 66\% | 7 | 9\% | 3 | 4\% | 8 | 10\% | 6 | 8\% | 80 |
| 8 | Shan State (Kengtong) | 54 | 35 | 65\% | 10 | 19\% | 3 | 6\% | 5 | 9\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 54 |
| 9 | Shan State (Lashio) | 136 | 30 | 22\% | 70 | 51\% | 9 | 7\% | 1 | 1\% | 21 | 15\% | 5 | 4\% | 136 |
| 10 | Kayin State | 23 | 1 | 4\% | 15 | 65\% | 3 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 13\% | 1 | 4\% | 23 |
| 11 | Tanintharyi Region | 85 | 9 | 11\% | 51 | 60\% | 8 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 15 | 18\% | 2 | 2\% | 85 |
| 12 | Bago Region | 343 | 33 | 10\% | 232 | 68\% | 47 | 14\% | 4 | 1\% | 23 | 7\% | 4 | 1\% | 343 |
| 13 | Mon State | 38 | 0 | 0\% | 29 | 76\% | 6 | 16\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 38 |
| 14 | Rakhine State | 123 | 11 | 9\% | 95 | 77\% | 10 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 7 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 123 |
| 15 | Yangon Region | 1092 | 54 | 5\% | 812 | 74\% | 97 | 9\% | 20 | 2\% | 81 | 7\% | 28 | 3\% | 1092 |
| 16 | Ayeyarwaddy Region | 301 | 3 | 1\% | 233 | 77\% | 28 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 35 | 12\% | 2 | 1\% | 301 |
| 17 | Naypyitaw council area | 68 | 9 | 13\% | 45 | 66\% | 8 | 12\% | 1 | 1\% | 2 | 3\% | 3 | 4\% | 68 |
| 18 | Other | 1206 | 8 | 1\% | 698 | 58\% | 255 | 21\% | 36 | 3\% | 145 | 12\% | 64 | 5\% | 1206 |
|  | Country | 4687 | 297 | 6\% | 3148 | 67\% | 623 | 13\% | 83 | 2\% | 407 | 9\% | 129 | 3\% | 4687 |

NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME
TREATMENT OUTCOME OF PRIMARY COMPLEX AND TB MENINGITIS (2012 COHORT)

| Sr.No. | Region/State \& Other units | PRIM ARY COMPLEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TB MENINGITIS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total No. Reg. pts. | Completed |  | Died | Defaulted |  | Transfer out | Total | Total No. Reg. pts. | Comple <br> ted | Died | Defaulted | Transfer | Total |
|  |  |  | No | Rate |  | No | Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Kachin State | 1178 | 1123 | 95\% | 1 | 37 | 3\% | 17 | 1178 | 13 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| 2 | Kayah State | 305 | 301 | 99\% | 0 | 1 | 0\% | 3 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Chin State | 385 | 373 | 97\% | 2 | 6 | 2\% | 4 | 385 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4 | Sagaing Region | 2660 | 2617 | 98\% | 14 | 27 | 1\% | 2 | 2660 | 22 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 22 |
| 5 | Magway Region | 1047 | 1004 | 96\% | 9 | 32 | 3\% | 2 | 1047 | 33 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 33 |
| 6 | Mandalay Region | 992 | 952 | 96\% | 10 | 26 | 3\% | 4 | 992 | 43 | 32 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 43 |
| 7 | Shan State (Taunggyi) | 626 | 602 | 96\% | 3 | 18 | 3\% | 3 | 626 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 12 |
| 8 | Shan State (Kengtong) | 542 | 510 | 94\% | 2 | 29 | 5\% | 1 | 542 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 9 | Shan State (Lashio) | 657 | 579 | 88\% | 3 | 63 | 10\% | 12 | 657 | 30 | 23 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 30 |
| 10 | Kayin State | 1003 | 907 | 90\% | 5 | 59 | 6\% | 32 | 1003 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 11 | Tanintharyi Region | 1975 | 1837 | 93\% | 6 | 128 | 6\% | 4 | 1975 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| 12 | Bago Region | 3854 | 3720 | 97\% | 25 | 98 | 3\% | 11 | 3854 | 57 | 47 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 57 |
| 13 | Mon State | 2173 | 2091 | 96\% | 6 | 74 | 3\% | 2 | 2173 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| 14 | Rakhine State | 750 | 682 | 91\% | 7 | 47 | 6\% | 14 | 750 | 12 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 12 |
| 15 | Yangon Region | 2472 | 2409 | 97\% | 9 | 39 | 2\% | 15 | 2472 | 114 | 98 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 114 |
| 16 | Ayeyarwaddy Region | 2669 | 2562 | 96\% | 13 | 79 | 3\% | 15 | 2669 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 15 |
| 17 | Naypyitaw council area | 122 | 112 | 92\% | 0 | 8 | 7\% | 2 | 122 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 18 | Other Units | 7955 | 7624 | 96\% | 47 | 197 | 2\% | 87 | 7955 | 32 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 32 |
|  | Country | 31365 | 30005 | 96\% | 162 | 968 | 3\% | 230 | 31365 | 430 | 345 | 45 | 32 | 8 | 430 |

NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME
TREATMENT OUTCOME OF HILAR LYMPHADENOPATHY TB PATIENTS (2012 COHORT)

NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME
TREATMENT OUTCOME OF EP<15 TB PATIENTS (2012 COHORT)

| Sr.No. | Region/State \& Other Units | EP $<15$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total No. | Completed |  | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfer |  | Total |
|  |  | Reg. pts. | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate |  |
| 1 | Kachin State | 1092 | 1052 | 96\% | 3 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 26 | 2\% | 11 | 1\% | 1092 |
| 2 | Kayah State | 29 | 24 | 83\% | 4 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 29 |
| 3 | Chin State | 146 | 138 | 95\% | 2 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 5 | 3\% | 146 |
| 4 | Sagaing Region | 230 | 217 | 94\% | 4 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 3\% | 1 | 0\% | 230 |
| 5 | Magway Region | 240 | 229 | 95\% | 5 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 2\% | 1 | 0\% | 240 |
| 6 | Mandalay Region | 1895 | 1826 | 96\% | 16 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 28 | 1\% | 25 | 1\% | 1895 |
| 7 | Shan State (Taunggyi) | 220 | 209 | 95\% | 1 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 4\% | 1 | 0\% | 220 |
| 8 | Shan State (Kengtong) | 81 | 66 | 81\% | 5 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 10\% | 2 | 2\% | 81 |
| 9 | Shan State (Lashio) | 621 | 561 | 90\% | 6 | 1\% | 1 | 0\% | 48 | 8\% | 5 | 1\% | 621 |
| 10 | Kayin State | 12 | 11 | 92\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 12 |
| 11 | Tanintharyi Region | 576 | 512 | 89\% | 14 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 45 | 8\% | 5 | 1\% | 576 |
| 12 | Bago Region | 157 | 149 | 95\% | 4 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2\% | 1 | 1\% | 157 |
| 13 | Mon State | 15 | 14 | 93\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 7\% | 15 |
| 14 | Rakhine State | 117 | 102 | 87\% | 3 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 11 | 9\% | 1 | 1\% | 117 |
| 15 | Yangon Region | 373 | 351 | 94\% | 5 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 14 | 4\% | 3 | 1\% | 373 |
| 16 | Ayeyarwaddy Region | 142 | 129 | 91\% | 5 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 142 |
| 17 | Naypyitaw council area | 181 | 173 | 96\% | 4 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0\% | 3 | 0\% | 181 |
| 18 | Other Units | 418 | 319 | 76\% | 39 | 9\% | 2 | 0\% | 28 | 7\% | 30 | 7\% | 418 |
|  | Country | 6545 | 6082 | 93\% | 120 | 2\% | 4 | 0\% | 243 | 4\% | 96 | 1\% | 6545 |

NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME
TREATMENT OUTCOME OF EP>15 TB PATIENTS (2012 COHORT)

| Sr.No. | Region/State \& Other Units | $E P>15$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total No. | Completed |  | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfer |  | Total |
|  |  | Reg. pts. | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate |  |
| 1 | Kachin State | 199 | 166 | 83\% | 17 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 14 | 7\% | 2 | 1\% | 199 |
| 2 | Kayah State | 21 | 16 | 76\% | 1 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 14\% | 1 | 5\% | 21 |
| 3 | Chin State | 25 | 22 | 88\% | 2 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 4\% | 25 |
| 4 | Sagaing Region | 424 | 377 | 89\% | 35 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 10 | 2\% | 2 | 0\% | 424 |
| 5 | Magway Region | 593 | 525 | 89\% | 44 | 7\% | 0 | 0\% | 23 | 4\% | 1 | 0\% | 593 |
| 6 | Mandalay Region | 948 | 826 | 87\% | 84 | 9\% | 4 | 0\% | 21 | 2\% | 13 | 1\% | 948 |
| 7 | Shan State (Taunggyi) | 257 | 226 | 88\% | 16 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 11 | 4\% | 4 | 2\% | 257 |
| 8 | Shan State (Kengtong) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 9 | Shan State (Lashio) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 10 | Kayin State | 72 | 61 | 85\% | 4 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 8\% | 1 | 1\% | 72 |
| 11 | Tanintharyi Region | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 12 | Bago Region | 447 | 413 | 92\% | 15 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 13 | 3\% | 6 | 1\% | 447 |
| 13 | Mon State | 187 | 167 | 89\% | 6 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 11 | 6\% | 3 | 2\% | 187 |
| 14 | Rakhine State | 233 | 190 | 82\% | 18 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 24 | 10\% | 1 | 0\% | 233 |
| 15 | Yangon Region | 1253 | 1174 | 94\% | 25 | 2\% | 4 | 0\% | 28 | 2\% | 22 | 2\% | 1253 |
| 16 | Ayeyarwaddy Region | 696 | 590 | 85\% | 45 | 6\% | 3 | 0\% | 43 | 6\% | 15 | 2\% | 696 |
| 17 | Naypyitaw council area | 158 | 137 | 87\% | 13 | 8\% | 1 | 1\% | 5 | 3\% | 2 | 1\% | 158 |
| 18 | Other Units | 1785 | 1346 | 75\% | 204 | 11\% | 33 | 2\% | 153 | 9\% | 49 | 3\% | 1785 |
|  | Country | 7298 | 6236 | 85\% | 529 | 7\% | 45 | 1\% | 365 | 5\% | 123 | 2\% | 7298 |

Case Finding Activities

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF NEW SMEAR POSITIVE CASES

Primary complex cases, Hilar and TB meningitis cases by age group


| BLOCK - 3 |  | Other Unit |  |  |  |  |  | Annual 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Relapses | CAT - 2 |  |  |  | CAT 3 |  | TOTAL |
| Sr.No | Other Units | Sputum ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ CAT |  |  | EP |  | Total |  | Treat- | Treat- | Others |  | (children) |  |  |
|  |  | Smear | severe | less severe | severe | less severe |  |  | ment after | ment after |  | Total | HRZ/HR | Total |  |
|  |  | Positive | form | form | form | form |  |  | Default | Failure |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Aung San Hos: | 45 | 29 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 90 | 62 | 9 | 57 | 19 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 237 |
| 2 | Patheingyi Hos: | 13 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 37 |
| 3 | East YGH | 13 | 5 | 48 | 4 | 16 | 86 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 27 | 27 | 121 |
| 4 | Mingalardon Hos: | 142 | 382 | 50 | 450 | 0 | 1024 | 34 | 2 | 2 | 221 | 259 | 7 | 7 | 1290 |
| 5 | No.1 MBH (Py inOoLw in) | 37 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 43 | 188 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 32 | 36 | 36 | 256 |
| 6 | 1000 bedded hospital (Naypyitaw) | 77 | 55 | 36 | 15 | 8 | 191 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 102 | 102 | 304 |
| 7 | MSF-H (Ygn) | 768 | 614 | 225 | 263 | 106 | 1976 | 65 | 14 | 62 | 223 | 364 | 93 | 93 | 2433 |
| 8 | MSF-H (Kachin) | 223 | 611 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 988 | 25 | 5 | 30 | 132 | 192 | 1 | 1 | 1181 |
| 9 | PSI | 7195 | 1591 | 6624 | 90 | 405 | 15905 | 714 | 14 | 180 | 187 | 1095 | 5060 | 5060 | 22060 |
| 10 | MSF-H (Shan-north) | 67 | 164 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 256 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 35 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 314 |
| 11 | MSF-H (Rakhine) | 87 | 53 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 155 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 28 | 35 | 8 | 8 | 198 |
| 12 | MSF-CH (Daw ei) | 68 | 10 | 37 | 16 | 38 | 169 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 201 |
| 13 | MMA | 1061 | 786 | 140 | 48 | 77 | 2112 | 83 | 10 | 25 | 45 | 163 | 710 | 710 | 2985 |
| 14 | AHRN (Shan North) Laukkai, Lashio | 128 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 181 | 12 | 6 | 34 | 8 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 241 |
| 15 | Thingangyun Sanpya Hos: | 7 | 23 | 4 | 18 | 5 | 57 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 80 |
| 16 | Central Jail Mandalay | 48 | 60 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 128 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 156 |
| 17 | Medecins du monde | 5 | 38 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 92 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| 18 | New YGH | 29 | 25 | 9 | 22 | 10 | 95 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| 19 | West YGH | 18 | 28 | 20 | 11 | 2 | 79 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 11 | 11 | 105 |
| 20 | Tharketa HV hospital | 60 | 175 | 47 | 184 | 85 | 551 | 19 | 9 | 7 | 139 | 174 | 5 | 5 | 730 |
| 21 | Insein general hospital | 2 | 18 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 28 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 34 |
| 22 | Htantabin TB hospital | 11 | 32 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 56 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 21 | 3 | 3 | 80 |
| 23 | Pathein General Hospital | 44 | 52 | 18 | 43 | 37 | 194 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 17 | 49 | 49 | 260 |
| 24 | No(1) MBH (Mandalay Nantw in) | 3 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 48 |
| 25 | 300 bedded teaching hospital (Mdy) | 32 | 10 | 17 | 16 | 23 | 98 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 43 | 43 | 143 |
| 26 | North Okkalapa General Hospital | 28 | 78 | 40 | 18 | 5 | 169 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 24 | 5 | 5 | 198 |
| 27 | MSF-CH (Insein Prision) | 26 | 91 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 130 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 11 | 11 | 158 |
| 28 | AHRN (Kachin state) WM, PK, BM | 31 | 16 | 47 | 7 | 40 | 141 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 163 |
| 29 | 550 bedded child hospital (Mdy) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 19 |
| 30 | Hpa-an general hospital | 65 | 51 | 198 | 9 | 33 | 356 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 50 | 50 | 409 |
| 31 | My eik general hospital | 19 | 52 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 78 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| 32 | Maw lamyine general hospital | 12 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| 33 | Yangon Children Hospital | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Latha Dx Center | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 10364 | 5106 | 7714 | 1521 | 959 | 25664 | 1144 | 93 | 421 | 1198 | 2856 | 6257 | 6257 | 34777 |


| Block _ 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  |
| Sr.No |  | Number of suspects( Dx ) |  |  | Number of smear positive pts |  |  | Number of patients |  |  | Number of smear positive |  |  |
|  | Other Unit | examined by microscopy |  |  | detected out of |  |  | examined by microscopy |  |  | out of follow-up |  |  |
|  |  | for case finding |  |  | suspcts (Dx) |  |  | for follow-up |  |  | patients |  |  |
|  |  | NTP | MMA | PSI | NTP | MMA | PSI | NTP | MMA | PSI | NTP | MMA | PSI |
| 1 | Aung San Hos: | 908 | 0 | 0 | 488 | 0 | 0 | 4103 | 0 | 0 | 425 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Patheingyi Hos: | 1133 | 1735 | 0 | 230 | 362 | 0 | 273 | 401 | 0 | 51 | 80 | 0 |
| 3 | East YGH | 999 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Mingalardon Hos: | 867 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 966 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | No. 1 MBH (PyinOoLw in) | 811 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 1000 bedded hospital (Naypyitaw) | 2256 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 663 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | MSF-H (Ygn) | 11557 | 0 | 0 | 1578 | 0 | 0 | 6410 | 0 | 0 | 515 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | MSF-H (Kachin) | 2684 | 0 | 0 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 2176 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | PSI | 43785 | 0 | 29394 | 4352 | 0 | 3560 | 20483 | 0 | 15525 | 1137 | 0 | 1309 |
| 10 | MSF-H (Shan-north) | 1610 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 859 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | MSF-H (Rakhine) | 2089 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 359 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | MSF-CH (Daw ei) | 836 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 524 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | MMA | 1267 | 4580 | 0 | 396 | 794 | 0 | 1423 | 3260 | 0 | 87 | 223 | 0 |
| 14 | AHRN (Shan North) Laukkai, Lashio | 1111 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 611 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Thingangyun Sanpya Hos: | 804 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Central Jail Mandalay | 574 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 284 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Medecins du monde | 211 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | New YGH | 745 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | West YGH | 652 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | Tharketa HV hospital | 675 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Insein general hospital | 1876 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Htantabin TB hospital | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | Pathein General Hospital | 1224 | 753 | 0 | 156 | 93 | 0 | 438 | 201 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| 24 | No(1) MBH (Mandalay Nantw in) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 300 bedded teaching hospital (Mdy) | 789 | 1268 | 0 | 76 | 106 | 0 | 246 | 352 | 0 | 16 | 28 | 0 |
| 26 | North Okkalapa General Hospital | 1378 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | MSF-CH (Insein Prision) | 357 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | AHRN (Kachin state) WM, PK, BM | 756 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 361 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 550 bedded child hospital (Mdy) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | Hpa-an general hospital | 459 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | Myeik general hospital | 212 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | Maw lamy ine general hospital | 554 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | Yangon Children Hospital | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Latha Dx Center | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 83191 | 8336 | 29394 | 9683 | 1355 | 3560 | 42008 | 4214 | 15525 | 2872 | 341 | 1309 |

NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME
TREATMENT OUTCOME OF NEW SMEAR POSITIVE in (2012 cohort)

TREATMENT OUTCOME OF SMEAR NEGATIVE in 2012 (2012 cohort)

NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME

Other Unit

Other Unit

Other Unit
TREATMENT OUTCOME OF EXTRA-PULMONARY TB in 2012 (2012 cohort)

| Other Unit |  | Annual 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr. | Other Unit | $E P>15$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total No. | Completed |  | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfer out |  | Total |
|  |  | Reg: pts: | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate |  |
| 1 | Aung San Hos: | 13 | 8 | 62\% | 3 | 23\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 8\% | 1 | 8\% | 13 |
| 2 | Patheingyi Hos: | 13 | 12 | 92\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 13 |
| 3 | East YGH | 12 | 11 | 92\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 12 |
| 4 | Mingalardon Hos: | 213 | 129 | 61\% | 50 | 23\% | 0 | 0\% | 28 | 13\% | 6 | 3\% | 213 |
| 5 | No. 1 MBH (Py inOoLw in) | 24 | 24 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 24 |
| 6 | 1000 bedded hospital (Naypyitaw) | 29 | 27 | 93\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 1 | 3\% | 29 |
| 7 | MSF-H (Ygn) | 348 | 275 | 79\% | 35 | 10\% | 6 | 2\% | 28 | 8\% | 4 | 1\% | 348 |
| 8 | MSF-H (Kachin) | 146 | 103 | 71\% | 24 | 16\% | 13 | 9\% | 3 | 2\% | 3 | 2\% | 146 |
| 9 | PSI | 411 | 342 | 83\% | 18 | 4\% | 2 | 0\% | 29 | 7\% | 20 | 5\% | 411 |
| 10 | MSF-H (Shan-north) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 11 | MSF-H (Rakhine) | 4 | 3 | 75\% | 1 | 25\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 |
| 12 | MSF-CH (Daw ei) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13 | MMA | 101 | 93 | 92\% | 5 | 5\% | 1 | 1\% | 2 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 101 |
| 14 | AHRN (Shan North) Laukkai, Lashio | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15 | Thingangyun Sanpya Hos: | 20 | 19 | 95\% | 1 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 20 |
| 16 | Central Jail Mandalay | 9 | 8 | 89\% | 1 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 |
| 17 | Medecins du monde | 34 | 24 | 71\% | 3 | 9\% | 5 | 15\% | 1 | 3\% | 1 | 3\% | 34 |
| 18 | New YGH | 14 | 11 | 79\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 21\% | 0 | 0\% | 14 |
| 19 | West YGH | 4 | 4 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 |
| 20 | Tharketa HV hospital | 260 | 171 | 66\% | 47 | 18\% | 1 | 0\% | 34 | 13\% | 7 | 3\% | 260 |
| 21 | Insein general hospital | 3 | 3 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 |
| 22 | Htantabin TB hospital | 11 | 11 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 11 |
| 23 | Pathein General Hospital | 72 | 41 | 57\% | 9 | 13\% | 5 | 7\% | 16 | 22\% | 1 | 1\% | 72 |
| 24 | No(1) MBH (Mandalay Nantw in) | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 |
| 25 | 300 bedded teaching hospital (Mdy) | 24 | 14 | 58\% | 5 | 21\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 17\% | 1 | 4\% | 24 |
| 26 | North Okkalapa General Hospital | 18 | 11 | 61\% | 2 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 6\% | 4 | 22\% | 18 |
| 27 | MSF-CH (Insein Prision) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 28 | A HRN (Kachin state) WM, PK, BM | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 | 550 bedded child hospital (Mdy) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 | Hpa-an general hospital | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 | Myeik general hospital | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 | Maw lamy ine general hospital | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 | Yangon Children Hospital | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 | Latha Dx Center | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Total | 1785 | 1346 | 75\% | 204 | 11\% | 33 | 2\% | 153 | 9\% | 49 | 3\% | 1785 |

TREATMENT OUTCOME OF RELAPSES in 2012 (2012 cohort)

| Other Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nnual 20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other Unit | Relapses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sr. |  | Total | Cured |  | Completed |  | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfer out |  | Total |
| No |  |  | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate |  |
| 1 | Aung San Hos: | 39 | 9 | 23\% | 1 | 3\% | 14 | 36\% | 8 | 21\% | 4 | 10\% | 3 | 8\% | 39 |
| 2 | Patheingyi Hos: | 7 | 2 | 29\% | 1 | 14\% | 1 | 14\% | 2 | 29\% | 1 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 7 |
| 3 | East YGH | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 |
| 4 | Mingalardon Hos: | 41 | 16 | 39\% | 2 | 5\% | 17 | 41\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 5\% | 4 | 10\% | 41 |
| 5 | No.1MBH (PyinOoLw in) | 16 | 9 | 56\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 19\% | 3 | 19\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 6\% | 16 |
| 6 | 1000 bedded hospital (Naypyitaw) | 5 | 1 | 20\% | 3 | 60\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 |
| 7 | MSF-H (Ygn) | 96 | 33 | 34\% | 28 | 29\% | 17 | 18\% | 4 | 4\% | 5 | 5\% | 9 | 9\% | 96 |
| 8 | MSF-H (Kachin) | 29 | 21 | 72\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 10\% | 3 | 10\% | 1 | 3\% | 1 | 3\% | 29 |
| 9 | PSI | 726 | 443 | 61\% | 106 | 15\% | 43 | 6\% | 53 | 7\% | 49 | 7\% | 32 | 4\% | 726 |
| 10 | MSF-H (Shan-north) | 10 | 5 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 30\% | 1 | 10\% | 1 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 10 |
| 11 | MSF-H (Rakhine) | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 |
| 12 | MSF-CH (Daw ei) | 52 | 40 | 77\% | 6 | 12\% | 4 | 8\% | 2 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 52 |
| 13 | MMA | 66 | 49 | 74\% | 3 | 5\% | 3 | 5\% | 9 | 14\% | 2 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 66 |
| 14 | AHRN (Shan North) Laukkai, Lashic | 12 | 5 | 42\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 8\% | 5 | 42\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 8\% | 12 |
| 15 | Thingangyun Sanpya Hos: | 9 | 8 | 89\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 |
| 16 | Central Jail Mandalay | 3 | 3 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 |
| 17 | Medecins du monde | 2 | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 |
| 18 | New YGH | 4 | 3 | 75\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 25\% | 4 |
| 19 | West YGH | 7 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 14\% | 1 | 14\% | 1 | 14\% | 3 | 43\% | 1 | 14\% | 7 |
| 20 | Tharketa HV hospital | 14 | 8 | 57\% | 1 | 7\% | 4 | 29\% | 1 | 7\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 14 |
| 21 | Insein general hospital | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 22 | Htantabin TB hospital | 9 | 4 | 44\% | 1 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 22\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 22\% | 9 |
| 23 | Pathein General Hospital | 6 | 1 | 17\% | 1 | 17\% | 2 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 17\% | 1 | 17\% | 6 |
| 24 | No(1) MBH (Mandalay Nantw in) | 4 | 3 | 75\% | 1 | 25\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 |
| 25 | 300 bedded teaching hospital (Mdy | 4 | 2 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 25\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 25\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 |
| 26 | North Okkalapa General Hospital | 21 | 8 | 38\% | 2 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 5\% | 1 | 5\% | 9 | 43\% | 21 |
| 27 | MSF-CH (Insein Prision) | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 |
| 28 | AHRN (Kachin state) WM, PK, BM | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 | 550 bedded child hospital (Mdy) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 | Hpa-an general hospital | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 | Myeik general hospital | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 32 | Maw lamyine general hospital | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 33 | Yangon Children Hospital | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 | Latha D× Center | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Total | 1185 | 676 | 57\% | 158 | 13\% | 118 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 71 | 6\% | 65 | 5\% | 1185 |

NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME
TREATMENT OUTCOME OF TREATMENT AFTER DEFAULT in (2012 cohort)

TREATMENT OUTCOME OFTREATMENT AFTER FAILURE in 2012 （Cohort）

| $\stackrel{\text { ত̄ }}{\stackrel{0}{0}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { di } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bullet$ | $\bigcirc$－ | ¢ | へ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{N} \\ \mathrm{~N} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | N | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | － | 0 | 0 | N |  |  | － | 0 |  | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \frac{0}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $0$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ |  | oo | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | o |  |  |  |  | $0$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | －0 |
| 은 | $\sim$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | － | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | N | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | － |  |  |  |  | － | 0 | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{10}{N}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} 0 \\ \hline 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \stackrel{O}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ \mathrm{~N} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{y}{c} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{y}{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{o}} \\ \stackrel{1}{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{f}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
| O | ＊ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | 아 | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 9 \\ \Gamma \end{array}$ | － |  | $\bigcirc$ | v | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | － |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{N}{+}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{y}{\sigma} \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \rho^{\circ} \\ \stackrel{1}{c} \end{array}$ | oे | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \frac{1}{r} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \dot{\sigma} \\ \dot{\tau} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{o}{\dot{o}} \\ \stackrel{y}{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{y}{n} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \text { ले } \\ \text { ले } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | $0$ |  | $0$ |  |  |  | $0$ | $0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
|  | $\underset{\sim}{0}$ |  |  | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | 0 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { O} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | ल | $\stackrel{\tau}{\Gamma}$ | $\wedge$ | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ N \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{o}{\circ} \\ ल \\ ल \end{array}$ | oㅇ | $0$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ \dot{f} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}$ | O |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \mathrm{m} \end{array}$ | $\%$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $0$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
| 은 | の |  |  | $\sim$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\stackrel{ }{-}$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | － |  |  | － |  |  |  |  | － | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline & 0 \\ 0 & \underset{\sim}{\pi} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ \circ \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { ô } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{o}{\circ} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{1}{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{O} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \text { in } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | o |  | $0$ |  |  | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ <br> $\stackrel{\circ}{+}$ |
| O | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ N \end{array}\right\|$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | N | $\infty$ | － | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | － | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{+}$ |
| $\stackrel{\widetilde{0}}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \text { oे } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | oㅇ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \circ \\ & \dot{g} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \hat{N} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 0 \\ \hline \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ \stackrel{\circ}{\wedge} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~m}} \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ 0 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | ò |  | $0$ |  |  | $0$ | $0$ | © |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
| ㅇ | $\cdots$ |  |  | ल | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | $\mathrm{N}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2} \\ \Gamma \end{array}\right\|$ | 10 |  | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & m \\ & \Gamma \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 0 |  | － |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | ल | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$－ | ¢ | N | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{N} \\ \mathrm{~N} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | N | ¢ | $\stackrel{-}{\sim}$ | － | 0 | 0 | N |  | － | 0 | 0 | － | － | － |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{\ddot{0}} \\ & \frac{0}{1} \\ & \dot{\lambda} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{\overline{0}} \\ & \frac{d}{\tilde{j}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \bar{\infty} \\ \mathrm{a} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  | $\left\|\sum_{\Sigma}^{T}\right\|$ |  | Thingangyun Sanpya Hos: |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ .0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{T}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
| $\underset{\omega}{Z}$ | － | N | $\cdots$ | － | $\bigcirc 0$ | N | $\infty$ | の | 우 | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\frac{m}{r}$ | $\underset{\pi}{\pi}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\underset{\sim}{0}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\infty$ |  | － | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{N} \mid$ | $\mathbb{N}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{N}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | $\hat{v}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{N}$ |  | － |  | लู | \％ |  |

Other Unit

| Other Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annua | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other Unit | OTHER CASES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sr . |  | Total | Cured |  | Completed |  | Died |  | Failure |  | Defaulted |  | Transfer out |  | Total |
| No |  |  | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate | No | Rate |  |
| 1 | Aung San Hos: | 102 | 7 | 7\% | 11 | 11\% | 34 | 33\% | 18 | 18\% | 20 | 20\% | 12 | 12\% | 102 |
| 2 | Patheingyi Hos: | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 |
| 3 | East YGH | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 |
| 4 | Mingalardon Hos: | 291 | 0 | 0\% | 141 | 48\% | 87 | 30\% | 1 | 0\% | 44 | 15\% | 18 | 6\% | 291 |
| 5 | No. 1 MBH (Py inOoLw in) | 15 | 0 | 0\% | 12 | 80\% | 1 | 7\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 7\% | 1 | 7\% | 15 |
| 6 | 1000 bedded hospital (Naypyitaw) | 5 | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 |
| 7 | MSF-H (Ygn) | 200 | 0 | 0\% | 135 | 68\% | 33 | 17\% | 1 | 1\% | 23 | 12\% | 8 | 4\% | 200 |
| 8 | MSF-H (Kachin) | 126 | 0 | 0\% | 82 | 65\% | 23 | 18\% | 0 | 0\% | 15 | 12\% | 6 | 5\% | 126 |
| 9 | PSI | 139 | 0 | 0\% | 109 | 78\% | 10 | 7\% | 2 | 1\% | 14 | 10\% | 4 | 3\% | 139 |
| 10 | MSF-H (Shan-north) | 48 | 0 | 0\% | 20 | 42\% | 20 | 42\% | 3 | 6\% | 3 | 6\% | 2 | 4\% | 48 |
| 11 | MSF-H (Rakhine) | 21 | 0 | 0\% | 17 | 81\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 10\% | 2 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 21 |
| 12 | MSF-CH (Daw ei) | 12 | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 75\% | 1 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 12 |
| 13 | MMA | 41 | 0 | 0\% | 34 | 83\% | 2 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 12\% | 0 | 0\% | 41 |
| 14 | AHRN (Shan North) Laukkai, Lashi¢ | 19 | 0 | 0\% | 15 | 79\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 16\% | 1 | 5\% | 19 |
| 15 | Thingangyun Sanpya Hos: | 6 | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 |
| 16 | Central Jail Mandalay | 5 | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 5 |
| 17 | Medecins du monde | 15 | 0 | 0\% | 7 | 47\% | 8 | 53\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 15 |
| 18 | New YGH | 8 | 1 | 13\% | 7 | 88\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 8 |
| 19 | West YGH | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20 | Tharketa HIV hospital | 137 | 0 | 0\% | 61 | 45\% | 43 | 31\% | 9 | 7\% | 17 | 12\% | 7 | 5\% | 137 |
| 21 | Insein general hospital | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 22 | Htantabin TB hospital | 5 | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 |
| 23 | Pathein General Hospital | 20 | 0 | 0\% | 12 | 60\% | 6 | 30\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 20 |
| 24 | No(1) MBH (Mandalay Nantw in) | 10 | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 90\% | 1 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 10 |
| 25 | 300 bedded teaching hospital (Mdy | 6 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 50\% | 1 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 33\% | 6 |
| 26 | North Okkalapa General Hospital | 12 | 0 | 0\% | 7 | 58\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 17\% | 3 | 25\% | 12 |
| 27 | MSF-CH (Insein Prision) | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 2 |
| 28 | AHRN (Kachin state) WM, PK, BM | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29 | 550 bedded child hospital (Mdy) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30 | Hpa-an general hospital | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 31 | Myeik general hospital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Maw lamyine general hospital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | Yangon Children Hospital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | Latha D× Center |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1248 | 8 | 1\% | 712 | 57\% | 271 | 22\% | 36 | 3\% | 155 | 12\% | 66 | 5\% | 1248 |

NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME

| Regions \& States | Tow nships | 1st Quarter 2013 |  |  | 2nd Quarter 2013 |  |  | 3rd Quarter 2013 |  |  | 4th Quarter 2013 |  |  | Annual 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { received } \end{gathered}$ |  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { received } \end{gathered}$ |  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { received } \end{gathered}$ |  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { received } \end{gathered}$ |  | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { received } \end{gathered}$ |
| Kachin State | 18 | 14 | 78\% | 4 | 14 | 78\% | 4 | 14 | 78\% | 4 | 12 | 67\% | 4 | 13 | 72\% | 5 |
| Kayah State | 7 | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 7 | 100\% | 0 |
| Chin State | 9 | 9 | 100\% | 0 | 9 | 100\% | 0 | 9 | 100\% | 0 | 9 | 100\% | 0 | 9 | 100\% | 0 |
| Sagaing Region | 37 | 37 | 100\% | 0 | 37 | 100\% | 0 | 37 | 100\% | 0 | 37 | 100\% | 0 | 37 | 100\% | 0 |
| Magw ay Region | 25 | 25 | 100\% | 0 | 25 | 100\% | 0 | 25 | 100\% | 0 | 25 | 100\% | 0 | 25 | 100\% | 0 |
| Mandalay Region | 28 | 28 | 100\% | 0 | 28 | 100\% | 0 | 28 | 100\% | 0 | 28 | 100\% | 0 | 28 | 100\% | 0 |
| Shan State (Taunggyi) | 21 | 21 | 100\% | 0 | 21 | 100\% | 0 | 21 | 100\% | 0 | 21 | 100\% | 0 | 21 | 100\% | 0 |
| Shan State (Kengtong) | 10 | 10 | 100\% | 0 | 10 | 100\% | 0 | 10 | 100\% | 0 | 10 | 100\% | 0 | 10 | 100\% | 0 |
| Shan State (Lashio) | 24 | 18 | 75\% | 6 | 18 | 75\% | 6 | 18 | 75\% | 6 | 18 | 75\% | 6 | 18 | 75\% | 6 |
| Kayin State | 7 | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 7 | 100\% | 0 |
| Tanintharyi Region | 10 | 10 | 100\% | 0 | 10 | 100\% | 0 | 10 | 100\% | 0 | 10 | 100\% | 0 | 10 | 100\% | 0 |
| Bago Region | 28 | 28 | 100\% | 0 | 28 | 100\% | 0 | 28 | 100\% | 0 | 28 | 100\% | 0 | 28 | 100\% | 0 |
| Mon State | 10 | 10 | 100\% | 0 | 10 | 100\% | 0 | 10 | 100\% | 0 | 10 | 100\% | 0 | 10 | 100\% | 0 |
| Rakhine State | 17 | 17 | 100\% | 0 | 17 | 100\% | 0 | 17 | 100\% | 0 | 17 | 100\% | 0 | 17 | 100\% | 0 |
| Yangon Region | 45 | 45 | 100\% | 0 | 45 | 100\% | 0 | 45 | 100\% | 0 | 45 | 100\% | 0 | 45 | 100\% | 0 |
| Ayeyarw addy Region | 26 | 26 | 100\% | 0 | 26 | 100\% | 0 | 26 | 100\% | 0 | 26 | 100\% | 0 | 26 | 100\% | 0 |
| Naypyitaw | 8 | 8 | 100\% | 0 | 8 | 100\% | 0 | 8 | 100\% | 0 | 8 | 100\% | 0 | 8 | 100\% | 0 |
| Total townships | 330 | 320 | 97\% | 10 | 320 | 97\% | 10 | 320 | 97\% | 10 | 318 | 96\% | 10 | 319 | 97\% | 11 |
|  |  |  |  | 3\% |  |  | 3\% |  |  | 3\% |  |  | 3\% |  |  | 3\% |

Annual report had not been received from (11)Tow nships
Shan (Lashio) State (6)Tsps 1. Kongyan 2. Nanphant 3.Panw ine 4.Mongmaw $\quad$ 5. Manphant 6. Pangyan
NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME (Myanmar)


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No.of Reported Tsp. \& other unit | No.of total Tsp. \& other unit | Regions/States | Populatio $n$ | estimate <br> d New $\mathrm{S}(+)$ <br> cases | Total New S(+) | Total notified TB cases | CDR <br> (NTP <br> only) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR } \\ & \text { (NTP + } \\ & \text { Other) } \end{aligned}$ | Prop: of SS(+) pul: TB cases out of All Pul: | Ratio of NSS+ to NSS(-) cases and EP cases | Ratio of NSS+ to NSS(-) cases | Sputum positivit y rate | sputum conversio n rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CR } \\ & \text { ( NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { CR } \\ \text { (NTP+ } \\ \text { Other) } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TSR } \\ \text { (NTP } \\ \text { only) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TSR } \\ \text { (NTP+ } \\ \text { Other) } \end{array}$ |
| 13 | 18 | Kachin State | 1465364 | 1539 | 1059 | 5000 | 69\% | 90\% | $33 \%$ | 0.51:1 | 0.9:1 | 14\% | 84\% | 70\% | 66\% | 82\% | 80\% |
| 7 | 7 | Kayah State | 285341 | 300 | 149 | 743 | 50\% | 51\% | 32\% | 0.46:1 | 0.9:1 | 9\% | 83\% | 73\% | 73\% | 84\% | 84\% |
| 9 | 9 | Chin State | 478958 | 503 | 142 | 1229 | 28\% | 31\% | 16\% | 0.43:1 | 0.7:1 | 1\% | 94\% | 82\% | 78\% | 93\% | 88\% |
| 37 | 37 | Sagaing Region | 5193199 | 5453 | 2357 | 6727 | 43\% | 52\% | 45\% | 0.9:1 | 1.4:1 | 9\% | 89\% | 81\% | 79\% | 90\% | 89\% |
| 25 | 25 | Magw ay Region | 4059425 | 4262 | 2102 | 6661 | 49\% | 58\% | 45\% | 0.71:1 | 1.1:1 | 14\% | 86\% | 80\% | 78\% | 88\% | 88\% |
| 28 | 28 | Mandalay Region | 5672704 | 5956 | 2982 | 9274 | 50\% | 65\% | 52\% | 0.67:1 | 1.2:1 | 9\% | 83\% | 77\% | 74\% | 86\% | 85\% |
| 21 | 21 | Shan State (Taunggyi | 2068600 | 2172 | 1056 | 3309 | 49\% | 50\% | 45\% | 0.8:1 | 1.4:1 | 10\% | 90\% | 74\% | 72\% | 86\% | 85\% |
| 10 | 10 | Shan State (Kengtong | 620054 | 669 | 559 | 1676 | 84\% | 90\% | 43\% | 0.95:1 | 1.1:1 | 18\% | 76\% | 64\% | 63\% | 82\% | 81\% |
| 18 | 24 | Shan State (Lashio) | 1849708 | 1942 | 1152 | 4469 | 59\% | 78\% | 40\% | 0.53:1 | 0.9:1 | 14\% | 81\% | 67\% | 66\% | 78\% | 77\% |
| 7 | 7 | Kayin State | 1389274 | 1459 | 1054 | 3290 | 72\% | 78\% | 38\% | 0.77:1 | 0.8:1 | 16\% | 88\% | 77\% | 77\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| 10 | 10 | Tanintharyi Region | 1301784 | 1367 | 833 | 4847 | 61\% | 72\% | 25\% | 0.42:1 | 0.6:1 | 19\% | 83\% | 70\% | 72\% | 81\% | 82\% |
| 28 | 28 | Bago Region | 4808876 | 5049 | 3378 | 12886 | 67\% | 83\% | 34\% | 0.68:1 | 0.9:1 | 17\% | 88\% | 75\% | 74\% | 88\% | 88\% |
| 10 | 10 | Mon State | 2141928 | 2249 | 1626 | 7010 | 72\% | 89\% | 29\% | 0.68:1 | 0.8:1 | 11\% | 89\% | 76\% | 75\% | 86\% | $87 \%$ |
| 17 | 17 | Rakhine State | 3213668 | 3374 | 1990 | 5284 | 59\% | 65\% | 49\% | 0.99:1 | 1.4:1 | 18\% | 80\% | 65\% | 64\% | 85\% | 84\% |
| 45 | 45 | Yangon Region | 6030053 | 10251 | 6774 | 20107 | 66\% | 109\% | 49\% | 0.79:1 | 1:1 | 33\% | 91\% | 84\% | 77\% | 88\% | 85\% |
| 26 | 26 | Ayeyarw addy Region | 6249174 | 6562 | 4435 | 13174 | 68\% | 79\% | 44\% | 0.82:1 | 1.1:1 | 18\% | 88\% | 73\% | 73\% | 87\% | $87 \%$ |
| 8 | 8 | Naypyitaw | 951852 | 999 | 697 | 2010 | 70\% | 83\% | 57\% | 0.72:1 | 1.4:1 | 20\% | 88\% | 76\% | 74\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| 319 | 330 | Regions and States | 47779962 | 54106 | 32345 | 107696 | 60\% | 78.7\% | 42\% | 0.73:2 | 1:1 | 17\% | 87\% | 76\% | 74\% | 86\% | 85\% |
| 34 | 34 | Other Units | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { not } \\ \text { available } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { available } \end{gathered}$ | 10250 | 34466 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { not } \\ \text { available } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 41\% | 0.66:1 | 0.9:1 | 12\% | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR }=66 \% \\ \text { units } \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ (other only) |  | other <br> only) |
| 353 | 364 | Country | 47796627 | 54106 | 42595 | 142162 | 60\% | 78.7\% | 41\% | 0.71:1 | 1:1 | 15\% | 85\% | 76\% | 74\% | 86\% | 85 |

NATIONAL TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAMME (Myanmar)
EV ALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS (20

| Sr.No | Township | Population | estimated New S(+) cases | Total New $\mathbf{S ( + )}$ | Total notified TB cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { + Other) } \end{aligned}$ | Prop: of SS(+) pul: TB cases out of Pul: | Ratio of NSS(+) to NSS(-) cases and EP cases | Ratio of NSS(+) to NSS(-) cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sputum } \\ & \text { positivity } \\ & \text { rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sputum } \\ \text { conversion } \\ \text { rate } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR ( NTP } \\ \text { only) } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { (NTP+O } \\ \text { ther) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSR } \\ & \text { (NTP } \\ & \text { only } \end{aligned}$ | TSR (NTP+ Other) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kachin State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Bahmo | 114270 | 120 | 86 | 754 | 72\% | 103\% | 21\% | 0.20:1 | 0.5:1 | 13\% | 97\% | 91\% | 76\% | 91\% | 86\% |
| 2 | Mansi | 74308 | 78 | 39 | 170 | 50\% | 50\% | 26\% | 0.76:1 | 1.1:1 | 17\% | 85\% | 72\% | 72\% | 93\% | 93\% |
| 3 | Momauk | 94098 | 99 | 35 | 172 | 35\% | 35\% | 32\% | 0.50:1 | 1:1 | 24\% | 86\% | 86\% | 83\% | 90\% | 91\% |
| 4 | Shwegu | 83235 | 87 | 61 | 133 | 70\% | 71\% | 80\% | 0.94:1 | 3.8:1 | 11\% | 94\% | 81\% | 78\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| 5 | Mohymin | 208386 | 219 | 121 | 314 | 55\% | 82\% | 61\% | 0.91:1 | 1.8:1 | 20\% | 78\% | 62\% | 58\% | 84\% | 85\% |
| 6 | Phakant | 163173 | 171 | 117 | 403 | 68\% | 116\% | 42\% | 1.04:1 | 1.7:1 | 16\% | 67\% | 56\% | 58\% | 72\% | 70\% |
| 7 | Mogaung | 148674 | 156 | 111 | 285 | 71\% | 83\% | 62\% | 1.63:1 | 3.1:1 | 13\% | 83\% | 78\% | 77\% | 82\% | 82\% |
| 8 | Tanai | 37977 | 40 | 68 | 183 | 171\% | 171\% | 51\% | 0.77:1 | 1:1 | 14\% | 77\% | 51\% | 51\% | 70\% | 70\% |
| 9 | Myitkyina | 237178 | 249 | 335 | 1841 | 135\% | 163\% | 29\% | 0.45:1 | 0.6:1 | 14\% | 85\% | 71\% | 68\% | 81\% | 79\% |
| 10 | Chipway | 19494 | 20 | 2 | 17 | 10\% | 10\% | 13\% | 0.33:1 | 0.5:1 | 6\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 11 | Hsawlaw | 7183 | 8 | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | N Jan Yan | 9500 | 10 | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Waingmaw | 123276 | 129 | 44 | 616 | 34\% | 114\% | 11\% | 0.16:1 | 0.3:1 | 6\% | 97\% | 67\% | 53\% | 94\% | 82\% |
| 14 | Putao | 93483 | 98 | 35 | 104 | 36\% | 40\% | 46\% | 1.09:1 | 1.5:1 | 13\% | 96\% | 70\% | 65\% | 81\% | 82\% |
| 15 | Khaunglanbu | 15532 | 16 | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Machanbaw | 8245 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 58\% | 58\% | 75\% |  |  | 23\% | 75\% |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Nogmun | 12544 | 13 | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Sumprabum | 14808 | 16 | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1465364 | 1539 | 1059 | 5000 | 69\% | 90\% | 33\% | 0.51:1 | 0.9:1 | 14\% | 84\% | 70\% | 66\% | 82\% | 80\% |


| Kayah State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bawlake | 8380 | 9 | 12 | 26 | 136\% | 136\% | 67\% | 4:1 | 12:1 | 14\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 2 | Masai | 6033 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 63\% | 63\% | 80\% | 0:1 | 0:1 | 13\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Pasaung | 35455 | 37 | 17 | 74 | 46\% | 46\% | 27\% | 0.53:1 | 0.6:1 | 23\% | 94\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 4 | Loikaw | 117966 | 124 | 65 | 490 | 52\% | 55\% | 24\% | 0.27:1 | 0.6:1 | 9\% | 79\% | 68\% | 68\% | 76\% | 76\% |
| 5 | Dimawhso | 80041 | 84 | 46 | 118 | 55\% | 55\% | 53\% | 1.28:1 | 2:1 | 8\% | 76\% | 72\% | 72\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 6 | Phruhso | 31132 | 33 | 4 | 29 | 12\% | 12\% | 33\% | 0.27:1 | 0.4:1 | 2\% | 100\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |
| 7 | Shataw | 6334 | 7 | 1 |  | 15\% | 15\% | 100\% | 0:1 | Nil | 4\% | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 285341 | 300 | 149 | 743 | 50\% | 51\% | 32\% | 0.46:1 | 0.9:1 | 9\% | 83\% | 73\% | 73\% | 84\% | 84\% |
| CHIN STATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Falam | 48383 | 51 | 14 | 83 | 28\% | 30\% | 22\% | 0.70:1 | 1.6:1 | 7\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 2 | Hakha | 42630 | 45 | 11 | 280 | 25\% | 25\% | 8\% | 0.15:1 | 0.2:1 | 2\% | 67\% | 69\% | 69\% | 77\% | 77\% |
| 3 | Htantalan | 52296 | 55 | 12 | 412 | 22\% | 22\% | 5\% | 0.20:1 | 0.2:1 | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 4 | Tiddim | 94961 | 100 | 5 | 70 | 5\% | 17\% | 10\% | 0.17:1 | 0.5:1 | 0\% | 100\% | 89\% | 68\% | 89\% | 76\% |
| 5 | Tunzan | 29400 | 31 | 7 | 47 | 23\% | 23\% | 25\% | 0.47:1 | 1.4:1 | 2\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 6 | Mindat | 44095 | 46 | 16 | 83 | 35\% | 35\% | 29\% | 0.36:1 | 0.5:1 | 1\% | 91\% | 75\% | 75\% | 83\% | 83\% |
| 7 | Kanpetlet | 21309 | 22 | 1 | 27 | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 0.06:1 | 0.1:1 | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 8 | Matupi | 52491 | 55 | 16 | 98 | 29\% | 29\% | 22\% | 0.55:1 | 1.1:1 | 2\% | 92\% | 27\% | 27\% | 93\% | 93\% |
| 9 | Paletwa | 93393 | 98 | 60 | 129 | 61\% | 61\% | 54\% | 1.33:1 | 2.1:1 | 1\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
|  | Total | 478958 | 503 | 142 | 1229 | 28\% | 31\% | 16\% | 0.43:1 | 0.7:1 | 1\% | 94\% | 82\% | 78\% | 93\% | 88\% |

EVALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS (2012-2013)

| Sr.No | Township | Population | estimated <br> New S(+) <br> cases | Total New S(+) | Total notified TB cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { CDR (NTP } \\ \text { + Other) } \end{array}$ | Prop: of SS(+) pul: TB cases out of All Pul: | Ratio of NSS(+) to NSS(-) cases and EP cases | Ratio of NSS(+) to NSS(-) cases | Sputum positivity rate | sputum conversion rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR ( NTP } \\ \text { only) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { CR } \\ \text { (NTP+O } \\ \text { ther) } \end{array}$ | TSR (NTP only) | TSR (NTP+ Other) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


EVALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS (2012-2013)

| Annex-22(Detail township data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr.No | Township | Population | estimated <br> New S(+) <br> cases | Total New $\mathrm{S}(+)$ | Total notified TB cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CDR(NTP } \\ \text { + Other) } \end{gathered}$ | Prop: of SS(+) pul: TB cases out of All Pul: | Ratio of NSS(+) to NSS(-) <br> cases and EP cases | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio of } \\ \text { NSS(+) to } \\ \text { NSS(--) } \\ \text { cases } \end{gathered}$ | Sputum positivity rate | sputum conversion rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR ( NTP } \\ \text { only) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { (NTP }+\mathrm{O} \\ \text { ther) } \end{gathered}$ | TSR <br> (NTP <br> only) | TSR <br> (NTP+ <br> Other) |
| Magway Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | MAGWE | 288319 | 303 | 259 | 861 | 86\% | 102\% | 44\% | 0.6:1 | 0.8:1 | 15\% | 84\% | 65\% | 68\% | 82\% | 84\% |
| 2 | CHAUK | 216630 | 227 | 90 | 376 | 40\% | 64\% | 34\% | 0.49:1 | 0.7:1 | 16\% | 86\% | 70\% | 71\% | 87\% | 87\% |
| 3 | TAUNGDWINGYI | 260481 | 274 | 120 | 230 | 44\% | 49\% | 67\% | 1.56:1 | 2.7:1 | 12\% | 96\% | 93\% | 90\% | 93\% | 93\% |
| 4 | MYOTHIT | 159812 | 168 | 110 | 203 | 66\% | 66\% | 76\% | 1.8:1 | 5.8:1 | 31\% | 97\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% |
| 5 | NATMAUK | 230647 | 242 | 96 | 260 | 40\% | 42\% | 54\% | 0.77:1 | 1.2:1 | 19\% | 89\% | 76\% | 75\% | 86\% | 86\% |
| 6 | YENANCHAUNG | 151417 | 159 | 104 | 300 | 65\% | 86\% | 53\% | 0.91:1 | 1.3:1 | 15\% | 84\% | 87\% | 81\% | 87\% | 86\% |
| 7 | PAKOKKU | 301866 | 317 | 331 | 829 | 104\% | 116\% | 59\% | 1.19:1 | 1.8:1 | 19\% | 83\% | 74\% | 74\% | 80\% | 81\% |
| 8 | YESAGYO | 254176 | 267 | 60 | 251 | 22\% | 24\% | 38\% | 0.45:1 | 0.8:1 | 6\% | 87\% | 87\% | 80\% | 87\% | 87\% |
| 9 | PAUK | 178441 | 187 | 62 | 141 | 33\% | 33\% | 84\% | 0.87:1 | 5.2:1 | 14\% | 100\% | 81\% | 81\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| 10 | MYAING | 254420 | 267 | 75 | 230 | 28\% | 28\% | 58\% | 0.56:1 | 1.3:1 | 9\% | 88\% | 78\% | 78\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| 11 | SEIKPHYU | 105351 | 111 | 37 | 99 | 33\% | 37\% | 53\% | 0.71:1 | 1.2:1 | 7\% | 93\% | 85\% | 82\% | 91\% | 93\% |
| 12 | GANTGAW | 131125 | 138 | 42 | 356 | 31\% | 31\% | 14\% | 0.31:1 | 0.4:1 | 13\% | 74\% | 63\% | 64\% | 92\% | 92\% |
| 13 | SAW | 69727 | 73 | 16 | 55 | 22\% | 22\% | 34\% | 0.73:1 | 0.8:1 | 10\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% |
| 14 | HTINLIN | 50848 | 53 | 5 | 34 | 9\% | 9\% | 23\% | 0.23:1 | 0.3:1 | 2\% | 100\% | 80\% | 80\% | 87\% | 87\% |
| 15 | MINBU | 172660 | 181 | 118 | 359 | 65\% | 73\% | 45\% | 0.9:1 | 1.4:1 | 13\% | 90\% | 76\% | 69\% | 79\% | 80\% |
| 16 | NGAPE | 49195 | 52 | 24 | 82 | 46\% | 46\% | 40\% | 0.63:1 | 0.9:1 | 4\% | 74\% | 82\% | 82\% | 91\% | 91\% |
| 17 | PWINTPHYU | 168625 | 177 | 67 | 158 | 38\% | 103\% | 53\% | 1.18:1 | 1.8:1 | 17\% | 86\% | 97\% | 81\% | 97\% | 99\% |
| 18 | Saytoketaya | 43246 | 45 | 18 | 71 | 40\% | 42\% | 28\% | 0.53:1 | 0.6:1 | 8\% | 79\% | 80\% | 88\% | 90\% | 94\% |
| 19 | SALIN | 265328 | 279 | 82 | 187 | 29\% | 39\% | 55\% | 0.96:1 | 1.4:1 | 11\% | 79\% | 68\% | 66\% | 93\% | 92\% |
| 20 | THAYET | 103601 | 109 | 107 | 243 | 98\% | 98\% | 55\% | 1.57:1 | 1.9:1 | 16\% | 60\% | 70\% | 71\% | 78\% | 78\% |
| 21 | MINHLA | 112425 | 118 | 61 | 199 | 52\% | 55\% | 55\% | 0.59:1 | 1.6:1 | 13\% | 91\% | 94\% | 93\% | 94\% | 94\% |
| 22 | KANMA | 72036 | 76 | 47 | 231 | 62\% | 65\% | 24\% | 0.57:1 | 0.6:1 | 22\% | 89\% | 67\% | 67\% | 70\% | 70\% |
| 23 | SINPAUNGWAE | 125181 | 131 | 48 | 151 | 37\% | 37\% | 40\% | 1:1 | 1.3:1 | 24\% | 81\% | 98\% | 96\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| 24 | MINDON | 61003 | 64 | 43 | 232 | 67\% | 67\% | 23\% | 0.43:1 | 0.5:1 | 19\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 25 | AUNGLAN | 232865 | 245 | 80 | 523 | 33\% | 41\% | 29\% | 0.23:1 | 0.6:1 | 11\% | 85\% | 83\% | 78\% | 91\% | 90\% |
|  | Total | 4059425 | 4262 | 2102 | 6661 | 49\% | 58\% | 45\% | 0.71:1 | 1.1:1 | 14\% | 86\% | 80\% | 78\% | 88\% | 88\% |

EVALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS (2012-2013)

| Sr.No | Township | Population | estimated New S(+) cases | Total New S(+) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { notified TB } \\ \text { cases } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { CDR (NTP } \\ \text { only) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CDR (NTP } \\ \text { + Other) } \end{gathered}$ | Prop: of SS(+) pul: TB cases out of All Pul: | Ratio of NSS(+) to NSS(-) cases and EP cases | Ratio of NSS( + to NSS(-) cases | Sputum positivity rate | sputum conversion rate | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mathrm{CR} \text { ( NTP } \\ \text { only) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { CR } \\ \text { (NTP+O } \\ \text { ther) } \end{array}$ | TSR (NTP only) | TSR (NTP+ Other) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandalay Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Amarapura | 189335 | 199 | 149 | 332 | 75\% | 91\% | 61\% | 1.23:1 | 1.8:1 | 10\% | 84\% | 79\% | 70\% | 91\% | 85\% |
| 2 | Aungmyaytharzan | 190665 | 200 | 173 | 602 | 86\% | 107\% | 48\% | 0.62:1 | 1.1:1 | 10\% | 85\% | 85\% | 77\% | 87\% | 86\% |
| 3 | Chanayetharzan | 140066 | 147 | 79 | 372 | 54\% | 70\% | 34\% | 0.36:1 | 0.6:1 | 6\% | 94\% | 77\% | 71\% | 90\% | 86\% |
| 4 | Chanmyatharz | 198098 | 208 | 177 | 572 | 85\% | 127\% | 51\% | 0.66:1 | 1.2:1 | 11\% | 83\% | 77\% | 72\% | 85\% | 84\% |
| 5 | Maharaungmyae | 225751 | 237 | 150 | 538 | 63\% | 87\% | 46\% | 0.51:1 | 0.9:1 | 5\% | 97\% | 92\% | 87\% | 94\% | 91\% |
| 6 | Prigyitagonn | 153683 | 161 | 118 | 455 | 73\% | 98\% | 44\% | 0.53:1 | 1:1 | 7\% | 91\% | 88\% | 80\% | 91\% | 91\% |
| 7 | Patheingyi | 190278 | 200 | 119 | 393 | 60\% | 61\% | 49\% | 0.61:1 | 1.3:1 | 7\% | 85\% | 89\% | 83\% | 90\% | 89\% |
| 8 | Meiktilar | 285370 | 300 | 182 | 561 | 61\% | 70\% | 60\% | 0.57:1 | 1.2:1 | 18\% | 77\% | 77\% | 72\% | 81\% | 80\% |
| 9 | Mahlaing | 158140 | 166 | 62 | 160 | 37\% | 39\% | 62\% | 0.86:1 | 1.9:1 | 9\% | 87\% | 83\% | 83\% | 89\% | 89\% |
| 10 | Tharzi | 209583 | 220 | 101 | 286 | 46\% | 48\% | 45\% | 0.79:1 | 1.2:1 | 14\% | 93\% | 86\% | 84\% | 88\% | 89\% |
| 11 | Wundwin | 222668 | 234 | 81 | 208 | 35\% | 44\% | 52\% | 1.29:1 | 1.9:1 | 6\% | 80\% | 72\% | 58\% | 89\% | 86\% |
| 12 | Myingan | 276252 | 290 | 152 | 503 | 52\% | 72\% | 47\% | 0.53:1 | 1:1 | 11\% | 79\% | 74\% | 74\% | 81\% | 84\% |
| 13 | Kyaukpadaung | 309476 | 325 | 117 | 333 | 36\% | 75\% | 49\% | 0.96:1 | 1.4:1 | 17\% | 86\% | 75\% | 77\% | 91\% | 90\% |
| 14 | Natogyi | 186946 | 196 | 55 | 170 | 28\% | 29\% | 44\% | 0.62:1 | 0.9:1 | 4\% | 83\% | 77\% | 71\% | 89\% | 86\% |
| 15 | Ngazun | 135377 | 142 | 50 | 193 | 35\% | 37\% | 42\% | 0.38:1 | 0.8:1 | 5\% | 85\% | 95\% | 94\% | 96\% | 95\% |
| 16 | Taungtha | 247862 | 260 | 84 | 244 | 32\% | 34\% | 49\% | 0.65:1 | 1.1:1 | 8\% | 75\% | 66\% | 61\% | 79\% | 80\% |
| 17 | NyaungU | 276848 | 291 | 132 | 425 | 45\% | 49\% | 46\% | 0.84:1 | 1.1:1 | 12\% | 86\% | 78\% | 76\% | 83\% | 83\% |
| 18 | Pyin oo Lwin | 177208 | 186 | 66 | 260 | 35\% | 38\% | 36\% | 0.68:1 | 1.2:1 | 3\% | 82\% | 83\% | 83\% | 89\% | 90\% |
| 19 | Madayar | 245432 | 258 | 101 | 405 | 39\% | 62\% | 61\% | 0.39:1 | 1.4:1 | 18\% | 69\% | 69\% | 68\% | 88\% | 86\% |
| 20 | Mogok | 171225 | 180 | 97 | 297 | 54\% | 101\% | 60\% | 0.66:1 | 1.6:1 | 17\% | 65\% | 59\% | 64\% | 65\% | 77\% |
| 21 | Sintgu | 145252 | 153 | 127 | 282 | 83\% | 120\% | $71 \%$ | 1.19:1 | 2:1 | 17\% | 90\% | 66\% | 73\% | 82\% | 85\% |
| 22 | Thabeikkyin | 127343 | 134 | 80 | 174 | 60\% | 121\% | 78\% | 1.4:1 | 3.1:1 | 22\% | 66\% | 48\% | 54\% | 78\% | 79\% |
| 23 | Yamethin | 246847 | 259 | 90 | 355 | 35\% | 35\% | 47\% | 0.44:1 | 1:1 | 16\% | 82\% | 52\% | 51\% | 75\% | 74\% |
| 24 | Pyawbwei | 268927 | 282 | 153 | 309 | 54\% | 55\% | 80\% | 1.33:1 | 5.3:1 | 22\% | 77\% | 75\% | 75\% | 81\% | 81\% |
| 25 | Kyaukse | 233901 | 246 | 119 | 269 | 48\% | 62\% | 62\% | 1.16:1 | 2.4:1 | 12\% | 80\% | 87\% | 86\% | 88\% | 87\% |
| 26 | Myitha | 189669 | 199 | 67 | 228 | 34\% | 42\% | 46\% | 0.46:1 | 0.8:1 | 11\% | 85\% | 72\% | 59\% | 86\% | 84\% |
| 27 | Sintgine | 130557 | 137 | 58 | 199 | 42\% | 42\% | 43\% | 0.82:1 | 1.3:1 | 11\% | 82\% | 60\% | 60\% | 87\% | 87\% |
| 28 | TadaOo | 139945 | 147 | 43 | 149 | 29\% | 39\% | 60\% | 0.52:1 | 1.6:1 | 2\% | 88\% | 84\% | 78\% | 87\% | 82\% |
|  | Total | 5672704 | 5956 | 2982 | 9274 | 50\% | 65\% | 52\% | 0.67:1 | 1.2:1 | 9\% | 83\% | 77\% | 74\% | 86\% | 85\% |

EV ALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS (2012-2013)

| Sr.No | Township | Population | estimated <br> New S(+) <br> cases | Total New S(+) | Total notified TB cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | CDR (NTP <br> + Other) | Prop: of SS(+) pul: TB cases out of All Pul: | Ratio of NSS(+) to NSS(-) cases and EP cases | Ratio of NSS(+) to NSS(-) cases | Sputum positivity rate | sputum conversion rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CR ( NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { (NTP+O } \\ \text { ther) } \end{gathered}$ | TSR (NTP only) | TSR (NTP+ Other) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shan State (Taunggyi) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Linhkay | 37478 | 39 | 27 | 72 | 69\% | 69\% | 46\% | 0.87:1 | 0.9:1 | 9\% | 100\% | 82\% | 82\% | 82\% | 82\% |
| 2 | Maukme | 27071 | 28 | 15 | 25 | 53\% | 53\% | 78\% | 7.5:1 | 15:1 | 41\% | 91\% | 90\% | 90\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 3 | Monai | 24350 | 26 | 16 | 70 | 63\% | 63\% | 28\% | 1.07:1 | 1.5:1 | 10\% | 100\% | 84\% | 84\% | 89\% | 89\% |
| 4 | Mangpang | 16815 | 18 | 8 | 26 | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 0.62:1 | 1:1 | 6\% | 100\% | 91\% | 91\% | 91\% | 91\% |
| 5 | Loilem | 112509 | 118 | 23 | 201 | 19\% | 30\% | 14\% | 0.43:1 | 0.7:1 | 5\% | 100\% | 88\% | 67\% | 94\% | 83\% |
| 6 | Kunhein | 58132 | 61 | 46 | 122 | 75\% | 75\% | 48\% | 1.21:1 | 1.4:1 | 15\% | 97\% | 78\% | 78\% | 91\% | 91\% |
| 7 | Kyeethi | 37013 | 39 | 8 | 77 | 21\% | 21\% | 17\% | 0.12:1 | 0.2:1 | 33\% | 60\% | 25\% | 25\% | 75\% | 75\% |
| 8 | Laikha | 45145 | 47 | 46 | 223 | 97\% | 97\% | 22\% | 1.31:1 | 1.5:1 | 12\% | 91\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 9 | Mongaking | 88264 | 93 | 22 | 44 | 24\% | 25\% | 66\% | 1.69:1 | 2:1 | 21\% | 56\% | 62\% | 62\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 10 | Mongshu | 52703 | 55 | 51 | 158 | 92\% | 92\% | 44\% | 0.77:1 | 1.8:1 | 38\% | 100\% | 91\% | 91\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 11 | Namsan | 85092 | 89 | 44 | 247 | 49\% | 49\% | 23\% | 0.48:1 | 0.6:1 | 19\% | 91\% | 34\% | 34\% | 94\% | 94\% |
| 12 | Taunggyi | 345305 | 363 | 175 | 673 | 48\% | 48\% | 45\% | 0.46:1 | 0.9:1 | 12\% | 85\% | 55\% | 55\% | 68\% | 68\% |
| 13 | Hopone | 97736 | 103 | 43 | 97 | 42\% | 44\% | 62\% | 1.39:1 | 2.7:1 | 8\% | 81\% | 60\% | 60\% | 67\% | 67\% |
| 14 | Hpekon | 96546 | 101 | 47 | 100 | 46\% | 46\% | 59\% | 2.76:1 | 7.8:1 | 8\% | 91\% | 86\% | 86\% | 97\% | 97\% |
| 15 | Hsiseng | 145785 | 153 | 59 | 161 | 39\% | 39\% | 52\% | 0.78:1 | 1.2:1 | 12\% | 93\% | 79\% | 79\% | 88\% | 88\% |
| 16 | Kalaw | 156542 | 164 | 74 | 226 | 45\% | 46\% | 55\% | 0.75:1 | 1.5:1 | 7\% | 75\% | 79\% | 79\% | 81\% | 81\% |
| 17 | Lauksauk | 132744 | 139 | 60 | 228 | 43\% | 43\% | 49\% | 0.44:1 | 1:1 | 7\% | 90\% | 75\% | 75\% | 77\% | 77\% |
| 18 | Pindaya | 79021 | 83 | 58 | 85 | 70\% | 70\% | 75\% | 9.67:1 | 11.6:1 | 10\% | 92\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| 19 | Pinlaung | 170983 | 180 | 146 | 229 | 81\% | 81\% | 79\% | 2.47:1 | 7:1 | 14\% | 97\% | 81\% | 81\% | 92\% | 92\% |
| 20 | Nyaungs hwe | 180290 | 189 | 70 | 189 | 37\% | 39\% | 53\% | 0.96:1 | 2.1:1 | 10\% | 87\% | 95\% | 89\% | 95\% | 89\% |
| 21 | Ywangan | 79076 | 83 | 18 | 56 | 22\% | 22\% | 37\% | 0.75:1 | 0.9:1 | 5\% | 92\% | 79\% | 79\% | 79\% | 79\% |
|  | Total | 2068600 | 2172 | 1056 | 3309 | 49\% | 50\% | 45\% | 0.8:1 | 1.4:1 | 10\% | 90\% | 74\% | 72\% | 86\% | 85\% |


EVALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS (2012-2013)

| Annex-22(Detail township data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr.No | Township | Population | estimated <br> New S(+) <br> cases | Total New $S(+)$ | Total notified TB cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { + Other) } \end{aligned}$ | Prop: of SS(+) pul: <br> TB cases out of All Pul: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio of } \\ \text { NSS(+) } \\ \text { to NSS(-) } \\ \text { cases } \\ \text { and EP } \\ \text { cases } \end{gathered}$ | Ratio of NSS(+) to NSS(-) cases |  | sputum conversion rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR ( NTP } \\ \text { only) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { (NTP+O } \\ \text { ther) } \end{gathered}$ | TSR (NTP only) | TSR (NTP+ <br> Other) |


|  | Shan State (Lashio) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kunlon | 61814 | 65 | 32 | 126 | 49\% | 51\% | 36\% | 0.65:1 | 0.7:1 | 11\% | 94\% | 79\% | 79\% | 79\% | 79\% |
| 2 | Hopan | 25374 | 27 | 60 | 210 | 225\% | 229\% | 40\% | 1.33:1 | 3.2:1 | 24\% | 91\% | 91\% | 91\% | 93\% | 93\% |
| 3 | Kyaukme | 171355 | 180 | 196 | 430 | 109\% | 131\% | 70\% | 1:1 | 2.2:1 | 27\% | 82\% | 82\% | 83\% | 87\% | 89\% |
| 4 | Hsipaw | 165143 | 173 | 120 | 381 | 69\% | 91\% | 62\% | 0.59:1 | 2.2:1 | 10\% | 85\% | 97\% | 94\% | 97\% | 95\% |
| 5 | Mabein | 36058 | 38 | 11 | 57 | 29\% | 29\% | 27\% | 0.31:1 | 0.4:1 | 24\% | 100\% | 69\% | 69\% | 88\% | 88\% |
| 6 | Manton | 43438 | 46 | 7 | 14 | 15\% | 15\% | 62\% | 7:1 | 7:1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Mongmeik | 59384 | 62 | 71 | 105 | 114\% | 119\% | 88\% | 3.55:1 | 6.5:1 | 21\% | 79\% | 54\% | 54\% | 71\% | 73\% |
| 8 | Namtu | 49147 | 52 | 37 | 187 | $72 \%$ | 74\% | 27\% | 0.39:1 | 0.5:1 | 23\% | 68\% | 54\% | 52\% | 75\% | 72\% |
| 9 | Nyaungcho | 129853 | 136 | 38 | 114 | 28\% | 29\% | 46\% | 0.9:1 | 1.5:1 | 6\% | 82\% | 86\% | 80\% | 86\% | 85\% |
| 10 | Lashio | 285706 | 300 | 203 | 1108 | 68\% | 135\% | 29\% | 0.42:1 | 0.5:1 | 15\% | 78\% | 53\% | 57\% | 59\% | 65\% |
| 11 | Namsam | 75830 | 80 | 10 | 32 | 13\% | 14\% | 38\% | 0.63:1 | 0.8:1 | 6\% | 67\% | 62\% | 62\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 12 | Mongmaw | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Theinni | 52647 | 55 | 54 | 203 | 98\% | 101\% | 30\% | 0.6:1 | 0.7:1 | 17\% | 93\% | 87\% | 85\% | 87\% | 85\% |
| 14 | Mongreh | 49084 | 52 | 22 | 96 | 43\% | 47\% | 25\% | 0.61:1 | 0.6:1 | 8\% | 94\% | 48\% | 48\% | 93\% | 93\% |
| 15 | Manphant | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Pangyan | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Narphant | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Panwaing | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Tanyan | 127576 | 134 | 61 | 215 | 46\% | 68\% | 52\% | 0.53:1 | 1.1:1 | 7\% | 82\% | 48\% | 47\% | 82\% | 79\% |
| 20 | Laukkai | 79084 | 83 | 41 | 328 | 49\% | 177\% | 19\% | 0.17:1 | 0.2:1 | 15\% | 69\% | 45\% | 50\% | 59\% | 60\% |
| 21 | Kongyan | Nr . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Muse | 148388 | 156 | 93 | 264 | 60\% | 85\% | 62\% | 0.75:1 | 1.8:1 | 12\% | 71\% | 47\% | 59\% | 68\% | 74\% |
| 23 | Kuitai | 182021 | 191 | 56 | 403 | 29\% | 32\% | 40\% | 0.18:1 | 0.5:1 | 16\% | 73\% | 38\% | 37\% | 67\% | 65\% |
| 24 | Namkham | 107806 | 113 | 40 | 196 | 35\% | 36\% | 26\% | 0.49:1 | 0.5:1 | 9\% | 86\% | 67\% | 67\% | 78\% | 78\% |
|  | Total | 1849708 | 1942 | 1152 | 4469 | 59\% | 78\% | 40\% | 0.53:1 | 0.9:1 | 14\% | 81\% | 67\% | 66\% | 78\% | 77\% |

*Note* (Nr.) Report had not been received from townships
EVALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS (2012-2013)

|  |  | Annex-22(Detail township data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr.No | Township | Population | estimated <br> New S(+) <br> cases | Total New $S(+)$ | Total notified TB cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CDR (NTP } \\ + \text { Other) } \end{gathered}$ | Prop: of SS(+) pul: TB cases out of All Pul: | Ratio of NSS(+) <br> to NSS(-) <br> cases <br> and EP <br> cases | Ratio of NSS(+) to NSS(-) cases | Sputum positivity rate | sputum conversion rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CR(NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { CR } \\ \text { (NTP+0 } \\ \text { ther) } \end{array}$ | TSR <br> (NTP <br> only) | TSR (NTP+ Other) |


| 1 | Kawkareik | 252061 | 265 | 141 | 443 | $53 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $1.05: 1$ | $1.3: 1$ | $21 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | Kyainseikky | 178031 | 187 | 79 | 217 | $42 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $0.9: 1$ | $1.1: 1$ | $31 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| 3 | Myawady | 117269 | 123 | 185 | 495 | $150 \%$ | $175 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $1.05: 1$ | $1.3: 1$ | $19 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| 4 | Hpa-an | 430180 | 452 | 385 | 1331 | $85 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $0.54: 1$ | $0.6: 1$ | $15 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 5 | Haingbwe | 271548 | 285 | 211 | 529 | $74 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $1.22: 1$ | $1.3: 1$ | $11 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| 6 | Papun(Kamamaung) | 43936 | 46 | 36 | 136 | $78 \%$ | $102 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $0.62: 1$ | $0.6: 1$ | $24 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| 7 | Thandaung | 96249 | 101 | 17 | 139 | $17 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $0.68: 1$ | $0.9: 1$ | $15 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 3 8 9 2 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 7 : 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 : 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ |


| 1 | Dawei | 132935 | 140 | 124 | 609 | 89\% | 145\% | 28\% | 0.66:1 | 0.8:1 | 12\% | 79\% | 75\% | 79\% | 80\% | 82\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Launglon | 138021 | 145 | 86 | 386 | 59\% | 62\% | 34\% | 0.74:1 | 1.1:1 | 4\% | 83\% | 80\% | 80\% | 82\% | 82\% |
| 3 | Thayetchaung | 119812 | 126 | 54 | 221 | 43\% | 47\% | 35\% | 0.74:1 | 1:1 | 10\% | 78\% | 69\% | 70\% | 78\% | 80\% |
| 4 | Yebyu | 124951 | 131 | 46 | 206 | 35\% | 36\% | 28\% | 0.53:1 | 0.6:1 | 7\% | 97\% | 83\% | 83\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| 5 | Kawthaung | 98293 | 103 | 104 | 663 | 101\% | 114\% | 21\% | 0.39:1 | 0.5:1 | 14\% | 82\% | 55\% | 58\% | 82\% | 81\% |
| 6 | Bokpyin | 51554 | 54 | 43 | 179 | 79\% | 91\% | 36\% | 0.48:1 | 1:1 | 25\% | $75 \%$ | 63\% | 65\% | 65\% | 70\% |
| 7 | Myeik | 252659 | 265 | 246 | 1522 | 93\% | 107\% | 24\% | 0.31:1 | 0.5:1 | 50\% | 84\% | 72\% | 71\% | 83\% | 84\% |
| 8 | Kyunsu | 145321 | 153 | 16 | 48 | 10\% | 11\% | 50\% | 1.07:1 | 1.3:1 | 2\% | 100\% | 90\% | 88\% | 90\% | 91\% |
| 9 | Tanintharyi | 100497 | 106 | 57 | 119 | 54\% | 54\% | 64\% | 1.73:1 | 2.7:1 | 24\% | 80\% | 78\% | 76\% | 78\% | 76\% |
| 10 | Palaw | 137741 | 145 | 57 | 894 | 39\% | 41\% | 11\% | 0.17:1 | 0.3:1 | 11\% | 88\% | 56\% | 55\% | 76\% | 75\% |
|  | Total | 1301784 | 1367 | 833 | 4847 | 61\% | 72\% | 25\% | 0.42:1 | 0.6:1 | 19\% | 83\% | 70\% | 72\% | 81\% | 82\% |

EVALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS（2012－2013）

| Sr．No | Township | Population | estimated New S（＋） cases | Total New S（＋） | Total notified TB cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { CDR (NTP } \\ \text { + Other) } \end{array}$ | Prop：of SS（＋）pul： TB cases out of All Pul： | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Ratio of } \\ \text { NSS(+) } \\ \text { to } N S S(-) \\ \text { cases } \\ \text { and EP } \\ \text { cases } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio of } \\ \text { NSS(+) to } \\ \text { NSS (-) } \\ \text { cases } \end{gathered}$ | Sputum positivity rate | conversion rate | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { CR ( NTP } \\ \text { only } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { CR } \\ \text { (NTP+O } \\ \text { ther) } \end{array}$ | TSR <br> （NTP <br> only） | TSR <br> （NTP＋ <br> Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\infty$ | ৯i | ò | $\frac{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\frac{\circ}{6}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 20 \\ \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\vdots}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ |  |  |  | $\infty$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ \hline \sim \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 9 \\ & \hline 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\mid \stackrel{N}{\infty}$ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\infty$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\infty$ | $\frac{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\infty$ | oे | $\frac{0}{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}$ | oे | লু | $\infty$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline-\infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | ুे | $\frac{\circ}{\circ}$ | ৪o | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | ০০ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline-\infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ò | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\frac{\circ}{\circ}$ | 厄े |  |
| な노 | $5$ | $8$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \hline \infty \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \hline 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{4} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | o | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\lambda} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\frac{\circ}{\circ}$ | \|옷 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\infty$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$ | $\frac{0}{2}$ | $$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{0}{\infty} \\ \hline \infty \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \frac{0}{\infty} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 20 \\ \stackrel{\circ}{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{n}{n} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | oㅇ |  |
| n | $8$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{20}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{o}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{c} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \circ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ळo | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \circ \\ & \hline 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{6}$ | $\stackrel{8}{9}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \frac{\circ}{\infty} \\ \hline \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{O}}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\infty$ | ò | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \infty \\ \hline \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \circ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\infty$ |  | ০০ | $\frac{0}{\infty}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\prime} \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  | ఠో | $0$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $8$ | oo | oे | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{9} \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$ | $1 \%$ | ó | $\frac{\circ}{\sigma}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\frac{\circ}{\sigma}$ | $\varnothing$ |  |
| సे | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\frac{\circ}{-1}$ | $9$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ | $10$ | ஃ' | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \infty \\ \hline 1 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{N}}$ | in | $\frac{\circ}{\sim}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 08 \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ুे | $6$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ | ஃㅇ | $\infty$ | לㅇ | $\|\stackrel{\circ}{c}\|$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{ }$ | $\div$ | 人े | iे |  |
| $\infty$ | Fó | $\left[\begin{array}{l} \dot{0} \\ 0 \end{array}\right]$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \dot{\mathrm{O}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\dot{c}}{\dot{0}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{f}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{F}{\dot{f}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \mid \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{-} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\ddot{\square}$ |  | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{6}$ | $\stackrel{7}{\square}$ | 둥 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\grave{C}}$ | $\overline{6}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{C}}}{-}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \dot{0} \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | － | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|} \hline \cdot \dot{O} \\ \hline 0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\bar{\square}$ | $\dot{\hat{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{C}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{م}{1}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\substack{\dot{\infty} \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\bar{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\dot{C}}{\dot{\hat{0}}}$ | $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l} \dot{0} \\ 6 \\ 0 \end{array}\right.\right]$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \overline{\bar{C}} \\ 0 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \dot{0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{6} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{9}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c} \dot{\mathrm{N}} \end{array}\right.\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l} \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \end{array}\right.$ | $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l} \dot{0} \\ \dot{0} \end{array}\right.\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\bar{C}} \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \overline{0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{g}}}{\dot{\circ}} \mathbf{}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{~}}}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\circ}$ | $\bar{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\check{c}}$ | بَ0 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\grave{N}}{\hat{N}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \\ \infty \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \dot{\infty} \\ \infty \\ 0 \end{array}\right]$ | $\dot{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\mathrm{y}} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \dot{O} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | بِّبِ |  |
|  | ল্লি | $8$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{0}}{\stackrel{\text { ch}}{ }}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\frac{0}{9}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $81$ | $\mid \infty$ | © | $\frac{\circ}{2}$ | O- |  |  | $\frac{\circ}{\frac{\circ}{\sigma}}$ | $\frac{\circ}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\circ}{5}$ | $\frac{\circ}{2}$ | $$ | $\frac{\circ}{\mathrm{o}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\|\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}\|$ | $\circ$ | O잉 | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \circ \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Bo |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 守 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\dot{8}$ | 告 | $\underset{\sim}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{+}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ঃi | $0$ | $\frac{0}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ©ั | 융 | $\frac{0}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{O}}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $18$ | $\frac{\circ}{7}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 6 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{9} \\ \stackrel{c}{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$ | $\frac{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\|\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}\|$ | $\infty$ | $\div$ | $1 \circ$ | $\|\stackrel{m}{\mathbf{m}}\|$ | $\mid \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $6$ | $\mid \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\infty$ | $\mid \infty$ | $\infty$ | $\mid \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 㖞 |  | $\text { ơ } 1$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  |  |





|  | lago | 428626 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Daik－U | 201612 |
| 3 | Kawa | 208983 |
| 4 | Kyauktaga | 207761 |
| 5 | Nyaunglaybin | 259856 |
| 6 | Shwekyin | 20845 |
| 7 | Thanatpin | 159274 |
| 8 | Waw | 229239 |
| 9 | Taunggoo | 198795 |
| 10 | Kyaukkyi | 278684 |
| 11 | Oktwin | 159549 |
| 12 | Phyu | 110162 |
| 13 | Htantabin | 119576 |
| 14 | Yedashe | 151995 |
| 15 | Pyay | 185420 |
| 16 | Paukkhaung | 124401 |
| 17 | Paungde | 115164 |
| 18 | Padaung | 126460 |
| 19 | Shwedaung | 128331 |
| 20 | Thegon | 167003 |
| 21 | Tharyarwady | 69251 |
| 22 | Zigon | 217359 |
| 23 | Minhla | 116715 |
| 24 | Moenyo | 139151 |
| 25 | Okpo | 128340 |
| 26 | Gyobingauk | 129515 |
| 27 | Nattalin | 135322 |
| 28 | Latpadan | 4808876 |
|  | Total |  |
|  |  |  |

EVALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS (2012-2013)


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\frac{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\varnothing$ | ㅇㅇ | 앙 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\infty$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ó | $\frac{\circ}{6}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}$ | $\frac{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \circ \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ঃঃ | $\infty$ | $\frac{0}{6}$ |  |  |


EVALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS (2012-2013)

EVALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS (2012-2013)

| Sr.No | Township | Population | estimated New S(+) cases | Total New S(+) | Total notified TB cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | CDR (NTP + Other) | Prop: of SS(+) pul: TB cases out of All Pul: | Ratio of NSS(+) <br> to NSS(-) cases and EP cases | Ratio of NSS(+) to NSS(-) cases | Sputum positivity rate | sputum conversion rate | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { CR ( NTP } \\ \text { only) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR } \\ \text { (NTP+0 } \\ \text { ther) } \end{gathered}$ | TSR <br> (NTP <br> only) | TSR <br> (NTP+ Other) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | SEIKKYIKANAUNG'T | 31811 | 54 | 44 | 125 | 81\% | 82\% | 49\% | 0.79:1 | 1:1 |  | 91\% | 55\% | 55\% | 87\% | 87\% |
| 2 | DALLAH | 156364 | 266 | 127 | 528 | 48\% | 98\% | 38\% | 0.42:1 | 0.6:1 | 15\% | 92\% | 70\% | 62\% | 80\% | 75\% |
| 3 | CoCo Gyun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | KAWHMU | 123992 | 211 | 51 | 183 | 24\% | 54\% | 39\% | 0.72:1 | 0.9:1 | 5\% | 97\% | 93\% | 81\% | 93\% | 89\% |
| 5 | KYAUKTAN | 166068 | 282 | 109 | 328 | 39\% | 44\% | 40\% | 0.77:1 | 0.9:1 | 10\% | 88\% | 71\% | 75\% | 91\% | 92\% |
| 6 | KUNGGANGONE | 116147 | 197 | 100 | 226 | 51\% | 63\% | 53\% | 1.56:1 | 1.9:1 | 10\% | 91\% | 81\% | 82\% | 89\% | 91\% |
| 7 | KAYAN | 170290 | 289 | 122 | 295 | 42\% | 54\% | 53\% | 1.42:1 | 2.1:1 | 23\% | 99\% | 88\% | 84\% | 93\% | 91\% |
| 8 | TWANTAY | 216388 | 368 | 160 | 460 | 43\% | 74\% | 50\% | 1.08:1 | 1.6:1 | 9\% | 92\% | 77\% | 75\% | 88\% | 86\% |
| 9 | THONGWA | 161800 | 275 | 140 | 269 | 51\% | 57\% | 66\% | 1.57:1 | 2.4:1 | 13\% | 89\% | 88\% | 80\% | 89\% | 82\% |
| 10 | THANLYIN | 192995 | 328 | 340 | 806 | 104\% | 150\% | 57\% | 1.07:1 | 1.4:1 | 24\% | 91\% | 80\% | 75\% | 87\% | 84\% |
|  | Total | 1335855 | 2271 | 1193 | 3220 | 53\% | 77\% | 50\% | 0.93:1 | 1.3:1 | 13\% | 92\% | 78\% | 74\% | 88\% | 85\% |
| North District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | MINGALADON | 189968 | 323 | 382 | 1109 | 118\% | 179\% | 52\% | 0.79:1 | 0.9:1 | 19\% | 83\% | 87\% | 83\% | 88\% | 86\% |
| 2 | SHWEPYITHA | 240886 | 410 | 252 | 753 | 62\% | 124\% | 48\% | 0.73:1 | 0.9:1 | 19\% | 88\% | 77\% | 75\% | 86\% | 87\% |
| 3 | HLAINGTHAYAR | 396124 | 673 | 606 | 1899 | 90\% | 190\% | 42\% | 0.71:1 | 0.8:1 | 18\% | 97\% | 93\% | 73\% | 93\% | 78\% |
| 4 | INSEIN | 238928 | 406 | 364 | 1117 | 90\% | 149\% | 48\% | 0.66:1 | 0.8:1 | 20\% | 94\% | 89\% | 81\% | 92\% | 88\% |
| 5 | TAIKKYI | 244769 | 416 | 253 | 839 | 61\% | 101\% | 42\% | 0.74:1 | 0.8:1 | 19\% | 85\% | 80\% | 73\% | 86\% | 88\% |
| 6 | HTANTABIN | 126131 | 214 | 97 | 238 | 45\% | 49\% | 53\% | 0.98:1 | 1.4:1 | 33\% | 100\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% |
| 7 | HMAWBI | 191920 | 326 | 195 | 551 | 60\% | 90\% | 45\% | 0.97:1 | 1.2:1 | 15\% | 87\% | 84\% | 71\% | 92\% | 85\% |
| 8 | HLEGU | 199432 | 339 | 95 | 488 | 28\% | 56\% | 28\% | 0.43:1 | 0.5:1 | 7\% | 100\% | 97\% | 81\% | 97\% | 89\% |
|  | U.T.I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 18\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NTP( Diagnostic C) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |  |  | 10\% | 0:1 | 0:1 | 13\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1828158 | 3108 | 2244 | 7005 | 72\% | 128\% | 45\% | 0.72:1 | 0.8:1 | 16\% | 91\% | 87\% | 77\% | 90\% | 84\% |
|  | Yangon Region | 6030053 | 10251 | 6774 | 20107 | 66\% | 109\% | 49\% | 0.79:1 | 1:1 | 33\% | 91\% | 84\% | 77\% | 88\% | 85\% |

EVALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS (2012-2013)

| Annex-22(Detail township data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr.No | Township | Population | estimated <br> New S(+) cases | Total New $S(+)$ | Total notified TB cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { + Other) } \end{aligned}$ | Prop: of SS(+) pul: TB cases out of All Pul: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio of } \\ \text { NSS(+) } \\ \text { to NSS(-) } \\ \text { cases } \\ \text { and EP } \\ \text { cases } \end{gathered}$ | Ratio of NSS(+) to NSS(-) cases | Sputum positivity rate | sputum conversion rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR ( NTP } \\ \text { only) } \end{gathered}$ |  | TSR (NTP only) | TSR (NTP+ Other) |


| 1 | Pathein | 298227 | 313 | 441 | 1390 | 141\% | 173\% | 41\% | 0.79:1 | 1:1 | 20\% | 84\% | 68\% | 69\% | 87\% | 86\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Kangyidaung | 170061 | 179 | 106 | 314 | 59\% | 65\% | 46\% | 0.87:1 | 1.2:1 | 16\% | 96\% | 83\% | 79\% | 93\% | 91\% |
| 3 | Yekyi | 196496 | 206 | 154 | 578 | 75\% | 76\% | 37\% | 0.52:1 | 0.8:1 | 17\% | 90\% | 62\% | 62\% | 93\% | 93\% |
| 4 | Kyaunggon | 166406 | 175 | 137 | 314 | 78\% | 81\% | 59\% | 1.41:1 | 2.7:1 | 17\% | 94\% | 78\% | 78\% | 91\% | 92\% |
| 5 | Kyonpyaw | 256056 | 269 | 168 | 443 | 62\% | 68\% | 62\% | 0.79:1 | 1.6:1 | 19\% | 92\% | 65\% | 65\% | 96\% | 96\% |
| 6 | Ngaputaw | 308535 | 324 | 188 | 609 | 58\% | 59\% | 38\% | 0.87:1 | 1.1:1 | 20\% | 93\% | 74\% | 74\% | 90\% | 89\% |
| 7 | Thabaung | 153137 | 161 | 128 | 480 | 80\% | 81\% | 35\% | 1.01:1 | 1.3:1 | 15\% | 86\% | 70\% | 69\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| 8 | Hinhada | 366960 | 385 | 353 | 1065 | 92\% | 107\% | 39\% | 0.67:1 | 0.8:1 | 20\% | 91\% | 88\% | 84\% | 92\% | 92\% |
| 9 | Kyankin | 96729 | 102 | 59 | 286 | 58\% | 62\% | 26\% | 0.45:1 | 0.7:1 | 10\% | 96\% | 84\% | 84\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| 10 | Myanaung | 222740 | 234 | 130 | 726 | 56\% | 57\% | 25\% | 0.35:1 | 0.6:1 | 20\% | 89\% | 70\% | 72\% | 88\% | 89\% |
| 11 | Ingapu | 213652 | 224 | 148 | 408 | 66\% | 81\% | 43\% | 0.91:1 | 1:1 | 16\% | 90\% | 75\% | 72\% | 84\% | 84\% |
| 12 | Zalun | 180592 | 190 | 90 | 476 | 47\% | 51\% | 23\% | 0.5:1 | 0.5:1 | 15\% | 89\% | 46\% | 46\% | 71\% | 71\% |
| 13 | Laymtethna | 107852 | 113 | 81 | 180 | 72\% | 72\% | 51\% | 1.21:1 | 1.4:1 | 18\% | 81\% | 79\% | 79\% | 89\% | 89\% |
| 14 | Myaungmya | 281396 | 295 | 226 | 839 | 76\% | 87\% | 38\% | 0.54:1 | 0.8:1 | 15\% | 88\% | 70\% | 69\% | 79\% | 80\% |
| 15 | Laputta | 328446 | 345 | 203 | 578 | 59\% | 60\% | 47\% | 1.03:1 | 1.4:1 | 17\% | 88\% | 70\% | 70\% | 83\% | 84\% |
| 16 | Mawgyun | 310977 | 327 | 175 | 406 | 54\% | 70\% | 52\% | 1.37:1 | 2.2:1 | 16\% | 81\% | 85\% | 82\% | 87\% | 87\% |
| 17 | Wakema | 295634 | 310 | 127 | 285 | 41\% | 76\% | 58\% | 1.38:1 | 2:1 | 12\% | 90\% | 64\% | 67\% | 90\% | 88\% |
| 18 | Einme | 201407 | 211 | 161 | 424 | 76\% | 79\% | 44\% | 1.07:1 | 1.3:1 | 13\% | 87\% | 73\% | 73\% | 83\% | 83\% |
| 19 | Pyapon | 313642 | 329 | 259 | 714 | 79\% | 94\% | 46\% | 0.9:1 | 1.2:1 | 18\% | 91\% | 78\% | 79\% | 81\% | 82\% |
| 20 | Bogalay | 341121 | 358 | 209 | 457 | 58\% | 75\% | 64\% | 1.13:1 | 1.8:1 | 18\% | 87\% | 75\% | 73\% | 82\% | 81\% |
| 21 | Dedaye | 214181 | 225 | 65 | 207 | 29\% | 38\% | 36\% | 0.61:1 | 0.6:1 | 13\% | 79\% | 46\% | 51\% | 88\% | 89\% |
| 22 | Kyaiklatt | 207427 | 218 | 89 | 340 | 41\% | 51\% | 31\% | 0.67:1 | 0.8:1 | 18\% | 89\% | 58\% | 60\% | 91\% | 90\% |
| 23 | Maubin | 347196 | 365 | 224 | 660 | 61\% | 61\% | 56\% | 0.57:1 | 1.1:1 | 24\% | 90\% | 66\% | 65\% | 86\% | 86\% |
| 24 | Nyaungdon | 221135 | 232 | 155 | 313 | 67\% | 84\% | 63\% | 1.8:1 | 3.2:1 | 29\% | 92\% | 94\% | 93\% | 94\% | 94\% |
| 25 | Pantanaw | 262339 | 275 | 205 | 368 | 74\% | 93\% | 61\% | 1.85:1 | 2.1:1 | 25\% | 78\% | 60\% | 65\% | 86\% | 87\% |
| 26 | Danuphyu | 186830 | 196 | 154 | 314 | 79\% | 90\% | 63\% | 2.61:1 | 3:1 | 29\% | 96\% | 92\% | 90\% | 93\% | 93\% |
|  | Total | 6249174 | 6562 | 4435 | 13174 | 68\% | 79\% | 44\% | 0.82:1 | 1.1:1 | 18\% | 88\% | 73\% | 73\% | 87\% | 87\% |

EVALUATION OF TB CONTROL ACTIVITIES IN TOWNSHIPS (2012-2013)

| Sr.No | Township | Population | estimated New S(+) cases | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Total New } \\ \mathrm{S}(+) \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { notified TB } \\ \text { cases } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mathrm{CDR} \text { (NTP } \\ \text { only) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDR (NTP } \\ & \text { +Other) } \end{aligned}$ | Prop: of <br> SS(+) pul: TB cases out of All Pul: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio of } \\ \text { NSS( }) \\ \text { to NSS (-) } \\ \text { cases } \\ \text { and EP } \\ \text { cases } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio of } \\ \text { NSS( }(t) \text { to } \\ \text { NSS }(-) \\ \text { cases } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Sputum } \\ \text { positivity } \\ \text { rate } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { sputum } \\ \text { conversion } \\ \text { rate } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CR (NTP } \\ \text { Only) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { CR } \\ \text { (NTP+O } \\ \text { ther) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSR } \\ & \text { (NTP } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSR } \\ & \text { (NTP+ } \\ & \text { Other) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naypyitaw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Oaktaratheri | 58171 | 61 | 38 | 93 | 62\% | 69\% | 53\% | 0.86:1 | 1.3:1 |  | 94\% | 74\% | 72\% | 91\% | 92\% |
| 2 | Dekhinatheri | 28998 | 30 | 8 | 33 | 26\% | 36\% | 62\% | 0.67:1 | 2.7:1 |  | 78\% | 75\% | 75\% | 88\% | 88\% |
| 3 | Poatpatheri | 80682 | 85 | 55 | 152 | 65\% | 74\% | 58\% | 0.74:1 | 1.51 | 25\% | 85\% | 69\% | 69\% | 81\% | 81\% |
| 4 | Zamutheri | 73213 | 77 | 26 | 77 | 34\% | 36\% | 62\% | 0.81:1 | 1.2:1 |  | 96\% | 64\% | 63\% | 81\% | 81\% |
| 5 | Zayartheri | 76818 | 81 | 124 | 434 | 154\% | 160\% | 48\% | 0.52:1 | 1:1 | 21\% | 93\% | 68\% | 68\% | 78\% | 78\% |
| 6 | Pyinmana | 159429 | 167 | 170 | 490 | 102\% | 130\% | 60\% | 0.72:1 | 1.51 | 19\% | 90\% | 79\% | 75\% | 83\% | 83\% |
| 7 | Tatkone | 204625 | 215 | 111 | 344 | 52\% | 65\% | 58\% | 0.65:1 | 1.4:1 | 24\% | 80\% | 79\% | 73\% | 87\% | 83\% |
| 8 | Lewei | 269916 | 283 | 165 | 385 | 58\% | 69\% | 61\% | 1.02:1 | 1.8:1 | 23\% | 89\% | 82\% | 80\% | 93\% | 93\% |
|  | Total | 951852 | 999 | 697 | 2010 | 70\% | 83\% | 57\% | 0.72:1 | 1.4:1 | 20\% | 88\% | 76\% | 74\% | 85\% | 85\% |


| Other units | not available | not available | 10250 | 34466 | not available | not available | 41\% | 0.66:1 | 0.9:1 | 12\% | 79\% | CR $=66 \%$ (other units only) | TSR=82\% (other units only) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | 47796627 | 54106 | 42595 | 142162 | 60\% | 78.7\% | 41\% | 0.71:1 | 1:1 | 15\% | 85\% | 76\% 74\% | 86\% 85\% |

CDR $<\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ and TSR<85\% (56)townships including partners units in 2013

| Sr.No | Region \& State | Sr. No | Townships | CDR<70\% | TSR<85\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rakhine | 1 | Pauktaw | 21\% | 73\% |
|  |  | 2 | Ann | 56\% | 81\% |
|  |  | 3 | Kyaukphyu | 68\% | 79\% |
|  |  | 4 | Yanbye | 46\% | 83\% |
|  |  | 5 | Maungdaw | 32\% | 66\% |
| 2 | Sagaing | 6 | Shwebo | 41\% | 84\% |
|  |  | 7 | Budalin | 64\% | 83\% |
|  |  | 8 | Minkin | 23\% | 84\% |
|  |  | 9 | Taze | 32\% | 81\% |
|  |  | 10 | Kyunhla | 22\% | 77\% |
|  |  | 11 | Katha | 42\% | 84\% |
|  |  | 12 | Phaungbyin | 35\% | 84\% |
|  |  | 13 | Banmauk | 32\% | 82\% |
|  |  | 15 | Mindat | 35\% | 83\% |
|  |  | 16 | Tiddim | 17\% | 76\% |
| 4 | Shan(Taunggyi) | 17 | Taunggyi | 48\% | 68\% |
|  |  | 18 | Hopone | 44\% | 67\% |
|  |  | 19 | Kalaw | 46\% | 81\% |
|  |  | 20 | Ywangan | 22\% | 79\% |
|  |  | 21 | Yauksauk | 43\% | 77\% |
|  |  | 22 | Loilem | 30\% | 83\% |
|  |  | 23 | Linhkay | 69\% | 82\% |
|  |  | 24 | Kyeethi | 21\% | 75\% |
| 5 | Magway | 25 | Minbu | 73\% | 80\% |
|  |  | 26 | Kanma | 65\% | 70\% |
| 6 | Shan(Lashio) | 27 | Kuitkai | 32\% | 65\% |
|  |  | 28 | Tanyan | 68\% | 79\% |
|  |  | 29 | Namkham | 36\% | 78\% |
|  |  | 30 | Kunlon | 51\% | 79\% |
| 7 | Kayin | 31 | Kyainseikkyi | 42\% | 76\% |
| 8 | Bago | 32 | Oktwin | 46\% | 82\% |
|  |  | 33 | Minhla | 62\% | 84\% |
|  |  | 34 | Kyaukkyi | 35\% | 84\% |
| 9 | Ayeyarwaddy | 35 | Zalun | 51\% | 71\% |
|  |  | 36 | Laputta | 60\% | 84\% |
| 10 | Tanintharyi | 37 | Launglon | 62\% | 82\% |
|  |  | 38 | Thayetchaung | 47\% | 80\% |
|  |  | 39 | Palaw | 41\% | 75\% |
|  |  | 40 | Tanintharyi | 54\% | 76\% |
| 11 | Kayah | 41 | Loikaw | 55\% | 76\% |
|  |  | 42 | Phruhso | 12\% | 60\% |
| 12 | Mandalay | 43 | Yamethin | 35\% | 74\% |
|  |  | 44 | Pyawbwei | 55\% | 81\% |
|  |  | 45 | Meiktilar | 70\% | 80\% |
|  |  | 46 | NyaungU | 49\% | 83\% |
|  |  | 47 | TadaOo | 39\% | 82\% |
|  |  | 48 | Myittha | 42\% | 84\% |
|  |  | 49 | Taungtha | 34\% | 80\% |
| 13 | Shan (kengtong) | 50 | Mongkhat | 25\% | 71\% |
|  |  | 51 | Mongyan | 22\% | 71\% |
| 14 | Kachin | 52 | PutaO | 40\% | 82\% |
| 15 | Naypyitaw | 53 | Zabuthiri | 36\% | 81\% |
|  |  | 54 | Tatkone | 65\% | 83\% |
| 16 | Yangon | 55 | Thonegwa | 57\% | 82\% |
| 17 | Mon | 56 | Ye | 69\% | 75\% |

## Progress of NTP (1995-2013)

Annual 2013
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| Indicator Year | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CDR (New SS+) | $35 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $78.2 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ |
| CR | $54 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $74 \%$ |  |
| TSR | $66 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $85.5 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $85 \%$ |  |

Treatment outcome of New Smear Positive and Case Detection Rate
(1998-2013)


Year

| $\square$ Cure rate | $\square$ Completion rate | $\square$ Treatment success rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Death | -- Failure rate | $-\boxed{\text { Defauter rate }}$ |
| $*$ Transerered out rate |  |  |
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## Target achievement according to Regions/States/Naypyitaw and Country (2012-2013)



Annual 2013
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| Region/ | CDR (NTP only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Kachin | 90 | 116 | 131 | 129 | 109 | 122 | 79 | 70 | 66 | 69 |
| Kayah | 70 | 83 | 79 | 69 | 70 | 60 | 41 | 41 | 31 | 50 |
| Chin | 38 | 42 | 52 | 39 | 41 | 40 | 23 | 21 | 23 | 28 |
| Sagaing | 45 | 53 | 60 | 79 | 59 | 61 | 50 | 51 | 46 | 43 |
| Magway | 57 | 65 | 55 | 56 | 68 | 67 | 47 | 45 | 45 | 49 |
| Mandalay | 65 | 67 | 65 | 69 | 70 | 64 | 52 | 54 | 51 | 50 |
| Shan State <br> (Taunggyi) | 38 | 40 | 43 | 48 | 46 | 49 | 37 | 43 | 42 | 49 |
| Shan State <br> (Kengtong) | 99 | 103 | 102 | 102 | 106 | 90 | 75 | 68 | 80 | 84 |
| Shan State <br> (Lashio) | 34 | 42 | 46 | 49 | 55 | 56 | 45 | 48 | 54 | 59 |
| Kayin | 72 | 86 | 65 | 79 | 81 | 92 | 63 | 55 | 77 | 72 |
| Tanintharyi | 76 | 75 | 71 | 72 | 69 | 72 | 50 | 61 | 64 | 61 |
| Bago Region | 73 | 87 | 82 | 83 | 79 | 82 | 58 | 57 | 68 | 67 |
| Bago Region <br> (Pyay) | 87 | 77 | 91 | 101 | 101 | 105 | 69 | 70 |  |  |
| Mon | 95 | 108 | 93 | 89 | 94 | 114 | 75 | 70 | 69 | 72 |
| Rakhine | 84 | 83 | 81 | 75 | 90 | 87 | 64 | 60 | 56 | 59 |
| Yangon | 156 | 158 | 70 | 81 | 76 | 85 | 83 | 76 | 71 | 66 |
| Ayeyarwady | 78 | 86 | 96 | 92 | 84 | 92 | 71 | 71 | 65 | 68 |
| Naypyitaw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 75 | 70 |
|  <br> Other) | 83 | 95 | 86 | 89 | 87 | 95 | 76 | 77 | 78.2 | 78.7 |

## Treatment outcomes of New smear positive in Regions \& States (2004-2012)

| Region/State | CR \& TSR (NTP only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  |
|  | CR | TSR | CR | TSR | CR | TSR | CR | TSR | CR | TSR | CR | TSR | CR | TSR | CR | TSR | CR | TSR |
| Kachin | 74 | 78 | 75 | 78 | 73 | 81 | 67 | 77 | 73 | 78 | 71 | 79 | 71 | 80 | 72 | 82 | 70 | 82 |
| Kayah | 93 | 94 | 83 | 88 | 76 | 83 | 66 | 78 | 63 | 82 | 83 | 85 | 77 | 81 | 80 | 87 | 73 | 84 |
| Chin | 68 | 84 | 73 | 84 | 65 | 78 | 72 | 87 | 71 | 90 | 73 | 85 | 74 | 82 | 84 | 87 | 82 | 93 |
| Sagaing | 72 | 80 | 74 | 82 | 74 | 82 | 77 | 86 | 78 | 85 | 81 | 87 | 82 | 88 | 81 | 89 | 81 | 90 |
| Magw ay | 77 | 90 | 80 | 89 | 81 | 89 | 77 | 88 | 76 | 86 | 79 | 86 | 78 | 87 | 77 | 86 | 80 | 88 |
| Mandalay | 77 | 87 | 75 | 86 | 79 | 86 | 77 | 86 | 81 | 87 | 70 | 84 | 74 | 83 | 76 | 84 | 77 | 86 |
| Shan State (Taunggyi) | 79 | 83 | 72 | 83 | 73 | 79 | 74 | 81 | 80 | 86 | 79 | 84 | 78 | 85 | 79 | 86 | 74 | 86 |
| Shan State (Kyaingtong) | 64 | 74 | 64 | 78 | 62 | 80 | 64 | 85 | 70 | 84 | 64 | 80 | 71 | 84 | 73 | 81 | 64 | 82 |
| Shan State (Lashio) | 69 | 79 | 68 | 81 | 65 | 81 | 68 | 82 | 69 | 80 | 70 | 79 | 68 | 79 | 72 | 82 | 67 | 78 |
| Kayin | 68 | 83 | 74 | 83 | 75 | 82 | 78 | 86 | 76 | 83 | 75 | 82 | 80 | 85 | 75 | 83 | 77 | 85 |
| Tanintharyi | 56 | 73 | 64 | 73 | 67 | 76 | 71 | 76 | 74 | 79 | 73 | 80 | 70 | 78 | 69 | 83 | 70 | 81 |
| Bago Region | 86 | 88 | 89 | 91 | 84 | 90 | 79 | 87 | 78 | 87 | 76 | 84 | 75 | 86 | 77 | 88 | 75 | 88 |
| Bago Region (Pyay) | 74 | 81 | 74 | 84 | 82 | 86 | 79 | 85 | 80 | 85 | 81 | 87 | 80 | 87 |  |  |  |  |
| Mon | 77 | 87 | 80 | 88 | 79 | 87 | 79 | 87 | 81 | 85 | 80 | 86 | 78 | 86 | 78 | 87 | 76 | 86 |
| Rakhine | 74 | 87 | 81 | 87 | 85 | 91 | 77 | 88 | 74 | 86 | 76 | 86 | 77 | 89 | 77 | 90 | 64 | 84 |
| Yangon | 73 | 82 | 78 | 84 | 78 | 85 | 81 | 87 | 82 | 88 | 83 | 88 | 84 | 87 | 85 | 88 | 84 | 88 |
| Ayeyarw ady | 83 | 87 | 82 | 88 | 82 | 91 | 83 | 90 | 81 | 88 | 82 | 89 | 81 | 89 | 77 | 88 | 73 | 87 |
| Naypyitaw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 | 83 | 76 | 85 |
| Country (NTP \& Other) | 75 | 84 | 78 | 85 | 78 | 85 | 77 | 85 | 78 | 85 | 77 | 85 | 77 | 85.4 | 77 | 86 | 74 | 85 |

Category of Regions \& States According to Case Detection Rate of NSS(+)
 (2001-2013)

2012

Categories of Regions \& State According to Treatment Success Rate (TSR) (2001-2013)




Categories of Region \& States according to Case Detection Rate (CDR), 2013


CDR (NTP only)


CDR (NTP+ Other Units)

Categories of Region \& States according to Treatment Success Rate (TSR), 2013


TSR (NTP only)


TSR (NTP+ Other Units)
National Tuberculosis Programme
Case finding activities (1994-2013)

| Annual 2013 Annex 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | DOTS <br> Population | No.of Estimate S(+) cases | CNR CDR <br> All S( + ) NS( + ) <br> er 100,00 $(\%)$ <br> population  |  | PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Primary Complex |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EXTRA } \\ \text { PULMONARY } \\ \text { TB } \end{gathered}$ |  | Other |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | SMEAR POSITIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All SMEAR <br> $\mathrm{S}(+)$ NEGATIVE |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | NEW CASES |  |  |  | OLD | CAS | SES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | RELAPSES | TAD |  | TAF |  | cases | M | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | M | F | T | M | F |  |  |  | M | F | M | F |  |  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | T |
| 1994(18Tsp) | 3,492,420 | 3,492 | 32 | 33 |  |  |  | 615 | 331 | 946 | 124 | 60 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1,130 | 203 |  |  | 154 |  |  | 33 | 35 |  |  | 975 | 580 | 1,555 |
| 1995(144Tsp) | 26,180,539 | 26,182 | 36 | 36 | 4,885 | 2,692 | 7,577 | 1,186 | 629 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 9,392 | 4,037 | 2,797 |  |  | 317 | 296 |  |  | 10,547 | 6,461 | 17,008 |
| 1996(153Tsp) | 27,413,310 | 27,413 | 39 | 39 | 5,648 | 3,148 | 8,796 | 1,251 | 551 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 10,598 | 4,823 | 3,461 |  |  | 580 | 493 |  |  | 12,472 | 7,724 | 20,196 |
| 1997(153Tsp) | 27,744,233 | 27,744 | 39 | 39 | 5,844 | 3,170 | 9,014 | 1,133 | 538 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 10,685 | 2,719 | 2,029 |  |  | 383 | 297 |  |  | 10,079 | 6,034 | 16,113 |
| 1998(153Tsp) | 28,260,276 | 28,260 | 42 | 43 | 6,325 | 3,764 | 10,089 | 1,286 | 565 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 11,940 | 1,233 | 982 |  |  | 326 | 275 |  |  | 9,170 | 5,586 | 14,756 |
| 1999(168Tsp) | 31,245,000 | 31,247 | 43 | 44 | 7,317 | 4,141 | 11,458 | 1,460 | 643 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 13,561 | 2,649 | 1,942 |  |  | 788 | 686 |  |  | 12,214 | 7,412 | 19,626 |
| 2000(231 Tsp) | 37,621,000 | 37,621 | 55 | 56 | 11,196 | 6,058 | 17,254 | 1,818 | 805 | 630 | 233 |  |  | 20,740 | 5,167 | 3,492 |  |  | 1,289 | 1,015 |  |  | 20,100 | 11,603 | 31,703 |
| 2001(259Tsp) | 42,061,000 | 42,061 | 59 | 66 | 13,473 | 7,213 | 20,686 | 2,203 | 911 | 741 | 282 |  |  | 24,823 | 8,296 | 5,446 |  |  | 2,087 | 1,803 |  |  | 26,800 | 15,655 | 42,455 |
| 2002(310Tsp) | 46,044,000 | 34,533 | 63 | 70 | 15,951 | 8,211 | 24,162 | 2,582 | 1,082 | 925 | 306 |  |  | 29,057 | 11,228 | 7,260 |  |  | 5,955 | 4,743 |  |  | 36,641 | 21,602 | 58,243 |
| 2003(324Tsp) | 49,667,413 | 37,251 | 67 | 74 | 18,017 | 9,431 | 27,448 | 3,235 | 1,259 | 1,127 | 360 |  |  | 33,429 | 15,759 | 10,247 |  |  | 9,858 | 7,938 |  |  | 47,996 | 29,235 | 77,231 |
| 2004(324Tsp) | 50,274,570 | 37,706 | 74 | 83 | 20,783 | 10,625 | 31,408 | 3,318 | 1,388 | 979 | 268 |  |  | 37,361 | 20,969 | 13,363 |  |  | 14,652 | 11,564 |  |  | 60,701 | 37,208 | 97,909 |
| 2005(324Tsp) | 51,412,552 | 38,559 | 82 | 95 | 24,204 | 12,337 | 36,541 | 3,264 | 1,351 | 766 | 216 |  |  | 42,138 | 22,117 | 13,484 |  |  | 16,902 | 13,350 |  |  | 67,253 | 40,738 | 107,991 |
| 2006(325Tsp) | 54,286,877 | 46,911 | 85 | 86 | 26,713 | 13,528 | 40,241 | 3,562 | 1,433 | 841 | 280 |  |  | 46,357 | 26,027 | 16,714 |  |  | 19,392 | 15,103 |  |  | 76,535 | 47,058 | 123,593 |
| 2007(325Tsp) | 55,753,816 | 48,135 | 88 | 89 | 27,927 | 14,661 | 42,588 | 3,307 | 1,358 | 588 | 160 | 822 | 428 | 49,251 | 24,979 | 16,847 |  |  | 22,572 | 17,430 | 1,731 | 737 | 81,926 | 51,621 | 133,547 |
| 2008(325Tsp) | 53,752,810 | 45,789 | 88 | 90 | 27,099 | 14,149 | 41,248 | 3,063 | 1,245 | 470 | 149 | 763 | 365 | 47,303 | 26,243 | 17,791 |  |  | 19,322 | 15,125 | 1,954 | 1,001 | 78,914 | 49,825 | 128,739 |
| 2009(325Tsp) | 50,907,881 | 43,645 | 94 | 95 | 27,386 | 14,003 | 41,389 | 3,255 | 1,315 | 460 | 127 | 923 | 408 | 47,877 | 30,372 | 20,840 |  |  | 17,860 | 13,821 | 2,274 | 979 | 82,530 | 51,493 | 134,023 |
| 2010(325Tsp) | 49,197,091 | 55,482 | 99 | 76 | 27,962 | 14,356 | 42,318 | 3,146 | 1,310 | 418 | 96 | \#\#\# | 467 | 48,783 | 33,924 | 22,916 |  |  | 15,722 | 12,254 | 2,601 | 1,203 | 84,801 | 25,602 | 137,403 |
| 2011(330 tsp) | 48,668,785 | 54,955 | 101 | 77 | 27,689 | 14,646 | 42,335 | 3,279 | 1,331 | 423 | 119 | \#\#\# | 484 | 49,012 | 36,573 | 25,470 |  |  | 15,466 | 12,306 | 2,970 | 1,367 | 87,441 | 55,723 | 143,164 |
| 2012(330 tsp) | 48,531,478 | 50,958 | 102 | 78.2 | 28184 | 14726 | 42909 | 3198 | 1360 | 401 | 120 | \#\#\# | 531 | 49659 | 26436 | 17366 | 16442 | 12798 | 11384 | 9277 | 3,228 | 1,559 | 90,413 | 57,736 | 148,149 |
| $\underline{2013(330 ~ t s p)}$ | 47796627 | 54106 | 104 | 78.7 | 28291 | 14304 | 42595 | 3478 | 1376 | 398 | 104 | \#\#\# | 566 | 49721 | 26438 | 16611 | 15526 | 11944 | 9376 | 7511 | 3472 | 1563 | 88183 | 53979 | 142162 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { TAD }= & \text { Treatment after Default } \\ \text { TAF }= & \text { Treatment after Failure }\end{array}$
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Case Notification Rate by type of TB patients (1994-2013)


Treatment outcomes of new smear positive TB pateints by percentage (1994 to 2012 cohorts)
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Treatment outcomes of relapse cases
(1994 to 2012 cohorts)


Treatment outcomes of new smear negative TB patients (1994-2012 cohorts)


Proportion of Relapses by Male and Female among New Smear Positive cases Plus Relapse cases of Male \& Female (1994-2013)


Male Age specific notification rate of new smear positive TB patients



Treatment outcome of Defaulting in New Smear Positive, Smear Negative \& Relapse cases in country (1998-2012) cohort


Treatment outcome of Failure Rate in New Smear Positive, Smear Negative and Relapse cases in country (1998-2012) cohort
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TB suspect' examination rate \& All S (+) notification rate
(NTP only) (1999-2013)


TB suspect' examination rate \& All $S(+)$ notification rate (NTP+Other units)(1999-2013)


Trend on New SS+, New Smear negative, Extra Pulmonary \& All TB cases load of NTP
(1994 to 2013)
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